

1 <p>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK</p> <p>-----x</p> <p>TERESITA SANTIAGO, RAMON LORENZO and TERESITA SANTIAGO, as the Mother and Natural Guardian of KAREN SANTIAGO DIAZ and HENRY LORENZO infants, Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">06CIV7108 (GBD) (DF)</p> <p>-against-</p> <p>GREYHOUND LINES, INC., and THE GOODYEAR RUBBER and TIRE COMPANY,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Defendants.</p> <p>-----x</p> <p>GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Third-Party Plaintiff,</p> <p>-against-</p> <p>MOTOR COACH INDUSTRIES, INC., Third-Party Defendant.</p> <p>-----x</p> <p>GREYHOUND LINES, INC.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Third-Party Plaintiff,</p> <p>-against-</p> <p>UGL UNICCO, Formerly Known As UNICCO Service Company</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Second Third-Party Defendant.</p> <p>-----x</p> <p style="text-align: right;">September 14, 2010 9:46 a.m.</p> <p>Deposition of JOHN WILLIAM DAWS, Ph.D., PE.</p>	3 <p>APPEARANCES</p> <p>1 2 3 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 4 Attorneys for Consolidated Plaintiffs 5 100 Park Avenue 6 New York, New York 10017-5590 7 BY: NOAH H. KUSHLEFSKY, ESQ. 212-973-3448 Nkushlefsky@kreindler.com</p> <p>8 9 10 FABIANI COHEN & HALL, LLP 11 Attorneys for Defendants Greyhound Lines 12 Inc. and Laidlaw International 13 570 Lexington Avenue 14 New York, New York 10022 15 BY: KEVIN B. POLLAK, ESQ. 212-644-4420 Pollakk@fcilp.com</p> <p>16 17 HERRICK, FEINSTEIN LLP 18 Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear 19 Rubber and Tire Company 20 2 Park Avenue 21 New York, New York 10016 22 BY: ALAN D. KAPLAN, ESQ. 212-592-1507 Ak Kaplan@herrick.com</p> <p>23 24 25</p>
1 2 3 September 14, 2010 4 5 9:46 a.m. 6 7 8 9 Deposition of JOHN WILLIAM DAWS, Ph.D., PE, 10 taken by Defendant Goodyear Rubber and Tire 11 Company, pursuant to Notice, at the offices of 12 13 Fabiani Cohen & Hall, LLP, 570 Lexington 14 Avenue, New York, New York 10022, before 15 Anneliese R. Tursi, a Registered Professional 16 Reporter and Notary Public within and for the 17 State of New York. <p>18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	4 <p>APPEARANCES</p> <p>1 2 3 HARTLINE, DACUS, BARGER, 4 DREYER & KERN LLP 5 Attorneys for Defendant MCI 6 6688 N. Central Expressway 7 Suite 1000 8 Dallas, Texas 75206 9 BY: JOHN C. DACUS, ESQ. 214-346-3718 Jdacus@hdbdk.com</p> <p>10 11 12 QUIRK and BAKALOR, P.C. 13 14 15 Attorneys for Defendant UNICCO 16 17 845 Third Avenue 18 19 New York, New York 10022 20 21 BY: JEANNE M. BOYLE, ESQ. 212-319-1000 Jboyle@quirkbakalor.com</p> <p>22 23 24 25</p>

<p>5</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now</p> <p>3 going on record at approximately 9:46</p> <p>4 a.m. This is tape No. 1 in the</p> <p>5 videotaped deposition of witness John</p> <p>6 Daws, taken in the US District Court,</p> <p>7 the Southern District of New York. The</p> <p>8 case number is 06CIV13371 in Teresita</p> <p>9 Santiago, et.al, versus Greyhound Lines,</p> <p>10 Inc. and the Goodyear Rubber and Tire</p> <p>11 Company. The deposition is being held</p> <p>12 today, September 14th, 2010 at the</p> <p>13 offices of Fabiani Cohen & Hall at 570</p> <p>14 Lexington Avenue in New York City.</p> <p>15 I'm Kevin Gallagher, the</p> <p>16 videographer. The court reporter is</p> <p>17 Liese Tursi. We are both from the</p> <p>18 independent firm of Esquire Reporting</p> <p>19 Services.</p> <p>20 Counsel will now identify</p> <p>21 themselves for the record.</p> <p>22 MR. KAPLAN Alan Kaplan for</p> <p>23 Goodyear Tire and Rubber company.</p> <p>24 MR. DACUS: John Dacus for Motor</p> <p>25 Coach Industries.</p>	<p>7</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Arizona.</p> <p>3 Q. And what's your current</p> <p>4 occupation?</p> <p>5 A. I'm a consultant.</p> <p>6 Q. And who are you a consultant for?</p> <p>7 A. Many different companies,</p> <p>8 individuals.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you work for a particular</p> <p>10 company?</p> <p>11 A. I work for Daws Engineering LLC.</p> <p>12 Q. And how many people are employed</p> <p>13 by Daws Engineering?</p> <p>14 A. Just myself and my wife.</p> <p>15 Q. And what type of company is this:</p> <p>16 is it a partnership, a corporation? Please</p> <p>17 tell me.</p> <p>18 A. It's an LLC.</p> <p>19 Q. How long have you worked at Daws</p> <p>20 Engineering?</p> <p>21 A. Since the beginning of 2006.</p> <p>22 Q. And is the work that you perform</p> <p>23 at Daws Engineering exclusively as a</p> <p>24 consultant?</p> <p>25 A. Probably 90 percent of my time</p>
<p>6</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 MR. KUSHLEFSKY: Noah Kushlefsky</p> <p>3 for the consolidated plaintiffs.</p> <p>4 MS. BOYLE: June Boyle for UNICCO</p> <p>5 Service Company doing business as UGL</p> <p>6 UNICCO.</p> <p>7 MR. POLLAK: Kevin Pollack on</p> <p>8 behalf of Greyhound.</p> <p>9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Ms. Tursi will</p> <p>10 now swear in the witness.</p> <p>11 JOHN WILLIAM DAWS,</p> <p>12 4535 West Marcus Drive, Phoenix, Arizona</p> <p>13 85083 having been first duly sworn by</p> <p>14 the Notary Public (Anneliese R. Tursi),</p> <p>15 was examined and testified as follows:</p> <p>16 MR. POLLAK: Just before we start</p> <p>17 I just want to indicate on the record</p> <p>18 that the witness reserves his right to</p> <p>19 read and sign this transcript.</p> <p>20 MR. KAPLAN: All right.</p> <p>21 EXAMINATION BY MR. KAPLAN:</p> <p>22 Q. Sir, can you please state your</p> <p>23 name and address for the record.</p> <p>24 A. My name is John William Daws. My</p> <p>25 address is 4535 West Marcus Drive in Phoenix,</p>	<p>8</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 goes into consultant activities.</p> <p>3 Q. What percentage of that is geared</p> <p>4 towards litigation?</p> <p>5 A. All of it.</p> <p>6 Q. And what percent of the time that</p> <p>7 you put into your consulting activities is</p> <p>8 devoted to litigation?</p> <p>9 A. Litigation support is the</p> <p>10 primary -- you know, is the, you know, 98</p> <p>11 percent or so of my consulting work is</p> <p>12 litigation support. I do an occasional job</p> <p>13 for tire sellers or something like that where</p> <p>14 I review service materials, things like that.</p> <p>15 But for the most part my consulting practice</p> <p>16 is litigation support.</p> <p>17 A. And what percentage of your income</p> <p>18 is derived from litigation-related activities?</p> <p>19 A. Pretty much all of it.</p> <p>20 Q. Prior to Daws Engineering, you</p> <p>21 worked at Exponent, is that correct?</p> <p>22 A. That's correct.</p> <p>23 Q. And approximately how many years</p> <p>24 were you at Exponent?</p> <p>25 A. Four.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And your duties there were 3 basically the same, working as a consultant on 4 litigated matters? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 6 of the question. You can answer. 7 A. I did consulting work. I was also 8 the vehicle practice director for about a 9 year-and-a-half. So I had administrative 10 responsibilities and so on. 11 Q. And prior to that, you've worked 12 at the Michelin Tire Company, correct? 13 A. For almost 20 years, yes. 14 Q. And you left there in 2001? 15 A. Right at the end. Right after 16 Thanksgiving, 2001. 17 Q. Now, in those years you were at 18 Michigan, how many years were spent in a job 19 title where you designed tires? 20 MR. POLLAK: You said Michigan. I 21 guess you meant Michelin. 22 Q. Michelin. I meant Michelin. If I 23 say Michigan, please take it as Michelin. 24 MR. POLLAK: Please repeat the 25 question for the witness.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">11</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 were involved with that was ever put into 3 production? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 5 form. You can answer. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Approximately how many of the 8 Proxima tires were produced? 9 A. About 15,000. 10 Q. Now, you said it was a radial 11 tire. Correct? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Was it a steel-belted radial tire? 14 A. Yes, sir. 15 Q. And what type of service was it 16 designed for? 17 A. Electric vehicle. 18 Q. Used in what capacity: a golf 19 cart, on-the-road vehicle? That's what I'm 20 trying to figure out. 21 A. General Motors EV1, the Impact. 22 Q. How many steel belts did the 23 Proxima tire have? 24 A. Two. 25 Q. Now, if I refer to a steel belt</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">10</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 She will repeat the question for 3 you. 4 (Record read.) 5 A. While I was at Michelin, I had a 6 job where my title was job design engineer for 7 two years. 8 Q. Now, while you were at Michelin, 9 did you ever design a radial medial truck tire 10 such as the G-409 involved in this case? 11 A. I did not personally design such a 12 tire, no. 13 Q. At Michelin you designed low 14 rolling resistance tires for electric 15 vehicles. Is that correct? 16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 17 You can answer. 18 A. As a design engineer, that was my 19 job, yes. 20 Q. And was the Proxima, 21 P-R-O-X-I-M-A, one of those tires? 22 A. It was. 23 Q. Was that a radial tire? 24 A. Yes, it was. 25 Q. Was that the only tire that you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">12</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 package, is it understood that I'm referring 3 to the number of belts that make up the tire 4 itself? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Did you ever design component 7 parts for the tires that you were involved 8 with? 9 A. I'm not sure I understand your 10 question. 11 Q. Well, did you ever design the 12 tread area for a tire? 13 A. Are you talking about the tread 14 sculpture? 15 Q. Rough composition, tread pattern, 16 anything. 17 A. Well, I had to design the shape of 18 the tire which encompassed the crown radius 19 and so on. The sculpture was designed by 20 someone else. 21 Q. How about any steel belt packages, 22 did you ever design any steel-belted packages 23 for any tires? 24 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection to 25 the form. You can answer.</p>

13 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. The steel belt package had to be 3 designed; that is, the width of the belts, the 4 skim thicknesses, the spacing, the pace of the 5 belts and so on. 6 Q. Did you do that design work for 7 the Proxima? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Did you design any of the wire 10 configurations that went into the steel belts 11 of that tire? 12 A. No, I did not. 13 Q. Where did those design parameters 14 come from? 15 A. They were standard Michelin 16 building blocks. 17 Q. Would it be fair to say that often 18 a tire designer puts together components that 19 have been already used by the company in other 20 products? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So in essence when you were 23 designing the Proxima, you were putting in 24 certain components that had already been used 25 in prior Michelin tires. Is that correct?</p>	15 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 something wrong with the design of this tire. 3 Is that correct? 4 A. Well, understand that I worked 5 with the tire designers in reviewing those 6 tires, so, you know, whether a certain problem 7 in the tire was related to its manufacturing 8 or its design, was something we would develop 9 pretty much together. It wasn't -- it wasn't 10 looking at the manufacturing aspects of the 11 tire in a vacuum, nor was it looking at the 12 design aspects of the tire in a vacuum. 13 Q. But your function was not to 14 identify a design problem with one of those 15 tires, was it? 16 A. Well, again, I was representing 17 manufacturing in those reviews. I was not -- 18 that doesn't mean I didn't comment on design 19 issues. It just means that I was 20 representing, I was the representative of 21 manufacturing for the plant. 22 Q. And your role was to look at what 23 the manufacturing process had been related to 24 that particular tire, a particular tire, and 25 then try to determine what, if anything, went</p>
14 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. That's correct. 3 Q. Now, while you were at Michelin, 4 did you have opportunities to forensically 5 examine tires? 6 A. Many times. 7 Q. Now, part of that was while you 8 were at the Spartanburg location, is that 9 correct? 10 A. The Spartanburg plant is 11 Michelin's plant in the United States, or one 12 of the plants in North America that makes 13 medium radial truck tires. I was the 14 engineering manager for that plant for a while 15 and in that role I did forensic examination of 16 medium radial truck tires and their impact, or 17 the impact of process equipment on roads. 18 Q. Now, did the forensic examination 19 that you performed while at Spartanburg, 20 include you determining whether or not 21 anything had gone wrong in the manufacturing 22 process related to those tires? 23 A. That was my primary role. 24 Q. In other words, your job wasn't to 25 get a tire, examine it and say there is</p>	16 <p>16 1 J.W. DAWS 2 wrong in the manufacturing process. Is that 3 correct? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 5 answered. You can answer. 6 A. That was my primary role, yes. 7 Q. Now, you also worked at quality 8 assurance while at Michelin? 9 A. Yes, I did. 10 Q. How many years did you do that? 11 A. Four years. 12 Q. Was that for radial medium truck 13 tires? 14 A. That was for all types of tires, 15 everything from earth mover to passenger car. 16 Q. What was the percentage of tires 17 that you looked at while working in quality 18 assurance that were passenger tires? 19 A. Probably 75 to 80 percent of those 20 tires were passenger car tires. 21 Q. How about light truck tires? 22 A. Passenger and light truck 23 together. I tend to lump those into the same 24 bucket. 25 Q. And would the remaining amount be</p>

17 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 for radial medium truck tires? 3 A. For the most part. There was the 4 occasional earth mover tire, occasional 5 aircraft tire, but for the most part medium 6 radial truck tires. 7 Q. Now, were you involved with the 8 radial medium truck tire group at all while 9 you were at Michelin? 10 A. Was I involved with? 11 Q. Well, did you have a position in 12 the radial medium truck tire group? 13 A. I never had a position in the 14 radial medium truck tire group. 15 Q. Was there a period of time where 16 you were involved in the vulcanization of 17 radial medium truck tires? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And were you also involved with 20 issues regarding the retreading process of 21 radial medium truck tires? 22 A. Yes, I was. 23 Q. Are you familiar with the 24 retreading process for radial medium truck 25 tires?</p>	19 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 truck tires designed to be retreadable? 3 A. I wouldn't necessarily know the 4 answer to that question. 5 Q. Do you remember how many were? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Was it at least one? 8 A. There were many that were designed 9 to be retreadable, certainly. I just don't 10 know how many. 11 Q. How many would be more than five? 12 A. I don't know. The vast majority 13 of over-the-road truck tires are designed to 14 be retreadable. 15 Q. Now, the RMT tires that were 16 produced at Michelin, were any of those used 17 for commercial bus application? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Approximately how many? 20 A. I don't know. 21 Q. Many? 22 A. Again, I was not part of the 23 commercial side of the radial truck tire 24 group. I don't know. 25 Q. Were any of the radial medium</p>
18 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I'm familiar with several 3 retreading processes for such tires, yes. 4 Q. Have the processes changed much 5 from when you worked at Michelin, until the 6 present time? 7 A. I wouldn't think so, but there is 8 always a possibility there is some new process 9 out there. 10 Q. At the time that you worked at 11 Michelin, how many different models of radial 12 medium truck tires did they have? 13 A. It's been a long time ago. I 14 couldn't tell you. 15 Q. More than five? 16 A. Oh, yes. 17 Q. More than -- 18 A. Again, I don't know. 19 Q. Well, more than 20? 20 A. I don't know. 21 Q. So definitely more than five? 22 A. Definitely more than five. 23 Q. How about more than ten? 24 A. I don't -- again, I don't know. 25 Q. Were all of those radial medium</p>	20 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 truck tires that were designated for use on 3 commercial bus applications, also designed to 4 be retreadable? 5 A. I couldn't answer that question. 6 I don't know. 7 Q. You don't know if even one was? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. The G-409 tire that's involved in 10 this case, do you know if that is designed to 11 be retreadable? 12 A. I believe it is, yes. 13 Q. Now, were the designs of the steel 14 belt packages that were used in the radial 15 medium truck tires at Michelin while you were 16 there, the same? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 18 of the question. And, again, I'm just 19 objecting to the phrase "belt package." 20 That will be a continuous objection 21 throughout this deposition unless it is 22 specifically defined. That's my 23 objection. 24 A. Can you repeat the question, 25 please.</p>

21 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Well, you and I have already 3 discussed how we are using the term belt 4 package, is that correct? 5 A. That's right. 6 Q. And you don't have a problem 7 with -- 8 A. I don't have a problem with the 9 term belt package. I have a problem with the 10 same. I don't know what that means. 11 Q. Similar. 12 A. Were they similar to one another? 13 Q. Correct. 14 A. Or similar to what everybody else 15 makes? 16 Q. Let's start with similar to one 17 another. 18 A. I really don't know how to answer 19 that question. It depends on what level of 20 similarity. You know, they all look generally 21 the same to a non-tire designer, but to a tire 22 designer they are very different. 23 Q. Could you give me a general 24 description of what the construction was like 25 of the radial medium truck tires that were</p>	23 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 did they differ as well? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Now, you mentioned that there were 5 full four-belt packages. Is that how you 6 would describe the G-409 tire that was 7 involved in this case? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. You also said at Michelin they 10 made four-belt packages that included 11 half-belts. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Can you describe what you mean by 14 that? 15 A. Well, one of the belts -- and 16 Goodyear makes tires like this, where one of 17 the belts is, instead of being the full width 18 of the belt package, it is made in two pieces 19 and the space in the center of the tire, there 20 is no belt in that level. 21 Q. And in a tire that is constructed 22 in that fashion, how many of those belts would 23 be half belts? 24 A. Just one. 25 Q. So you would have three full belts</p>
22 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 being produced at Michelin during the time 3 period that you were there. 4 A. Well, there were generally 5 categories involving full four-belt package, a 6 four-belt package with a, you know, with half 7 belts either on the bottom or the top of the 8 system. I think there were some small 9 three-belt package tires, things like that. 10 But for the most part, you know, 11 they were multiple belt packages. The 12 question of how the belts were arranged and 13 how the skim rubber was set in and things 14 like, you know, belt angles and wire types and 15 so on could make them very, very different. 16 Q. How about the thickness of the 17 belt wires themselves, did they differ from 18 belt package to belt package? 19 A. Again, it depends on what you are 20 talking about. You know, depending on the 21 function of the tire, what market it was 22 intended for, could have been substantial 23 differences in belt wires. 24 Q. How about the ends-per-inch count 25 from steel-belt package to steel-belt package,</p>	24 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 and then a half belt at one other layer? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Now, did Michelin produce any 5 radial medium truck tires back then that only 6 had three belts? 7 A. You know, this has been over ten 8 years ago. I don't really remember. 9 Q. Well, I believe you indicated that 10 there were some smaller three-belt package 11 tires? 12 A. Inner city delivery vehicles, 13 small tires, 19-1/2, 17-1/2 inch tires that 14 were covered by the medium radial truck tire 15 group had three belt. 16 Q. So what sizes did those tires run? 17 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. What sizes did those tires run? 19 A. An 8R19.5. There was a 17-1/2 20 inch tire, I don't remember exactly what size 21 it was. But those were, again, small tires. 22 Q. Do you remember any of the 23 Michelin radial medium truck tires that were 24 constructed with three belts, the same size as 25 the tire involved in the instant case?</p>

25 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Can you say one way or another 4 whether or not Michelin was producing 5 three-belted radial medium truck tires that 6 were the same size as the tire involved in 7 this case? 8 MR. POLLAK: I think he answered. 9 You can answer. 10 A. My experience with three-belt 11 tires is they are all fairly small sizes. 12 This is a very large tire. 13 Again, you know -- and I need to 14 suggest to you that, you know, if you keep 15 going along the lines of what I remember from 16 Michelin, we are going to get into, you know, 17 secrecy agreements and things like that. I'm 18 not sure how much detail I can provide on what 19 Michelin does in terms of design. 20 Q. That is understood. I'm just 21 asking, very simply, if you can say one way or 22 another whether or not Michelin radial medium 23 truck tires that were produced while you were 24 there that had three steel belts, were of the 25 same size of the G-409 tire in this case?</p>	27 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Michelin, have you seen tires that have that 3 type of design? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And what would you say the ranges 6 were for those types of tires in terms of the 7 area of missing steel in that belt that you 8 described as a half belt? 9 A. Generally, if you see a tire like 10 that, something less than a third of the 11 summit is open in that center, you know, 12 has -- the half belts cover over two-thirds of 13 the -- generally, say two-thirds of the belt 14 width. 15 Q. Would it be fair to say that the 16 overall amount of steel in those steel-belt 17 packages, would be less than what you would 18 find in the steel that was contained in a tire 19 that had four steel belts? 20 A. It depends on how the steel cord 21 was arranged. 22 Q. It might, though? 23 A. It might not. 24 Q. But you can't say that it -- 25 A. You can't say that it would or it</p>
26 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I would say absolutely not. A 3 tire of this size, would have four layers of 4 steel cord. They may have a half belt as one 5 of those layers. 6 Q. So, in other words, it might have 7 3-1/2 belts as opposed to four full belts? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. That means there would be less 10 steel in what portion of the tread surface of 11 the tire? 12 A. The center -- 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 14 You can answer. 15 A. The center of the tire. 16 Q. And for how many inches across the 17 center would that area of less steel be? 18 A. That would depend on the designer 19 and what they actually decided to put into it. 20 Q. In your experience what would the 21 ranges be of that area in the center of the 22 tread where there was one less layer of steel? 23 A. I think that's proprietary design 24 information for Michelin. 25 Q. And after you have been at</p>	28 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 wouldn't. It depends on how the steel was 3 designed and paced and so on. 4 Q. Do you know if when you were at 5 Michelin, if Michelin did comparisons between 6 different designs of steel-belt packages to 7 see which ones had more or less steel in them? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 9 form. You can answer. 10 A. No, I don't. 11 Q. Do you think that would have been 12 an important task for them to perform? 13 A. I'm sure someone in the company 14 did that. I just wasn't privy to those 15 results. 16 Q. When you say that you are sure 17 someone did it, what is the basis of your 18 saying that you are sure someone did it if you 19 were not privy to those results? 20 A. Because we had -- Michelin had a 21 competitive analysis group and their job was 22 to look at competitive tires. And from time 23 to time, I would see such analyses for certain 24 kinds of tires. 25 Q. Did you ever see an analysis which</p>

<p>29</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 compared the amount of steel in one steel-belt</p> <p>3 package of the Michelin tire compared to</p> <p>4 another steel-belt package contained in a</p> <p>5 different model Michelin tire?</p> <p>6 A. No, I did not.</p> <p>7 Q. Are you familiar with the current</p> <p>8 line of Michelin radial medium truck tires?</p> <p>9 A. No, I'm not.</p> <p>10 Q. Are you aware that they make</p> <p>11 several radial medium truck tires that are</p> <p>12 constructed with three steel belts?</p> <p>13 A. They probably do.</p> <p>14 Q. Are you familiar with the XZA 3</p> <p>15 design?</p> <p>16 A. No, I'm not.</p> <p>17 Q. Are you aware that it is described</p> <p>18 on their website as being "a premium, all</p> <p>19 position radial with extra wide, extra deep</p> <p>20 tread designed to help deliver our best wear</p> <p>21 in high scrub applications"?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Are you aware that this tire is</p> <p>24 constructed with three steel belts?</p> <p>25 A. No, I'm not.</p>	<p>31</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 tires with three steel belts in the steel-belt</p> <p>3 package?</p> <p>4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>5 form. You can answer.</p> <p>6 A. I'm sure they do. The last</p> <p>7 Michelin tire that I looked at in 315/80R</p> <p>8 22-1/2 which is the same size as this tire,</p> <p>9 has four steel belts. But I'm sure they make</p> <p>10 tires that are three steel-belt tires.</p> <p>11 Q. Would you say that steel-belt</p> <p>12 tires with three steel belts in the belt</p> <p>13 package, have less overall steel in the belt</p> <p>14 package area than tires with four steel belts?</p> <p>15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. I believe</p> <p>16 this was asked and answered. You can</p> <p>17 answer.</p> <p>18 A. No, sir. It depends on how the</p> <p>19 steel is arranged, the size of the cables, the</p> <p>20 pacing of the cables and so on.</p> <p>21 Q. Have you done any comparative</p> <p>22 analysis between tires that have three steel</p> <p>23 belts in the steel-belt package, as opposed to</p> <p>24 radial medium truck tires that have four steel</p> <p>25 belts in the steel-belt package?</p>
<p>30</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. Are you familiar with the XZE</p> <p>3 design radial medium truck tire currently</p> <p>4 produced by Michelin?</p> <p>5 A. No, I'm not.</p> <p>6 Q. Are you aware that that tire is</p> <p>7 currently constructed with three steel belts?</p> <p>8 A. No, I'm not.</p> <p>9 Q. Are you familiar with the XZE 2</p> <p>10 radial medium truck tire that is currently</p> <p>11 produced by Michelin?</p> <p>12 A. No, I'm not.</p> <p>13 Q. Are you aware that that tire is</p> <p>14 currently constructed with three steel belts?</p> <p>15 A. No, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. Are you familiar with any radial</p> <p>17 medium truck tires currently produced by</p> <p>18 Michelin that have four steel belts?</p> <p>19 A. No, I'm not. Again, I'm not</p> <p>20 familiar with any of the current Michelin</p> <p>21 designs. I've been out of Michelin for at</p> <p>22 least ten years.</p> <p>23 Q. Well, other than Michelin, are you</p> <p>24 aware of the fact that numerous tire</p> <p>25 manufacturers produce radial medium truck</p>	<p>32</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>3 form. You can answer.</p> <p>4 A. No, I have not.</p> <p>5 Q. Have you done any puncturability</p> <p>6 studies between tires that have three steel</p> <p>7 belts in the steel-belt package -- and I'm</p> <p>8 referring to radial medium truck tires -- with</p> <p>9 those radial medium truck tires that have four</p> <p>10 steel belts in the steel-belt package?</p> <p>11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>12 form. You can answer.</p> <p>13 A. No, I have not.</p> <p>14 Q. Now, when radial medium truck</p> <p>15 tires, including those designed for commercial</p> <p>16 bus application, are retreaded, can you</p> <p>17 retread a tire that only has three steel belts</p> <p>18 in the steel-belt package?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know.</p> <p>20 Q. Is it your experience one way or</p> <p>21 another, whether or not such tires have been</p> <p>22 retreaded?</p> <p>23 A. I would imagine they have.</p> <p>24 Sometimes when you have a four-belt system you</p> <p>25 can remove one belt and still retread the</p>

33 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 tires so it would have three steel belts. 3 Q. So is it fair to say that a radial 4 medium truck tire that is produced with four 5 steel belts and designed to be retreaded, can 6 continue in its second service life after 7 retreading with only three belts, as opposed 8 to its original four belts? 9 A. That often happens, and one of the 10 reasons that can work is that those tires are 11 never put on steer axles again. 12 Q. Has your experience been that when 13 a three-belted tire that was formerly a 14 four-belted tire is put into service, that 15 they lose puncture resistance? 16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 17 You can answer. 18 A. Again, there would be a reduction 19 in puncture resistance because you removed one 20 of the steel belts, certainly. The tire was 21 designed to have adequate service at -- with 22 four steel belts. If you remove one of those 23 belts and you would have a three-belt tire 24 which would not be the same as a tire that was 25 designed as a three-belt tire.</p>	35 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No, sir. 3 Q. Do you know if the federal 4 government has ever done any studies regarding 5 the puncturability of retreaded radial medium 6 truck and commercial bus tires that have had 7 one of their original four belts removed? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 9 form. You can answer. 10 A. No, sir. 11 Q. Has there ever been any defect 12 finding by any investigating agency, regarding 13 radial medium truck and commercial bus tires 14 that have been retreaded and have had one of 15 their original four belts removed? 16 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 17 answer. 18 A. Could you repeat the question. 19 MR. KAPLAN: Could you please 20 repeat it. 21 (Record read.) 22 A. Can you clarify the term -- 23 Q. In terms of their ability to be 24 punctured? 25 A. Can you clarify the term agency.</p>
34 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Does the removal of the fourth 3 belt mean that the tire that now is using only 4 three belts, is defective? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 6 form. You can answer. 7 A. Again, as I mentioned, those tires 8 only see service as drive and tag -- or drive 9 axle tires typically where they are paired 10 with another tire. So puncture resistance 11 really isn't an issue with those tires. 12 Q. Do you know of any studies that 13 have been done which compare the puncture 14 resistance of tires that were originally 15 manufactured with four steel belts, but 16 subsequently had one of those belts removed 17 during the retreading process? 18 A. No, I do not. 19 Q. Has any statistical analysis ever 20 been done as far as you know, comparing tires 21 that were originally manufactured with four 22 steel belts that later have one of those belts 23 removed, in terms of their puncturability? 24 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 25 You can answer.</p>	36 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Agency under the Department of 3 Transportation. 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. As far as you know, does any other 6 agency, other than those operating under the 7 United States Department of Transportation 8 issue defect findings regarding commercial bus 9 tires? 10 A. No, sir. But I just wanted to 11 make sure that my interpretation of agency was 12 the same as yours. 13 Q. Okay. Now, when you remove the 14 fourth belt from a four-belt radial medium 15 truck tire that has been retreaded, is that 16 described as the outer belt? 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 Q. And is that given the designation 19 of the number four belt? 20 A. That would be typically called the 21 number four belt or the protector ply. 22 Q. So as you go towards the inner 23 liner of the tire, you would have the number 24 four belt, then the number three belt, then 25 the number two belt, then the number one belt.</p>

37 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Now, just for a general 5 description of what a cross-section of a 6 radial medium truck tire would look like if 7 you were going from the tread surface down, 8 you first have to go through the tread area. 9 Is that correct? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Would the four steel belts or 12 three steel belts or two steel belts if it was 13 a light truck tire, be the next layer that you 14 would encounter? 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. What would the next layer be? 17 A. Typically the sub-tread. 18 Q. I'm sorry? 19 A. The sub-tread which is a cushion 20 layer of tread rubber, softer material. 21 Q. 100 percent rubber, though? 22 A. Right. 23 Q. Then what would the next layer be? 24 A. It depends on the tire design. It 25 may be a layer of nylon in the passenger,</p>	39 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No, I don't. 3 Q. Is that tire used on commercial 4 bus fleets? 5 A. No, not that I know of. 6 Q. Is that a heavy equipment type 7 tire used on bull dozers or other types of 8 vehicles? 9 A. No, sir, those tires are not that 10 sort of tire. 11 Q. Do you know what kind of vehicle 12 use that tire was on? 13 A. No, I don't. 14 Q. Do you know any other tire other 15 than that one that has this layer that you are 16 speaking of? 17 A. No. 18 Q. And would the next layer then be 19 the steel-belt package? 20 A. Yes, it would. 21 Q. And after the steel-belt package, 22 what would you encounter? 23 A. Well, you would have the various 24 levels of steel cord and then you have the 25 body ply itself.</p>
38 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 light truck, they may have a circumferentially 3 wound steel wire in the case of the Michelin 4 tire. There may be any number of different 5 things there before you reach the protector 6 ply. 7 Q. Protector ply being the steel-belt 8 package? 9 A. The fourth belt, yes. 10 Q. What other things would there be 11 in between the tread area and the steel-belt 12 package that would be made out of steel? 13 A. Well, Michelin makes a tire that 14 has a circumferentially wound steel cord. 15 Q. Which tire is that? 16 A. I don't recall the designation. I 17 know they were doing the design work for it 18 when I left and it has been commercialized as 19 far as I understand, but I don't know what it 20 is called. 21 Q. When you say it is commercialized, 22 you mean put into production? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Do you know what the application 25 is for that tire?</p>	40 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. I'm sorry, when you say the 3 various level of steel cords, you are 4 referring to the steel-belt package? 5 A. Steel belt, however many belts 6 there are. 7 Q. Then after that you have the body 8 ply -- 9 A. Body ply. 10 Q. Are they also referred to as body 11 cords? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And those would be the cords that 14 you typically see in a radial tire that runs 15 from bead to bead. Is that correct? 16 A. That's correct. 17 Q. And they run perpendicular to the 18 direction of the circumference of the tire? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. And what is that, are those body 21 cords usually constructed of, what material? 22 A. For what kind of tire? 23 Q. Radial medium truck tire. 24 A. Steel. 25 Q. And after that layer, what do you</p>

<p>41</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 encounter?</p> <p>3 A. Typically the inner liner.</p> <p>4 Q. And the inner liner is the rubber</p> <p>5 layer which in effect creates the airtight</p> <p>6 seal for the tire when mounted on the rim,</p> <p>7 correct?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Now, when the tire is retreaded</p> <p>10 and has one of the tread belts removed, how</p> <p>11 many miles is that tire expected to have in</p> <p>12 usage in the real world?</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>14 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>15 A. That really depends on the</p> <p>16 manufacture of the tire and the type of</p> <p>17 retreading that it's gone through, but</p> <p>18 typically it will be some fraction of what it</p> <p>19 would have as an original new tire.</p> <p>20 Q. It would not be uncommon for a</p> <p>21 tire like that to run another hundred thousand</p> <p>22 miles before an additional retread operation</p> <p>23 might be needed. Is that correct?</p> <p>24 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>25 form. You can answer.</p>	<p>43</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 typically three, two or three wires in a core.</p> <p>3 And then those wires will be</p> <p>4 twisted together and then they'll be wrapped</p> <p>5 with another set of wires, and sometimes there</p> <p>6 will be a wrap wire around that whole bundle</p> <p>7 to make a cable.</p> <p>8 And then the belt is made up of</p> <p>9 basically cables adjacent to one another on</p> <p>10 the bias; that is, they exist at an angle to</p> <p>11 the circumferential direction of the tire.</p> <p>12 Q. Now, how do you distinguish</p> <p>13 between -- you said there were cables and then</p> <p>14 there were twisted wires and then they were</p> <p>15 wrapped with another set of wires.</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. How do you distinguish between the</p> <p>18 terminology used for both of those, the first</p> <p>19 set that is wrapped around and then the set</p> <p>20 that's wrapped around those? Are they called</p> <p>21 filaments, are they called anything else?</p> <p>22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>23 form. You can answer.</p> <p>24 A. I use wire because it is</p> <p>25 individual wires. The cable is made up of</p>
<p>42</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. Again, it depends on the tire and</p> <p>3 the service and so on. I have seen them as</p> <p>4 low as 60,000 miles.</p> <p>5 But, yeah, I mean, if it is a</p> <p>6 Michelin tire, typically they expect to get</p> <p>7 considerably more than a hundred thousand</p> <p>8 miles on the retread.</p> <p>9 Q. Now the wires that make up the</p> <p>10 steel-belt package, do you use terms like</p> <p>11 cords, filaments to describe the construction</p> <p>12 of the wire that is laid out in each</p> <p>13 individual steel belt?</p> <p>14 A. You can use any terminology you</p> <p>15 like.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Well, why don't, if you can</p> <p>17 give me a paragraph long description of the</p> <p>18 construction in the typical steel belt that</p> <p>19 goes into a radial medium truck tire, could</p> <p>20 you do that?</p> <p>21 A. Sure. I think I can. A belt is</p> <p>22 made up of cables, encompassed in a natural</p> <p>23 rubber that is typically called a skim rubber.</p> <p>24 Each cable is made up of twisted sets of</p> <p>25 wires. So there will be a cord set of wires,</p>	<p>44</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 individual wires of different sizes, typically</p> <p>3 different sizes, but they can all be the same</p> <p>4 size as well.</p> <p>5 Q. Because the wires are different</p> <p>6 sizes, that might mean that the cables</p> <p>7 ultimately are different sizes. Is that</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. The cables within a steel belt</p> <p>10 will all be the same.</p> <p>11 Q. No, I'm saying from design to</p> <p>12 design?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, from design to design. From</p> <p>14 belt to belt they may be different.</p> <p>15 Q. And when we talk about size, we</p> <p>16 are talking about width of the cable itself,</p> <p>17 diameter: how do you measure the thickness?</p> <p>18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>19 form. You can answer.</p> <p>20 A. Typically, the diameter is used as</p> <p>21 the indicator, is, you know, what's the</p> <p>22 minimum size hole that this cable will fit</p> <p>23 through.</p> <p>24 Q. And then there is a measurement</p> <p>25 that we have used before, ends per inch.</p>

<p>45</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Could you describe what end per</p> <p>4 inch implies?</p> <p>5 A. Ends per inch basically is the</p> <p>6 number of wires in an inch of cable. So if</p> <p>7 you measure an inch of cable and count the</p> <p>8 wires and you do that over a number of</p> <p>9 different inches and average it out, you will</p> <p>10 get the ends per inch.</p> <p>11 Q. And you also said that sometimes</p> <p>12 there is an additional wrap?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Can you tell me what that is.</p> <p>15 A. Some cables have a single wire</p> <p>16 that spirals around the first and second cord</p> <p>17 twists to complete the wire, to provide extra</p> <p>18 stability for the cord, for the cable.</p> <p>19 Q. Are some of those single wires</p> <p>20 which spiral around simply there for the</p> <p>21 manufacturing process, as opposed to</p> <p>22 stability?</p> <p>23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>24 answer.</p> <p>25 A. I think different manufacturers</p>	<p>47</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 and huge variations in width. So depending on</p> <p>3 the tire, you know, the tire range and its</p> <p>4 function, ends per inch can be all over the</p> <p>5 map.</p> <p>6 Q. How about radial medium truck</p> <p>7 tires that are used for long-haul service</p> <p>8 operation or used for long-haul commercial bus</p> <p>9 operation?</p> <p>10 A. Again, that depends not only on</p> <p>11 the tire size but also on the size of the</p> <p>12 wires and the thickness of the belt package</p> <p>13 itself.</p> <p>14 Q. Now --</p> <p>15 A. Obviously the thicker the wire you</p> <p>16 use, the lower the ends per inch are likely to</p> <p>17 be.</p> <p>18 Q. Right. What was the lowest number</p> <p>19 of ends per inch that you have ever seen in a</p> <p>20 steel belt of a radial medium truck tire that</p> <p>21 was used for long-haul operation or commercial</p> <p>22 bus operation?</p> <p>23 A. I don't recall. I mean, I don't</p> <p>24 typically count them.</p> <p>25 Q. Could it have been less than ten?</p>
<p>46</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 perhaps have different takes on that. I mean,</p> <p>3 certainly the wrap wires, or the frets as</p> <p>4 Michelin likes to call them, would, you know,</p> <p>5 provide some stability in the -- prevent the</p> <p>6 cords from moving around in the belt package.</p> <p>7 Q. So am I correct that you are</p> <p>8 saying that when a single wire wrap is used</p> <p>9 like this, its purpose is pretty much left up</p> <p>10 to individual manufacturers who employ the use</p> <p>11 of that wrap?</p> <p>12 A. Not necessarily. I mean it binds</p> <p>13 the cable together. Now, that has an</p> <p>14 advantage in manufacturing. It also has some</p> <p>15 advantage in design.</p> <p>16 Q. Now, you mentioned that one of the</p> <p>17 variables is the EPI, the ends per inch. In</p> <p>18 radial medium truck tires, is there a range</p> <p>19 that you are familiar with of how many ends</p> <p>20 per inch there are in different belts or</p> <p>21 different belt designs?</p> <p>22 A. That's a pretty broad question. I</p> <p>23 mean, you know, medium radial truck tires run</p> <p>24 the gamut from small 17-1/2 inch, 19-1/2 inch</p> <p>25 sizes to 24-1/2 inch bead diameters and so on,</p>	<p>48</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form.</p> <p>3 You can answer.</p> <p>4 A. Well, for heavy cable, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. In terms of the diameter of the</p> <p>6 cable, what would you say the range is for the</p> <p>7 diameter of cable that would be used in a</p> <p>8 steel-belt package for a radial medium truck</p> <p>9 tire that is used for long-haul service or</p> <p>10 commercial bus service?</p> <p>11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>12 form. You can answer.</p> <p>13 A. Again, that's all over the map.</p> <p>14 It depends on the manufacturer.</p> <p>15 Q. So there is no industry standard</p> <p>16 as far as you know for the diameter of a steel</p> <p>17 belt cable that's used in a steel belt in a</p> <p>18 radial medium truck tire that is used for</p> <p>19 long-haul service or commercial bus service?</p> <p>20 A. There is no standard for design,</p> <p>21 period.</p> <p>22 Q. And the same question regarding</p> <p>23 ends per inch. Is there any industry standard</p> <p>24 in terms of how many ends per inch there would</p> <p>25 be in a belt design for a belt that's used in</p>

49 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 a radial medium truck tire that is in 3 long-haul service or used for commercial bus 4 service? 5 A. No, sir. 6 Q. You mentioned the thickness of the 7 belt package. Would that be the measurement 8 that you go from the top of the number four 9 belt to the bottom of the number one belt more 10 or less? 11 A. Well, that would encompass all the 12 belts, but each belt has an individual 13 thickness as well. 14 Q. But when you were referring to 15 thickness before, were you referring to the 16 cumulative thickness of the different belts? 17 A. Both. Both the thickness of the 18 individual belts, as well as the overall belt 19 package. 20 Q. Is there any standard for belt or 21 belt package thickness for belts or belt 22 packages that are used in radial medium truck 23 tires that are designed for long-haul service 24 or for commercial bus service? 25 A. There is no design standard.</p>	51 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Now, when we were talking about 3 the direction that the wires, the cables run, 4 you used the term bias, and that means they 5 run at an angle to the direction of the tread 6 of the tire. Is that correct? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. When the belts are laid on top of 9 each other, are they laid in such a fashion 10 that the belts are biased in the same way or 11 that they are biased in the opposite 12 direction? 13 A. Depends on which belt you are 14 talking about. 15 Q. Well, let's say, let's talk about 16 a four-belt tire for the moment. If the 17 number four belt is biased one way, would the 18 number three belt be biased another way? 19 A. It might or might not. Typically 20 it would not. Typically, it will have the 21 same angle, or similar -- it will be in the 22 same direction. It may not have the same 23 angle, but it will be in the same direction as 24 the number three which will be opposite to the 25 number two and then -- because the two and</p>
50 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. So when you look at a tire, a 3 radial medium truck tire that has a certain 4 belt package or belt thickness, you can't 5 determine one way or another whether or not it 6 doesn't comply with an industry standard, is 7 that correct? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 9 form. You can answer. 10 A. There is no industry design 11 standard. 12 Q. And the same question regarding 13 the diameter of the cables used in a 14 steel-belt package for radial medium truck 15 tires for long haul and commercial bus 16 operation: you cannot look at a design and 17 say that its diameter does not comply with 18 industry standards. Is that correct? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. And the same thing for the EPI 21 count, you cannot look at an EPI count of a 22 radial medium truck tire in terms of its 23 steel-belt package and say that that EPI is 24 not within industry standards? 25 A. That's correct.</p>	52 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 three belts are considered the working belts 3 of the tire. 4 Q. So the two and three belts would 5 run in opposite angles, is the correct? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. And would the one belt operate in 8 the same -- I'm sorry, would the one belt be 9 biased in the same angle as the four belt or 10 different? 11 A. Typically it is going to be 12 opposite the one belt and at a very different 13 angle. 14 Q. So you get basically a honeycomb 15 pattern when you look down. If you could look 16 down at the belts laid one on top of the 17 other, it would look like a honeycomb pattern, 18 is that correct? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. The wires criss-cross? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And it kind of looks like a waffle 23 at an angle? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Now, when you look down at the</p>

53 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 image that's created, this honeycomb-type 3 image, if you were looking through an x-ray, 4 that's a two-dimensional image that you get. 5 Is that correct? 6 A. An x-ray is definitely a 7 two-dimensional image. 8 You can -- I have seen CAT scans 9 of tires and they are three-dimensional images 10 but they are very expensive to make, so 11 typically people work with x-rays. 12 Q. And the x-rays you took in this 13 case are two-dimensional views looking down 14 through the tread towards the inner liner, is 15 that correct? Or the other way, looking out 16 from the liner out towards the tread. 17 A. That's one way to do it, yeah. 18 Q. Did you do that? 19 A. I did that, as well as shooting at 20 an angle through the sidewall, through the 21 tread and through the outside. 22 Q. Now, when you look at a steel-belt 23 package in a tire, based on the 24 specifications, you can determine the 25 percentage of steel versus non-steel that's in</p>	55 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 system, yes. 3 Q. For instance, if a tire, based on 4 its specifications, the green tire 5 specifications, had 40 percent of steel per 6 square inch versus 60 percent of non-steel, 7 what would happen to those percentages as a 8 result of the manufacturing process? Would 9 there be -- could the percentage of steel go 10 up, could the percentage of steel go down? 11 You tell me. 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 13 You can answer. 14 A. Well, typically what happens is 15 the steel core gets a little closer together 16 in the cured tire. 17 Q. And what does that mean? 18 A. So the steel density goes up some 19 fraction. 20 Q. And when you say fraction, if it 21 was a 40 percent distribution of steel per 22 square inch, would that go up to 41 percent, 23 45 percent? 24 A. It depends on the lift and the 25 design of the mold and how the green tire is</p>
54 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 a square inch of a steel-belt package, is that 3 correct? 4 A. Can you repeat the question. 5 Q. Well, based on the specifications 6 of, the design specifications of a radial 7 medium truck tire, can you determine per 8 square inch how much steel there is in the 9 belt package area versus non-steel? 10 A. Well, you can determine what that 11 ratio is in a green tire, in the unvulcanized 12 tire, and those numbers change in the cured 13 tire. So from the specs, from the green tire 14 specs you can determine what it is for the 15 green tire. 16 Q. And the change is within certain 17 manufacturing tolerances? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 19 form. You can answer. 20 A. The change has to do with the 21 pantographing of the belts as they go into the 22 mold, and how much, what we used to refer to 23 as lift, how much push you need to get into 24 the mold, because that generates pantographing 25 of the belts and a compression of the belt</p>	56 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 put together, the angle of belts and any 3 number of other variables. 4 Q. Could it go up as much as 5 5 percent? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 7 form. You can answer. 8 A. Again, I don't recall. 9 Q. You don't know one way or the 10 other? 11 A. Well, it -- it may go up 5 12 percent, certainly. 13 Q. Could it go down 5 percent? 14 A. Not likely. 15 Q. What would be more likely? 16 A. That it would go up. 17 Q. And if it went down, what 18 percentage would it go down? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 20 form. You can answer. 21 A. I don't know the answer to that 22 question. 23 Q. Could it be one, two percent, 24 three percent? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p>

<p>57</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answered. 3 A. I already said I don't know the 4 answer to the question. 5 Q. Okay. So if you have a tire of 6 one design that has 40 percent of steel per 7 square inch in the belt package, and another 8 tire which by design has 45 percent of steel 9 in the belt package per square inch -- and 10 this again is based on the green tire specs, 11 correct? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 13 of the question. 14 A. Those are the numbers you are 15 giving me, yes. 16 Q. Is it possible that when those 17 tires are produced, that the tire with 40 18 percent could rise in terms of its percentage 19 of steel, and the tire with 45 percent can 20 either drop or remain the same in terms of its 21 percentage of steel per square inch so that 22 they would have the same amount of steel 23 coverage per square inch? 24 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 25 form. You can answer.</p>	<p>59</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 3 answer over the objection. 4 A. If they are the same size tire 5 made by the same company, the answer is no. 6 Q. And why is that? 7 A. Because tire companies use 8 standardized building blocks and so on. The 9 beads are probably going to be identical. 10 Certainly the molds will be designed with the 11 same philosophy and the same lift ratios and 12 things like that. So they are going to be -- 13 whatever happens to one tire, is going to 14 happen to the next. 15 Q. Does that happen to every single 16 tire during its production process? I mean, 17 aren't there tolerances from tire to tire in 18 the manufacturing process which account for 19 variations for things like this? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 21 form. You can answer. 22 A. Within a given tire design, yeah, 23 but not within -- I mean, you know, you don't 24 expect two tires that are made, you know, from 25 two different companies to be covered by the</p>
<p>58</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. That's a non-answerable question. 3 Q. Why is that non-answerable? 4 A. Because if you tell me those two 5 tires are made by the same company and they 6 are the same size, I can give you an answer. 7 If you tell me they are made by 8 the same company and they are two different 9 sizes, it can't be answered, not easily. And 10 if they are made by two different companies, 11 you have no idea. 12 Q. Well, let's take the second 13 suggestion, it is the same company but 14 different models? 15 A. Different sizes? 16 Q. Same size different model 17 steel-belt package, different design 18 steel-belt package. 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 20 form. 21 Q. Is it possible that the size that 22 has 45 percent of steel coverage per square 23 inch, and the design that has 40 percent of 24 steel coverage, could equal out after the 25 production process?</p>	<p>60</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 same set of tolerances because each company 3 has its own tolerances that it makes up as it 4 goes along. 5 So, you know, for example in 6 Goodyear's case the tolerances on wire 7 strength is plus or minus five percent. So, 8 you know, if you happen to make a tire with 9 weak wire one week, and a tire with strong 10 wire another week, you are going to get 11 slightly different performance results out of 12 identically the same tire. 13 Q. Let's go back to, though, the 14 scenario that we talked about before where a 15 tire can increase the amount of steel coverage 16 by the amount of 5 percent per square inch 17 from the green tire spec. Do you remember we 18 were talking about that? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 20 answer. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Is that going to happen in every 23 single tire that is manufactured by the same 24 manufacturer: is it always going to be a five 25 percent increase?</p>

61 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 3 answer. 4 A. No, sir, but they will be similar 5 to one another. It might not be identically 6 the same number, and certainly from tire to 7 tire within the same batch they are going to 8 be different, slightly different. 9 Q. Some might not increase at all? 10 A. No, I didn't say that. I said 11 some might be 4.8 percent, some might be 5.2 12 percent. 13 Q. How about 3 percent? 14 A. Maybe. It depends on the control 15 that the company has on its process. 16 Q. How about 2 percent? 17 A. It depends on the control the 18 company has on their process. 19 Q. So, in other words, the 20 differences between a tire that's designed 21 with its green tire spec having 40 percent 22 steel per square inch, might ultimately be 23 about the same amount of steel coverage as a 24 tire that has 45 percent in its green tire 25 spec?</p>	63 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answer. 3 A. That's something you have to 4 calculate. 5 Q. Would the square inches from 6 different locations of the same tire, have the 7 same percentage of steel versus non-steel? 8 In other words, would the 9 distribution be the same or consistent 10 throughout the steel-belt package of one 11 particular tire? 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. They could be different? 14 A. It would be slightly different, 15 especially as you get out near the belt edges. 16 Q. Would there be more or less steel 17 per square inch in the belt edge area? 18 A. Well, the belt edge area, the 19 belts aren't all the same width. So because 20 there is a difference in width, you know, when 21 you get out to the outside edge of the tire, 22 the outside edges of the steel belts sometimes 23 you have one steel belt, sometimes you have 24 two, sometimes you have three, sometimes you 25 have four, so.</p>
62 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection. 3 asked and answered. You can answer. 4 A. Yeah, that's not what I said. 5 If the tires are designed 6 different, they will typically, you know, be 7 different in the finished product, because 8 they will move in the same general direction 9 if they are made by the same company because 10 companies design their processes and their 11 processing equipment and their molds and so 12 on, with the same general philosophy. 13 Q. Now, is the percentage of steel 14 versus non-steel in the square inch of a 15 radial medium truck tire's belt package, 16 something that's directly stated or listed in 17 the tire specifications? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 19 answer. 20 A. Is the -- 21 Q. In other words, is that figure 22 there, or is it something that you have to 23 make a measurement or a calculation to figure 24 out? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p>	64 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And that's out towards the 3 shoulder area? 4 A. That's out towards the shoulder 5 area, yes. 6 Q. The puncture that is involved in 7 the subject tire in this case, was that out 8 towards the shoulder area or was that more 9 towards the center of the tread? 10 A. If you don't mind, I -- 11 Q. Absolutely, if you need to take a 12 look at something, that's fine. 13 (Discussion off the written 14 record.) 15 MR. KAPLAN: Can we go off the 16 record for two minutes. 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now 18 going off the record at approximately 19 10:41 a.m. 20 (Off the record.) 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now 22 going back on the record approximately 23 10:51 a.m. 24 MR. KAPLAN: Do you need the last 25 question repeated?</p>

<p>65</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 3 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. 4 MR. POLLAK: I do. Can you please 5 read it back. 6 (Record read.) 7 MR. POLLAK: Thank you. 8 A. It's in one of the inboard tread 9 ribs, so it is toward the center of the tire. 10 Q. If you had to pick a spot on the 11 tread area of that tire where there would be 12 more or less steel coverage beneath it, that 13 would be a likely spot, is that correct? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 15 form. You can answer. 16 Q. In other words, you indicated that 17 the belt steel coverage per square inch from 18 location to location on a tire might vary with 19 lesser amounts being towards the shoulder 20 area. Correct? 21 A. With lesser amounts in the, you 22 know, outboard of the last tread rib where the 23 belt ends or edges are. So this is, you know, 24 on an inboard tread rib so that, if anything, 25 things are fairly constant here.</p>	<p>67</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Is that correct? 3 A. It could be. It depends on how 4 the steel is laid out. 5 Q. In terms of puncture resistance, 6 do you think that the layout is as important 7 or not as important or it doesn't matter when 8 compared to the total amount of steel that's 9 in the steel-belt package? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 11 form. You can answer. 12 A. Well, puncture is related to 13 aerial density. So the total amount of steel, 14 and the total amount of rubber, the aerial 15 density of the tire is what's important. 16 Q. In other words, you don't consider 17 how the steel is laid out in a particular 18 square inch in terms of a tire's puncture 19 resistance; you look towards the total amount 20 of steel versus non-steel. Is that correct? 21 A. Well, that would be the -- that 22 would be the gross assessment. You really 23 don't have a finer assessment than that. I 24 mean, there is an infinite variety in how 25 wires are placed in tires by various companies</p>
<p>66</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Obviously they are denser in the 3 exact center, under the center rib, but they 4 are fairly constant here. 5 Q. Okay. Now looking at a 6 two-dimensional image of the honeycomb 7 pattern, you get what I describe as a steel 8 coverage pattern. Do you understand what I'm 9 talking about? 10 A. Yes, sir, I do. 11 Q. In other words, you are looking at 12 a two-dimensional, almost like a crossword 13 puzzle looking picture, and you can make a 14 measurement possibly of how much steel you see 15 versus how much non-steel area you see. Are 16 you with me? 17 A. I suppose that's possible, but the 18 steel cords may overlap one another so it is 19 tough to say that a given steel line in an 20 x-ray, isn't multiple steel cords depth and 21 deep. 22 Q. Right. So when you look at that 23 two-dimensional image in terms of the steel 24 coverage, you are not seeing all of the steel 25 that is actually there because of the overlap.</p>	<p>68</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 and in various designs. So the easiest thing 3 to do is to look at the aerial density. 4 Q. Well, you know how geologists when 5 they take a soil sample, they can stick a tube 6 down and they can kind of see what each layer 7 of the subsoil looks like in terms of how much 8 of a different material is there and at what 9 level. Do you know what I'm speaking of? 10 A. I think I do. 11 Q. Is that something you could do 12 with a tire when you go down from the tread 13 through the belt layers but right before you 14 get to the body cords? 15 A. If you cut the tire through the 16 belts, you can -- that's a fair assessment of 17 what's going on. 18 Q. Well, in some areas you are going 19 to encounter steel towards the top, isn't that 20 correct? You might go through an area where 21 the four-belt steel is present. Is that 22 correct? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 24 form. 25 Q. In other words, if you just take a</p>

<p>69</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 probe going down different portions of a tire,</p> <p>3 in some areas you may hit a portion of the</p> <p>4 number four steel belt, and in some areas you</p> <p>5 might not hit a portion of the number four</p> <p>6 steel belt. Is that --</p> <p>7 A. By portion are you talking about a</p> <p>8 cable?</p> <p>9 Q. Correct.</p> <p>10 A. Yes, you might hit a cable, you</p> <p>11 might not hit a cable, and depending on the</p> <p>12 size of the probe --</p> <p>13 Q. Right.</p> <p>14 A. You know.</p> <p>15 Q. And the same would be true if you</p> <p>16 went through that belt four layer and got into</p> <p>17 the belt three layer, isn't that correct?</p> <p>18 A. That's correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Depending on where you are on the</p> <p>20 tire's tread, you may or may not come into</p> <p>21 contact with a wire from the number three</p> <p>22 belt?</p> <p>23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>24 form. You can answer.</p> <p>25 A. That's correct.</p>	<p>71</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 wouldn't be able to predict what I would find</p> <p>3 depending on where I put a probe into the</p> <p>4 tread surface of any particular radial medium</p> <p>5 truck tire, is that correct?</p> <p>6 A. I think that's a fair assessment,</p> <p>7 yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Can you predict if a nail or a</p> <p>9 screw is going to go through a tread and</p> <p>10 through a certain amount of steel belts on a</p> <p>11 particular tire on every single occasion?</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>13 form. You can answer.</p> <p>14 A. No, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. In other words, you could run the</p> <p>16 same tire over a same screw or a same nail</p> <p>17 under identical conditions, and depending on</p> <p>18 what portion of that tread makes contact with</p> <p>19 the screw or the nail, you may have a</p> <p>20 different result in terms of how the tire is</p> <p>21 punctured?</p> <p>22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>23 form. You can answer.</p> <p>24 A. I guess I would express it a</p> <p>25 little differently than that. I would say if</p>
<p>70</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. And the same is true once you get</p> <p>3 down to the number two belt, if you get that</p> <p>4 far, isn't that right, you may or you may not?</p> <p>5 A. I would say that's true for every</p> <p>6 belt.</p> <p>7 Q. For every single belt all the way</p> <p>8 down?</p> <p>9 A. Including the body ply.</p> <p>10 Q. And these probes would show</p> <p>11 inconsistent results from different areas</p> <p>12 around the tire, isn't that correct? Some</p> <p>13 might cause contact with the cords in the</p> <p>14 number four belt and the number two belt.</p> <p>15 Others might have contact with wires in the</p> <p>16 number one belt, in the number three belt.</p> <p>17 There are all kinds of different combinations</p> <p>18 that can happen, isn't that correct?</p> <p>19 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>20 answer.</p> <p>21 A. I would agree with that. You are</p> <p>22 talking about taking, you know, a small hole</p> <p>23 and cutting a hole out and looking at whether</p> <p>24 you have steel at a given layer, yeah.</p> <p>25 Q. And would it be fair to say that I</p>	<p>72</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 you did it a hundred times, there would be an</p> <p>3 average, there would be some level with which</p> <p>4 that penetration would get to, and there would</p> <p>5 be certain occurrences where it goes further</p> <p>6 and certain occurrences where it doesn't go as</p> <p>7 far.</p> <p>8 Q. Have you ever encountered any</p> <p>9 studies where something like that has been</p> <p>10 done, where tires have been run over nails or</p> <p>11 screws and data is kept over, let's say, a</p> <p>12 hundred times or however many times the</p> <p>13 situation is recreated?</p> <p>14 A. No, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. Have you ever heard of any</p> <p>16 situations where manufacturers compare the</p> <p>17 ability of one tire that they design, with</p> <p>18 another tire that they design -- I'm talking</p> <p>19 about radial medium truck tires in this</p> <p>20 fashion -- to determine whether or not one</p> <p>21 tire is going to have a greater puncture rate</p> <p>22 than the other tire?</p> <p>23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>24 form. You can answer.</p> <p>25 A. No, sir.</p>

73 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you know if, or have you ever 3 heard of any manufacturers doing that type of 4 comparative study between tires they 5 manufacture and tires that other manufacturers 6 produce? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. What would you say the minimum 9 percentage of steel should be in a radial 10 medium truck tire used in long-haul service or 11 bus service per square inch? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 13 form. You can answer. 14 A. I wouldn't say. 15 Q. Why wouldn't you say? 16 A. Because, again, depending on how 17 it's laid out, how it is put in, what, you 18 know, what kind of angles, there might be a 19 range there. I just don't know what it would 20 be. 21 Q. So you have never calculated a 22 range based on how much steel versus non-steel 23 would be acceptable or not acceptable? 24 A. No, sir. 25 Q. Do you know if anybody in the</p>	75 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 (Recess taken.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going 4 back on the record at approximately 5 11:09 a.m. This is the beginning of 6 tape No. 2. 7 BY MR. KAPLAN: 8 Q. I had previously asked you a 9 question about whether you knew of any testing 10 regarding whether or not there was more or 11 less puncture resistance in an RMT tire that 12 had more steel coverage per square inch in its 13 steel-belt package, versus an RMT tire that 14 had less steel in a square-inch area. And you 15 said that you knew of no testing, is that 16 correct? 17 A. That's correct. 18 Q. Would it also be fair to say that 19 you know of no articles or studies relating to 20 that concept? 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 22 form. 23 Q. Okay, let me rephrase it. Is it 24 also fair to say that you know of no articles 25 or studies concerning whether an increased</p>
74 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 industry, the tire industry, has ever done 3 something like that? 4 A. No, I don't. 5 Q. As opposed to a minimum amount of 6 steel, have you ever heard of there being a 7 range in terms of how much steel versus 8 non-steel should be in a steel-belt package 9 per square inch? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 11 form. You can answer. 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. Have you ever heard of any studies 14 or tests where the, where tires with different 15 percentages of steel versus non-steel per 16 square inch in the belt package, were compared 17 to one another for puncturability? 18 A. No, sir. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm going to have to 20 take a break guys, sorry. 21 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. 22 MR. POLLAK: No problem. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going 24 off the record at approximately 11:02 25 a.m. This is the end of tape No. 1.</p>	76 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 amount of steel in an RMT belt package per 3 square inch, is more effective in terms of 4 puncture resistance than an RMT tire with less 5 steel per square inch? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 7 form. You can answer. 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. And would the same answer also be 10 correct if I said if there was any peer review 11 material whatsoever regarding that? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Now, is the identical screw or 14 nail lying on a road, always going to cause a 15 puncture in a tire of the same model and 16 design when it runs over it? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 18 You can answer it. 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. Is there anyway for you to predict 21 whether or not the same puncture, or whether 22 or not a puncture will occur at all when one 23 tire of the same design is run over the same 24 screw or nail? 25 A. No, sir. It is an absolutely</p>

<p>77</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 random event. 3 Q. Is there anyway for you to 4 determine whether or not a G-409 C-3 revision 5 tire running over a screw or a nail is always 6 going to result in a puncture to that tire? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 8 form. You can answer. 9 A. I'm not sure I understand your 10 question. 11 Q. Well, let's take the type of screw 12 that you have identified in your x-ray 13 analysis in this case. Is there anyway for 14 you to predict whether or not a G-409 tire 15 with a C-3 revision, is going to always be 16 punctured when run over that type of screw? 17 A. No, sir. Again, it is a 18 completely random event, random energies, 19 random orientations. 20 Q. Same question regarding a G-409 21 tire pre-C-3 specification, is there anyway to 22 tell if that type of tire will run over the 23 screw that you have identified in this case, 24 is always going to result in a puncture? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form.</p>	<p>79</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Let's put that on hold for a 4 second. 5 Is there any other comparative 6 analysis that you can make, as I have asked 7 you, between whether or not a C-3 G-409 tire 8 is going to result in a puncture when it runs 9 over a screw, as opposed to a pre-C-3 G-409 10 tire? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 12 You can answer. 13 A. The only way to do that would be 14 in a population analysis; that is, you look at 15 what's coming back out of the field which is 16 the way the tire industry rates tires anyway. 17 It evaluates the design. It evaluates how 18 well the tire is working. It evaluates 19 whether to update the design or change it in 20 some way. It's a field analysis. Okay? And 21 so when you say set aside the only study 22 that's out there, no, there is no others that 23 I know of. 24 Q. Well, you refer to it as a study. 25 Is that an accurate description of what it is?</p>
<p>78</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 You can answer. 3 A. I think the same answer applies. 4 It is completely random orientation. Some of 5 them will; some of them won't. 6 Q. Is there anyway to do a 7 comparative analysis between whether or not a 8 C-3 G-409 tire run over the same screw is 9 going to result in a puncture, as opposed to a 10 pre-C-3 G-409 tire? 11 MR. POLLAK: Can you please read 12 back the question. 13 (Record read.) 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 15 form. You can answer. 16 A. Okay. I think the answer to that 17 is, that's what I did with the flat analysis. 18 You know, obviously, you can't -- you know, 19 every puncture isn't that particular screw. 20 But certainly the propensity for punctures is 21 clearly captured in the flats analysis from 22 the response desk, maintenance response desk 23 data. 24 Q. That's the 1852-page document you 25 are referring to, correct?</p>	<p>80</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Well, again, that's what field, 3 you know, that's what field data analysis is. 4 It is taking the data that comes back from the 5 field and drawing conclusions about what's 6 happened, you know, and in this case the, 7 because punctures are random, the randomness 8 did not occur -- you know, there wasn't an 9 increase in randomness on the road or increase 10 in the number of puncture entities on the 11 road. There is no reason to believe that. So 12 the only thing out there then is the fact the 13 tire changed. 14 Q. Was there any mention of the 15 1852-page document from the maintenance 16 response desk being a study to determine the 17 rate that Greyhound's tires were being 18 punctured? 19 A. No, sir. There is nothing that 20 says it was a study. 21 Q. Now, when you use the term 22 puncture resistance in a tire, does that mean 23 impervious to puncture, or what, what does 24 puncture resistance as far as you're concerned 25 mean in a radial medium tire?</p>

81 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 3 You can answer. 4 A. Well, puncture resistance is 5 essentially the ability to resist a complete 6 penetration of the tread, you know, by some 7 fraction of the total population of puncturing 8 energies and entities that exist in the road. 9 Q. Now, when you use the term 10 complete penetration, you mean an object that 11 goes through the tread all the way through the 12 inner liner. Is that correct? 13 A. That's correct. 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 15 You can answer. 16 A. That's correct. 17 Q. Would you say that a tire that 18 prevented an object from going all the way 19 from outside the tread through the inner 20 liner, is sufficiently puncture-resistant? 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 22 of the question. 23 A. If the tire allows the inner liner 24 to be breached, either because the puncturing 25 entity made it there or because wires migrated</p>	83 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. That's correct. In all likelihood 3 it went slightly further and then backed up to 4 lock into the, in -- you know, the screw 5 has -- the major diameter of the screw is 6 larger than the pace of the belt, the opening 7 in the belts, so it would tend to lock into or 8 get trapped, you know, in the belts. So it 9 goes in and then comes back out where it 10 snapped off. 11 Q. So the belts trapped the screw, is 12 that correct? 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 14 form. You can answer. 15 A. The belts trapped the piece of 16 screw that was still left in the tire, yes. 17 Q. And the screw as a result did not 18 penetrate the inner liner, correct? 19 A. That's a hypothetical. In my 20 opinion it did, it did penetrate the inner 21 liner and then pushed back out again. 22 Q. You are saying the screw itself, 23 not another object which you refer to as a 24 migrating wire, the screw itself penetrated 25 the inner liner?</p>
82 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 there, or some combination of the two, then a 3 puncture occurred, you know, whether or not 4 the puncturing entity actually, you know, 5 remains extended through the inner liner after 6 the, you know, after the vehicle comes to 7 rest. 8 Q. I'm not sure if you answered my 9 question, though. 10 What I'm asking you is, if a tire 11 prevents a puncturing object from going 12 through to the inner liner, whether that tire 13 was satisfactorily puncture-resistant? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 15 answered. Also, I object to the form. 16 You can answer. 17 A. Well, it is certainly 18 satisfactorily puncture-resistant for that 19 particular puncture. That doesn't mean there 20 isn't another puncture out there that couldn't 21 puncture the tire all the way through. 22 Q. Now, in the instant case, we have 23 a screw that punctured through the tread and 24 went so far as the belt package area. Isn't 25 that correct?</p>	84 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I think the screw actually pushed 3 the wire into the inner liner. 4 Q. Well, that's not what I'm asking 5 you. I'm asking you whether or not the screw 6 itself punctured the inner liner? 7 A. Yes, it did. It pushed the wire 8 into the inner liner. 9 Q. No, I'm not asking what it pushed. 10 Did the tip of the screw penetrate the inner 11 liner? 12 A. Yes, it did. 13 Q. Where is the evidence of that, of 14 the tip of the screw penetrating the inner 15 liner? 16 A. Well, there is no evidence of 17 that. 18 Q. So what's the basis for your 19 statement that the tip of the screw penetrated 20 the inner liner? 21 A. Because there is no evidence that 22 it didn't. There is absolutely no evidence 23 that the wire happened to migrate there all by 24 itself. 25 Q. If there is no evidence in your</p>

<p>85</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 view that it did, and no evidence that it 3 didn't, then you can't say by a preponderance 4 of the evidence whether or not the tip of the 5 screw penetrated the inner liner, is that 6 correct? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 8 answered. It is also argumentative. 9 Over objection, you can answer. 10 A. The, you know, the wire, I don't 11 believe, would have migrated through the inner 12 liner by itself. I think it had to be pushed 13 there by the screw. And the only way it can 14 be pushed there by the screw, is if the screw 15 actually carries it to the inner liner. 16 Q. Correct me if I am wrong, but I 17 don't believe I asked you whether or not the 18 screw pushed a wire through the inner liner. 19 I asked you whether or not the tip of the 20 screw itself penetrated the inner liner. And 21 is the answer to that yes or no? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 23 answered. Same objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>87</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And then that wire, because of the 3 force of the contact, is driven through the 4 inner liner. Is that correct? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. But, again, the screw itself did 7 not actually physically go through the inner 8 liner, correct? 9 A. How can the screw drive the wire 10 through the inner liner without going there 11 itself? That's physically impossible. 12 Q. You are saying that the force of 13 the screw which broke or chipped the wire was 14 not enough to just make that wire itself 15 penetrate through the inner liner? 16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 17 of the question. You can answer. 18 A. It has to carry the wire with it. 19 It can't -- there is no way for it to -- I'm 20 not sure I agree that it was a belt wire, but, 21 you know, I tend to think it was a body ply 22 wire, but there you go, I don't have a 23 measurement of it. 24 And the reason, the reason for 25 that is that, you know, it takes a fair amount</p>
<p>86</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And again, I asked you what the 3 evidence was for that, and you said there is 4 none supporting that it did and there is none 5 contradicting that it did. Is that correct? 6 A. In my opinion the evidence is the 7 wire is through the inner liner. In my 8 opinion it wouldn't get there by itself. So 9 the screw had to get it there. 10 Q. Well, the screw encounters -- we 11 are talking about a wire that came from one of 12 the belts, correct? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. And that's before the screw even 15 would have gotten to the body cords of the 16 tire, correct? 17 A. That's correct. 18 Q. So at some point contact is made 19 by the screw to a steel belt wire. Do we know 20 if the steel belt wire came from the number 21 four, three, two or one belt? 22 A. I don't think that's been 23 determined. 24 Q. Have you determined that? 25 A. No.</p>	<p>88</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 of time to migrate a wire in a tire. That 3 doesn't happen overnight. And the leak rate 4 that I measured on this tire just simply does 5 not support this puncture having been in there 6 for any amount of time. 7 Q. Well, we will get to the leak 8 rate. 9 A. Um-hum. 10 Q. But you are saying through the 11 laws of physics, you have an equal and 12 opposite reaction, right? You have something 13 that is hitting a wire with enough force that 14 the wire won't be able to be displaced and 15 pierce through the inner liner rubber on its 16 own, just from the force of being struck. Is 17 that what you are saying? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 19 form. You can answer. 20 A. Well, this is not like billiards 21 where you hit a ball and it rolls off on its 22 own. 23 The wire won't roll off on its 24 own. It has to be dragged by the puncturing 25 entity.</p>

<p>89</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. What if the wire was struck and</p> <p>3 positioned in such a way that it was facing</p> <p>4 perpendicular to the surface of the inner</p> <p>5 lining, okay?</p> <p>6 A. Okay.</p> <p>7 Q. Would subsequent revolutions of</p> <p>8 the tire cause extra force to be applied and</p> <p>9 cause that wire to penetrate the inner liner?</p> <p>10 A. Well, if it is turned, and it</p> <p>11 doesn't have -- if it is not far enough along</p> <p>12 to get to the inner liner, then what you are</p> <p>13 supposing, further wire turns down.</p> <p>14 In other words, it is still</p> <p>15 connected to the cable. So in order for</p> <p>16 further wire to turn down, it has to be</p> <p>17 because the wire, the wire bundle is basically</p> <p>18 becoming unwrapped, that is, there is no wrap</p> <p>19 cable holding it altogether, no wrap wire</p> <p>20 binding the cords.</p> <p>21 And, again, that's going to take a</p> <p>22 while and it is basically going to migrate</p> <p>23 away from where the screw is. And the</p> <p>24 physical evidence we have is this thing's</p> <p>25 right in line with the screw. There is no</p>	<p>91</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. So in other words you cannot</p> <p>3 refute that it could have happened within two</p> <p>4 or three days over a distance of 500 miles, is</p> <p>5 that correct?</p> <p>6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>7 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>8 A. Again, I wouldn't know any basis</p> <p>9 for that as well. That's a guess on</p> <p>10 somebody's part.</p> <p>11 Q. Can you refute that, though?</p> <p>12 A. As easily as somebody might be</p> <p>13 able to refute my one day or less.</p> <p>14 Q. So you think it is like a 50/50</p> <p>15 proposition here?</p> <p>16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>17 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>18 A. More miles are better. The</p> <p>19 longer -- you know, it takes a while for a</p> <p>20 wire to migrate in a tire. It doesn't happen</p> <p>21 instantaneous. You have got to extract that</p> <p>22 wire from somewhere in the cable.</p> <p>23 Q. How about if it was 600 miles over</p> <p>24 five days?</p> <p>25 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p>
<p>90</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 migration away from it or anything else.</p> <p>3 Q. What is a while, how long would</p> <p>4 that process take?</p> <p>5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>6 form. You can answer.</p> <p>7 Q. You said it would take a while.</p> <p>8 A. Yeah, it would --</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>10 form. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. It would certainly take more than</p> <p>12 a day.</p> <p>13 Q. How about two days?</p> <p>14 A. More than a day.</p> <p>15 Q. How about two days?</p> <p>16 A. More than a day.</p> <p>17 Q. How about two days, would that be</p> <p>18 enough?</p> <p>19 A. It depends on how many miles you</p> <p>20 are driving and what kind of load.</p> <p>21 Q. How many miles would you need?</p> <p>22 A. Again, in the absence of a binding</p> <p>23 wire, I don't know. I don't think anybody can</p> <p>24 tell you the answer to that correctly or</p> <p>25 within any reasonable degree of certainty.</p>	<p>92</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 form.</p> <p>3 A. Wire migrations that I have seen</p> <p>4 have been over thousands of miles.</p> <p>5 Q. How many thousands?</p> <p>6 A. Thousands of miles. I don't know</p> <p>7 how many thousands of miles. But they didn't</p> <p>8 happen in two or three days. They didn't</p> <p>9 happen in -- you know, they happened where a</p> <p>10 wire is misplaced in the construction of the</p> <p>11 tire, so the tire is new.</p> <p>12 I see it after it has failed, it</p> <p>13 is, you know, 50,000 miles down the road and</p> <p>14 there is a migrated wire.</p> <p>15 Q. I'm talking about a situation</p> <p>16 where you have a radial medium truck tire</p> <p>17 that's been punctured into the tread belt</p> <p>18 package by a screw. Have you seen any other</p> <p>19 situations like that in terms of belt</p> <p>20 migration?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>22 form. You can answer.</p> <p>23 A. Again, I don't see how the belt</p> <p>24 wire would migrate. It is attached at both --</p> <p>25 it is attached at one end. How can it</p>

<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 migrate? 3 Q. Again, I'm asking you is it less 4 likely, more likely or just as likely that it 5 could have migrated over a period of days, as 6 opposed to the screw tip driving the wire 7 through the inner liner? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 9 of the question. You can answer. It 10 has also been asked and answered. You 11 can answer the question. 12 A. I think it is absolutely unlikely 13 that the barred wire migrated at all. 14 Q. And why do you say it is unlikely? 15 A. Because it is still -- the wire is 16 only cut in one place. So for it to migrate, 17 it -- typically, the wire has to be free. 18 It's got to be able to move. It is attached 19 at one end of the cable. Whichever cable it 20 came from, it is attached. So the chances for 21 it to migrate, are very, very small, one. 22 Second, it is directly under the 23 line of the screw. So if it's, if it's, if it 24 starts out, if you want to have a hypothesis 25 that it migrates and it starts out adjacent to</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 screw is? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 4 answer. 5 A. Probably not. Again, it is being 6 pulled along by the thread, not the tip. 7 Q. When you say it is being pulled 8 along, isn't a portion of the wire sticking 9 out ahead of where the screw tip is? 10 A. Not likely. Again, the screw tip 11 may cut the wire, but it is being drug along 12 by traction between the thread and the rubber 13 around the wire. So it, you know, it lags the 14 screw tip by some amount. 15 It is not like the wire is huge 16 and the screw tip spears the wire tip and 17 pushes it ahead of it. That doesn't happen. 18 Q. And what in the x-ray tells you 19 that? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 21 form. You can answer. 22 A. Well, the shape of the screw. The 23 screw tip is, you know -- you can't see the 24 penetrating wire in the x-ray. So I know -- 25 but I know the screw tip, you know, if the</p>
<p>1 94 2 J.W. DAWS 3 the screw, then the only way for it to get 4 enough length to actually go through the inner 5 liner, means it has to move away from the 6 liner, the screw because it is attached at the 7 one end of the cable. 8 Q. Well, it didn't migrate, did it? 9 I mean, it is attached to the cable and it 10 extended through the inner liner. 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 12 of the question. You can answer. 13 A. Again, I believe that the wire was 14 pushed into the inner liner by the screw. The 15 screw actually drove it through the inner 16 liner. 17 Q. And what portion of the screw 18 would have had to have hit the tire in this 19 fashion to cause it to drive down like that? 20 A. One of the threads. I mean, the 21 tip is going to have to cut the wire and 22 because the screw gets dramatically larger and 23 it has threads on it, it is going to tend to 24 drag the wire along with it. 25 Q. Now, when it is dragging the wire, 1 isn't the wire extended ahead of where the</p>	<p>1 96 2 J.W. DAWS 3 screw tip cuts the wire, then the only thing 4 to drag, the only thing to provide traction on 5 the wire is not the screw tip because it's 6 already passed. 7 Q. How far was the screw tip from the 8 inner liner? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. About, from the inside of the 12 inner liner, about .08 inches, .08 or so. 13 Q. And did you measure the hole where 14 the wire was that penetrated the inner liner? 15 A. No, I didn't. 16 Q. Was that hole equal to, less than 17 or greater than the size of the diameter of 18 the screw? 19 A. Based on my microphotographs, it 20 is slightly larger than the diameter of the 21 wire. 22 Q. Did you measure the hole in the 23 photographs? 24 A. No. 25 Q. So then why are you saying it is 1 slightly larger?</p>

<p>97</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. Because you can see the diameter</p> <p>3 of the screw or the diameter of the wire and</p> <p>4 you can see the hole just slightly larger than</p> <p>5 it.</p> <p>6 Q. But you made no measurement of it?</p> <p>7 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p>8 Q. Now, you have indicated that the</p> <p>9 screw was trapped in the steel belts, isn't</p> <p>10 that right?</p> <p>11 A. That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q. So how is it that the screw, if</p> <p>13 trapped in the steel belts, could penetrate</p> <p>14 into the liner and then settle back out of the</p> <p>15 liner?</p> <p>16 A. Well, remember that the screw</p> <p>17 punctures in and then it's snapped off. All</p> <p>18 right, so in that process of snapping off, it</p> <p>19 is going to settle back to a locked position.</p> <p>20 Q. Well, what's exactly trapped in</p> <p>21 the steel wires? Isn't the thread of the</p> <p>22 screws --</p> <p>23 A. The threads of the screws are held</p> <p>24 between wires.</p> <p>25 Q. So why does it matter that the</p>	<p>99</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 certain place and it won't go any further, so</p> <p>3 it has to back out. Plus, when the screw is</p> <p>4 snapped off, that's going to tend to pull it</p> <p>5 back a little bit.</p> <p>6 Q. Why does it have to back off? Why</p> <p>7 doesn't it stay exactly where it stopped?</p> <p>8 A. Because --</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>10 answer.</p> <p>11 A. Because it is physically unstable</p> <p>12 there. It is not the point of minimum energy.</p> <p>13 It is the point of minimum energy -- I mean,</p> <p>14 the belts are -- the belts are -- if it is</p> <p>15 sitting at a point of a major diameter on a</p> <p>16 thread, then the belts are stretched. And as</p> <p>17 they work, it is going to pop the thing back.</p> <p>18 It is going to push it back. And since the,</p> <p>19 since the break is just below the top of the</p> <p>20 outer belt, you know, I mean, it is right at</p> <p>21 the top of the outer belt. You can see that</p> <p>22 there is steel in there but you can't, you</p> <p>23 know, it was almost impossible to determine</p> <p>24 what it was, and because these screws tend to</p> <p>25 be fairly brittle, you know, they tend to</p>
<p>98</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 portion that's sticking out of the tread is</p> <p>3 cut off if the threads are trapped within the</p> <p>4 steel belt area?</p> <p>5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>6 form. It has also been asked and</p> <p>7 answered. Over objection, you can</p> <p>8 answer.</p> <p>9 A. I'm not sure I even understand the</p> <p>10 question.</p> <p>11 Q. Well, you said that the reason why</p> <p>12 you believe the screw came back away from the</p> <p>13 liner was because a portion of the screw was</p> <p>14 snapped off. Is that correct?</p> <p>15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>16 answer.</p> <p>17 A. Well, I think that that's the most</p> <p>18 likely scenario. The screw is going to settle</p> <p>19 to a point of minimum energy and that's going</p> <p>20 to be where the wires between -- in the belts</p> <p>21 are sitting at the minor diameter, that is,</p> <p>22 between the threads. All right?</p> <p>23 So when the screw punctures in, if</p> <p>24 it is not at that energy, it's going to back</p> <p>25 out. It only has enough energy to get to a</p>	<p>100</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 break if you bend them.</p> <p>3 My opinion is that the screw went</p> <p>4 in, did its dirty work on the wire, and the</p> <p>5 next time the tire came around, it snapped the</p> <p>6 extra portion of the screw off. Because there</p> <p>7 was nothing in the tread, you know, there was</p> <p>8 no screw piece in the tread, and there was no</p> <p>9 impression on the tread to suggest that the</p> <p>10 screw had been bent over and run for a while</p> <p>11 to cut an impression into the tread. It was a</p> <p>12 clean cut.</p> <p>13 Q. Now, if I wanted to confirm what</p> <p>14 you have just told me in terms of how a screw</p> <p>15 migrates or doesn't migrate in the steel-belt</p> <p>16 package of a radial medium truck tire, what</p> <p>17 might I go to read, what journal or book might</p> <p>18 I read that describes that process?</p> <p>19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>20 form. You can answer.</p> <p>21 A. Well, you would probably start out</p> <p>22 with Energy Methods in Elastodynamics. You</p> <p>23 may start with --</p> <p>24 Q. Right, some type of theoretical</p> <p>25 study?</p>

<p>101</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. Well, it is not a study. It is</p> <p>3 the basics of energy methods. That is,</p> <p>4 systems seek minimum energy states.</p> <p>5 Q. Have any tire manufacturers done</p> <p>6 any studies on how the steel belts or --</p> <p>7 strike that; how screws penetrate a steel-belt</p> <p>8 package?</p> <p>9 A. No, not to my knowledge.</p> <p>10 Q. Has any study been done which</p> <p>11 examines how a steel belt is affected by being</p> <p>12 struck by a screw in an in-service operation,</p> <p>13 as far as you know?</p> <p>14 A. No, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. Has any peer-reviewed article or</p> <p>16 study been conducted which describes how</p> <p>17 screws affect steel belts when coming into</p> <p>18 contact with them during the operation of a</p> <p>19 radial medium truck tire?</p> <p>20 A. You know, I preface this by, you</p> <p>21 know, punctures tend to be treated by tire</p> <p>22 companies as road hazard and not their</p> <p>23 problem. So I haven't seen any tire companies</p> <p>24 publishing any kind of studies about punctures</p> <p>25 at all.</p>	<p>103</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. Are you denying that you referred</p> <p>3 to it as an environmental factor in numerous</p> <p>4 prior depositions?</p> <p>5 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>6 answer.</p> <p>7 A. If I described it as an</p> <p>8 environmental factor, I'll stand by that,</p> <p>9 sure.</p> <p>10 Q. And what is an environmental</p> <p>11 factor?</p> <p>12 A. Well, I would, I would basically</p> <p>13 think of an environmental factor as ozone, as</p> <p>14 something associated with the environment,</p> <p>15 rather than something associated with things</p> <p>16 lying on the road. But, you know, I guess you</p> <p>17 could consider it part of the environment. I</p> <p>18 mean, the tire is operating over a road and</p> <p>19 there you go.</p> <p>20 Q. And an environmental factor is one</p> <p>21 that is out of the control of a manufacturer</p> <p>22 of the tire, is that correct?</p> <p>23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>24 form. You can answer.</p> <p>25 A. Well, yes and no. I mean, tires</p>
<p>102</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. How about the Department of</p> <p>3 Transportation, have they done any studies</p> <p>4 into that?</p> <p>5 A. No, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. Have they performed any defect</p> <p>7 investigations concerning how a screw's</p> <p>8 puncture affects steel-belt packages in radial</p> <p>9 medium truck tires?</p> <p>10 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>11 answer.</p> <p>12 A. No, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. In fact, not just the tire</p> <p>14 companies but you, yourself, on numerous</p> <p>15 occasions have described a puncture by a screw</p> <p>16 as a road hazard. Isn't that correct?</p> <p>17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>18 answer.</p> <p>19 A. That's correct.</p> <p>20 Q. And you on many occasions have</p> <p>21 described a puncture by a screw as an</p> <p>22 environmental factor. Isn't that correct?</p> <p>23 A. It's a road hazard. I don't know</p> <p>24 whether I would describe it as an</p> <p>25 environmental hazard, but it is a road hazard.</p>	<p>104</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 need to be built to deal with the hazards that</p> <p>3 are in the field, and any tire manufacturer</p> <p>4 should be looking at how tires are failing.</p> <p>5 And if they see an increase in that rate at</p> <p>6 which they are failing, then you would expect</p> <p>7 them to be concerned about it. Because,</p> <p>8 again, they don't have control over the state</p> <p>9 of the roads or the hazards they are in.</p> <p>10 Q. Is any tire puncture-proof as far</p> <p>11 as you know, any radial medium truck tire or</p> <p>12 tire used for commercial bus operation?</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>14 form. You can answer.</p> <p>15 A. There will always be some level of</p> <p>16 puncturing entity and some -- some level of</p> <p>17 energy and some puncturing entity that can</p> <p>18 puncture a pneumatic tire. There are lots of</p> <p>19 tire designs that you can puncture and they</p> <p>20 don't affect the operation of the tire, but a</p> <p>21 pneumatic tire like we are talking about here,</p> <p>22 there is always going to be something that can</p> <p>23 puncture it.</p> <p>24 Q. Puncture it through the tread and</p> <p>25 through the inner liner, correct?</p>

<p>105</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. That's correct.</p> <p>3 Q. And many punctures occur which</p> <p>4 have nothing to do with a defective condition</p> <p>5 of the tire, is that correct?</p> <p>6 In other words, would you say that</p> <p>7 any time a radial medium truck tire is</p> <p>8 punctured that that means that tire is</p> <p>9 defective?</p> <p>10 A. No, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. So in other words punctures occur</p> <p>12 that have absolutely nothing to do with a</p> <p>13 defect in the design and manufacture of the</p> <p>14 tire?</p> <p>15 A. That's not what I said. What I</p> <p>16 said was that there was an energy level at</p> <p>17 which a tire, any tire can be punctured. And</p> <p>18 a tire by its design, should be able to deal</p> <p>19 with a majority of the entities that it finds.</p> <p>20 So there is going to be big ones</p> <p>21 that will puncture any tire, but there are</p> <p>22 going to be little ones that shouldn't</p> <p>23 puncture every tire.</p> <p>24 Q. If a screw or a nail punctures a</p> <p>25 tire, does that mean that that tire is</p>	<p>107</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. The specification C-3, going from</p> <p>3 C-2 to C-3, constitutes a design defect.</p> <p>4 Whether it actually causes the failure of a</p> <p>5 tire, depends on the puncture -- on the</p> <p>6 puncture.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, let me break that down. Are</p> <p>8 you saying that any time a C-3 G-409 tire is</p> <p>9 punctured, that it necessarily follows that</p> <p>10 that puncture resulted because of a design or</p> <p>11 manufacturing defect in that tire?</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>13 answer.</p> <p>14 A. I'm saying that the design defect</p> <p>15 in that tire made it more probable that the</p> <p>16 tire will be punctured. That is a lower</p> <p>17 energy puncturing event.</p> <p>18 Q. What I'm asking you -- listen to</p> <p>19 my question. A C-3 G-409 tire, are you saying</p> <p>20 that every time that tire is punctured, that</p> <p>21 it's the result of a manufacturing or design</p> <p>22 defect?</p> <p>23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>24 form. Asked and answered. You can</p> <p>25 answer.</p>
<p>106</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 defective?</p> <p>3 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>4 answered. Objection to the form. You</p> <p>5 can answer.</p> <p>6 A. I think that depends on how the</p> <p>7 tire's been built, what kind of changes have</p> <p>8 been made in it, what sorts of -- and as NHTSA</p> <p>9 would do, what kind of failure rates you are</p> <p>10 seeing from road hazard.</p> <p>11 Q. If a G-409 tire is punctured, does</p> <p>12 that mean that that tire was defectively</p> <p>13 designed or manufactured?</p> <p>14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>15 form. Asked and answered. You can</p> <p>16 answer.</p> <p>17 A. What it means is that the G-409</p> <p>18 tire encountered a puncturing entity which had</p> <p>19 the size and energy required to puncture it.</p> <p>20 Q. And what I'm asking you is, would</p> <p>21 you conclude in all instances that the tire</p> <p>22 encountered that type of situation, that the</p> <p>23 tire was defective in design or manufacturing?</p> <p>24 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form and</p> <p>25 asked and answered. You can answer.</p>	<p>108</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. The question of the defect is</p> <p>3 constant. The question of whether it causes</p> <p>4 the tire to fail, depends on how it is</p> <p>5 punctured.</p> <p>6 Q. Well, there is a question of a</p> <p>7 defect, and there is a question of whether or</p> <p>8 not the defect is causally related to a</p> <p>9 particular puncture.</p> <p>10 And what I'm asking you is, just</p> <p>11 because a C-3 G-409 tire is punctured, doesn't</p> <p>12 mean that that tire is manufactured or</p> <p>13 designed defectively. Is that correct?</p> <p>14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>15 form. Objection: asked and answered.</p> <p>16 Over objection, you can answer again.</p> <p>17 A. No, it's not. You know, this is</p> <p>18 very similar --</p> <p>19 Q. It is not correct, is that what</p> <p>20 you are saying?</p> <p>21 A. It is not correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Right. So in other words a G-409</p> <p>23 tire manufactured to the C-3 specification,</p> <p>24 can suffer a puncture which is not caused by</p> <p>25 any design or manufacturing defect?</p>

<p>109</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. No. What I --</p> <p>3 Q. That's what I'm asking you.</p> <p>4 A. Well, just, okay.</p> <p>5 Q. I want you to answer my question.</p> <p>6 A. I don't understand your question,</p> <p>7 then. Because, you know, just because a tire</p> <p>8 has a defect, doesn't mean that it fails</p> <p>9 because of that defect. I think we are all --</p> <p>10 everybody understands that part of it.</p> <p>11 Q. That's right.</p> <p>12 A. That is something that NHTSA</p> <p>13 clearly believes and has used in many</p> <p>14 different defect analyses.</p> <p>15 Q. And now what I'm asking you is,</p> <p>16 just because a C-3 G-409 tire sustains a</p> <p>17 puncture, that doesn't mean that the puncture</p> <p>18 was caused as a result of a particular design</p> <p>19 or manufacturing defect in the tire?</p> <p>20 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>21 answered. Also the form. You can</p> <p>22 answer.</p> <p>23 A. Okay, again, I don't understand</p> <p>24 the question. I mean, that's like -- that's</p> <p>25 like, you know, if we go back to a Wilderness</p>	<p>111</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. If I've got a hundred punctures to</p> <p>3 G-409 C-3 tires, does it necessarily follow</p> <p>4 that all of those 100 punctures occurred</p> <p>5 because the G-409 C-3 tire is defective?</p> <p>6 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>7 answered. You can answer.</p> <p>8 A. That question cannot be answered</p> <p>9 in a vacuum. That question can only be</p> <p>10 answered relative to the C-2 tires and the</p> <p>11 difference between their puncture rates.</p> <p>12 Q. I'm not asking you to compare a</p> <p>13 C-2 and a C-3. The same way I'm not asking</p> <p>14 you right now to compare a four-belted G-409</p> <p>15 tire with a three-belted G-409 tire.</p> <p>16 I'm asking you simply if you have</p> <p>17 a G-409 C-3 tire, isn't it possible that it</p> <p>18 can be punctured and that the mechanism</p> <p>19 causing the puncture is not causally related</p> <p>20 to any design defect in the tire?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>22 answered. You can answer.</p> <p>23 A. I'm trying to think of how I can</p> <p>24 answer your question without getting the same</p> <p>25 question back again.</p>
<p>110</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 AT type example. Firestone made a change in</p> <p>3 the wedge product of the tire. Just because</p> <p>4 the tire failed, didn't mean that the wedge</p> <p>5 had anything to do with the tire failure. But</p> <p>6 all of those tires were defective according to</p> <p>7 NHTSA.</p> <p>8 Q. Right. But I'm asking you, and</p> <p>9 let me try to make it clear, just because a</p> <p>10 G-409 C-3 tire is punctured, regardless of</p> <p>11 what your theories are regarding whether or</p> <p>12 not there is a defect existing in the G-409</p> <p>13 C-3 tire, does it necessarily imply that any</p> <p>14 puncture sustained by the G-409 C-3 tire was</p> <p>15 as a result or because of that design defect?</p> <p>16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>17 form. Asked and answered. Over</p> <p>18 objection, you can answer.</p> <p>19 A. Well, I think I said before that</p> <p>20 there is always going to be a puncture energy</p> <p>21 or puncturing entity that will puncture any</p> <p>22 tire.</p> <p>23 Q. Right. But you are not answering</p> <p>24 the question I'm asking you.</p> <p>25 A. Change it.</p>	<p>112</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 As I said, you know, you can</p> <p>3 puncture a tire having -- with sufficient</p> <p>4 energy, so, you know, if I walk up to a tire</p> <p>5 with a nail gun, you know, and it is belt</p> <p>6 like a normal tire, you can shoot the nail</p> <p>7 through the tire. There is always</p> <p>8 sufficient -- there is always a case where</p> <p>9 sufficient energy can puncture the tire.</p> <p>10 The question is, when you present</p> <p>11 the tire with the range of random occurrences</p> <p>12 on the highway, how many of those tires that</p> <p>13 are in service will be punctured versus some</p> <p>14 other design. Everything in the tire industry</p> <p>15 is evolutionary by definition. You look at</p> <p>16 what you do in one design, you compare that</p> <p>17 with what you do in another design, and that</p> <p>18 is the only way to make such an assessment.</p> <p>19 Q. A properly manufactured and</p> <p>20 designed tire can be caused to puncture, is</p> <p>21 that correct?</p> <p>22 A. You can always come up with a way</p> <p>23 to puncture a tire, a pneumatic tire.</p> <p>24 Q. And would you say that properly</p> <p>25 manufactured and designed tires can be</p>

<p>113</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 punctured as a result of a screw on a road 3 surface?</p> <p>4 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 5 answered. You can answer.</p> <p>6 A. Again, there are always scenarios 7 by which you can generate sufficient energy to 8 puncture a tire, be it by a screw or a nail or 9 whatever.</p> <p>10 Q. And it has nothing to do with 11 whether or not the tire is defective or not, 12 is that correct?</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 14 answer.</p> <p>15 A. The tire -- again, with sufficient 16 energy, I can puncture any tire. Any tire. 17 With sufficient energy.</p> <p>18 Q. And it doesn't mean that that tire 19 is defective, correct?</p> <p>20 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 21 answer.</p> <p>22 A. I can puncture any tire with 23 sufficient energy. Any tire.</p> <p>24 Q. And that does not mean that the 25 tire that you might puncture is defective,</p>	<p>115</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 that --</p> <p>3 A. I'm struggling --</p> <p>4 Q. -- and I think you are avoiding to 5 answer the question in the way that I'm asking 6 it.</p> <p>7 A. Well, that's your opinion. I have 8 my opinion. You have yours.</p> <p>9 Q. Are you saying that if a C-3 409 10 tire is punctured by a screw on a roadway, 11 that in all instances that puncture would have 12 occurred because that tire is defective?</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 14 answer.</p> <p>15 A. No. What I'm saying is that the 16 tire would be more likely to be punctured by 17 some energy level than a C-2 tire.</p> <p>18 Q. Now, would a three-belt retread 19 tire be more likely to be punctured than the 20 same model tire that had four belts?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 22 answer.</p> <p>23 A. I believe that's true.</p> <p>24 Q. Does that mean that the 25 three-belted retread tire is defective?</p>
<p>114</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 correct?</p> <p>3 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 4 answer.</p> <p>5 A. It doesn't mean that it is not 6 defective. It is not a, you know, if you 7 don't get punctured, you are not defective, or 8 if you get punctured, you are not defective. 9 It doesn't mean that at all.</p> <p>10 Q. And it doesn't mean you are 11 defective?</p> <p>12 A. It's a non-conclusion.</p> <p>13 Q. And it doesn't mean you are 14 defective, is that correct?</p> <p>15 MR. POLLAK: Objection.</p> <p>16 Q. Is there a reason why you are not 17 answering the question the way I'm phrasing it 18 and are flipping it around every time I ask 19 it?</p> <p>20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 21 of the question. Go ahead.</p> <p>22 A. I'm answering the question I think 23 you are asking me, and I'm answering to the 24 best of my ability.</p> <p>25 Q. I think you are smarter than</p>	<p>116</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. It means it is subject to more 3 punctures, but since it occurs in dual tire 4 applications, it is certainly not a safety 5 issue.</p> <p>6 Q. Is the C-3 tire defective merely 7 because it might have a higher puncture 8 frequency than the C-2 G-409 tire?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 10 form. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>12 Q. Defective?</p> <p>13 A. Defective.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you compare the puncture 15 frequencies of the C-3 G-409 tire and C-2 16 G-409 tire with any other radial medium truck 17 tires and radial medium truck tires that are 18 used for commercial bus service?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>20 Q. Which tires did you compare them 21 to?</p> <p>22 A. One of the Michelin tires that was 23 used to replace these tires. As my analysis 24 shows, the puncture rate went from around 1 25 per million steer tire miles. After the C-3</p>

117 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 change, it went up to around 4. And then when 3 the tires were replaced by Michelin tires, it 4 went back down to 1. 5 Q. Again, this is, you are referring 6 to that 1852-page maintenance desk report? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. What I'm asking you is, did you do 9 any specific puncture studies comparing 10 puncture frequencies between the C-3 G-409, 11 the C-2 G-409 and any other tire? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 13 You can answer. 14 A. No, sir. 15 Q. Do you know the puncture rate of 16 any radial medium truck tires that are used in 17 long-haul service or commercial bus service 18 that have been manufactured by Continental? 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. Have you compared their puncture 21 frequency with the G-409, either C-2 or C-3? 22 A. No, sir. 23 Q. Have you compared the puncture 24 resistance frequency or puncture frequency of 25 Firestone radial medium truck tires and</p>	119 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 puncture frequency with the Goodyear G-409 3 tire of any specification, including the C-2 4 or C-3? 5 A. No, sir. That can't be done. 6 Q. Well, is there any basis for you 7 to say that any of those manufacturers' tires 8 are or do have puncture frequencies that are 9 greater or lower than the G-409 tire? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 11 form. You can answer. 12 A. All I can tell you is that the 13 Michelin tire that replaced the G-409, has a 14 lower puncture frequency than the C-3 version 15 of this tire. 16 Q. Well, that's not based on a 17 puncture frequency test, is it? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 19 You can answer. 20 A. Sure it is. It is the exposure of 21 a population of tires to the random puncturing 22 events on the highway over a long period of 23 time. 24 Q. Again, you are just referring to 25 the 1852-page maintenance desk report,</p>
118 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 commercial bus tires with any version of the 3 Goodyear G-409 tire? 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. What other manufacturers make 6 radial medium truck tires? 7 A. Many different manufacturers. I 8 think every manufacturer that makes tires, 9 makes medium radial truck tires. 10 Q. And do those manufacturers also 11 make tires, radial medium truck tires that are 12 used for commercial bus application? 13 A. I don't know the answer to that 14 question. I think some of the small players 15 may not. Like Tornel in Mexico, for example, 16 may not make bus tires. 17 Q. But all of the major manufacturers 18 make such bus tires, correct? 19 A. That's correct. Whether they make 20 315/80R 22-1/2 I don't know. 21 Q. And again, have you done any 22 comparative studies specifically looking for 23 the puncture frequency between all of those 24 manufacturers' radial medium truck tires and 25 commercial bus tires in terms of their</p>	120 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 correct? 3 A. There is no other data, so, yes. 4 Q. So that's the only thing. So what 5 I'm saying to you is, can you say one way or 6 another whether or not any manufacturer's tire 7 has a greater or lower puncture frequency in 8 terms of radial medium truck tires and radial 9 medium truck tires used in commercial bus 10 applications, than any version of the G-409 11 tire? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. Objection: 13 asked and answered. You can answer it 14 again. 15 A. Again, you know, other than the 16 study I did with the data I had, I know of no 17 other such data. 18 Q. And that would mean that you know 19 of no articles or industry publications or 20 journals or anything that's peer-reviewed 21 which would compare the frequency of punctures 22 between radial medium truck tires and radial 23 medium truck tires used on commercial buses 24 between any of the manufacturers? 25 A. That's correct.</p>

<p>121</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Now, you have said in depositions 3 that if a tire was defective, you would expect 4 thousands and thousands and thousands of 5 similar failures. Is that correct? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 7 of the question. You can answer. 8 A. You would certainly expect a large 9 number. I mean, a defective, you know, a 10 defective tire depending on how it is 11 defective, I think that commentary had to do 12 with Wilderness, you know, radial ATX2 and 13 Wilderness AT where there were, what, 16 to 20 14 million tires in service. You would expect 15 thousands, certainly thousands and thousands 16 of failures, yes. 17 Now, again, the failure rate is 18 typically in percentages. When you start 19 getting above a percentage, a percent of tires 20 that are failing for a given reason, then 21 there is cause for concern. 22 Q. Now, is there any precise data 23 which says what the percentage of Greyhound 24 tires were that were failing as a result of 25 punctures.</p>	<p>123</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No, Greyhound won't have that 3 data. 4 Q. And Greyhound has no 5 responsibility for the safety of their 6 passengers? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 8 of the question. You can answer. 9 A. Greyhound has maintenance response 10 data that says, hey, these tires failed for 11 these reasons. 12 Q. In the 1852 pages -- have you read 13 all those pages by the way? 14 A. Yes, I have. 15 Q. How many times does the word 16 puncture appear? 17 A. I couldn't tell you. 18 Q. How about once? 19 A. All right. 20 Q. Is that a report that seeks to 21 determine what the puncture rate was in tires 22 that were used on Greyhound buses? 23 A. No, that's a report that covers 24 basically all the times service had to be 25 provided in normal operation.</p>
<p>122</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Can you repeat the 3 question, please. 4 (Record read.) 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 6 form. You can answer. 7 A. Again, I think the maintenance 8 response desk data is the best data out there. 9 Q. Best or only data that you are 10 referring to? 11 A. Well, I'm sure Goodyear had data, 12 but they never produced it to us, so there you 13 go. 14 Q. Well, you don't know that any such 15 data like that exists, do you? 16 A. Well, they are taking back every 17 single tire that comes. Why wouldn't they 18 have that data? 19 Q. The question is do you know 20 whether or not Goodyear has such data? 21 A. If they don't have that data, then 22 they are totally remiss in their 23 responsibility as a tire maker. 24 Q. Well, does Greyhound have that 25 data?</p>	<p>124</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you think if Greyhound, acting 3 as a responsible commercial carrier of 4 passengers, was experiencing a puncture 5 problem with the tires that were on its buses, 6 that it should have done something about it? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 8 of the question. 9 A. I'm not sure what Greyhound could 10 do about it. You know, Goodyear certainly 11 participates in those maintenance reviews, 12 and, you know, when large percentages of tires 13 are failing in steer axle service, you would 14 think they would be looking into the cause. 15 And in fact they said they were looking into 16 the cause. 17 Q. And did anybody to your knowledge 18 ever conclude that steer axle failures were 19 occurring in disproportionate numbers because 20 they were being punctured? 21 A. Well, certainly -- 22 Q. That's a yes or a no. 23 A. Well, wait a minute. 24 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 25 of the question. You can answer over</p>

<p>125</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 objection. 3 A. Flats and, you know, flats and -- 4 let me see what the other category was. 5 Flats and leaks represented 6 together, represented certainly the largest 7 percentage of all the -- of all the failures. 8 Q. Flats? I didn't hear the word 9 puncture there. 10 A. Well, what is the most likely 11 cause of a flat? It is a puncture. 12 Q. Well, is that what Greyhound 13 employees and engineers have said? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 15 of the question. You can answer. 16 A. I don't have any idea what 17 Greyhound employees have said. 18 Q. Well, let me ask you this. You 19 have been involved with this case for quite 20 some time, correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Over three years? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Q. Have you ever encountered any 25 documents prepared by Greyhound employees in</p>	<p>127</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. That's what the title says. 3 Again, I've never been a risk manager. I 4 I don't have any idea really what a risk manager 5 would do. 6 Q. Well, just from your own 7 experience, what do you think a risk manager 8 does? 9 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 10 objection. You can answer. 11 A. Again, I've never been a risk 12 manager. I don't even know -- I've never 13 worked in a company that had a risk manager 14 that I know of. 15 Q. Michelin didn't have risk 16 managers? 17 A. The department managers associated 18 with tire design and testing and so on, 19 handled that function as far as I know. 20 Q. Would you think that a common 21 carrier such as Greyhound has that type of 22 position in its organization? 23 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 24 objection. You can answer. 25 A. Again, I don't know what a risk</p>
<p>126</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 their regular course of business where they 3 indicated that they were concerned because of 4 a high puncture rate of Goodyear G-409 tires? 5 A. I don't recall. 6 Q. That is something you would 7 recall, I assume, if you had encountered it, 8 correct? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 10 answer it. 11 A. It's possible. Again, I don't 12 have all of Greyhound's data, you know, I 13 don't have all of Greyhound's paperwork, 14 presentations. 15 Q. Oh, is there a reason why 16 Greyhound might withhold information like that 17 from you, their tire expert in this 18 litigation? 19 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection to 20 the form. You can answer. 21 A. I have no idea. 22 Q. Do you know what a risk manager 23 is? 24 A. Not really. 25 Q. Somebody who manages risk?</p>	<p>128</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 manager does. I don't know. 3 Q. Well, would you think it is 4 important for somebody at Greyhound to examine 5 trends in terms of how accidents occur or how 6 failures occur in their buses? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 8 of the question. You can answer. 9 A. Well, again, you know, analysis 10 like that is typically done on the basis of 11 Pareto, where you deal with the big ones 12 first, you deal with the ones that cause you 13 the most, cause you the most issues and you 14 work towards smaller ones. 15 Q. Would you say that a puncture 16 problem on tires that are being used on its 17 buses, would be a big concern of Greyhound's, 18 or a little concern of Greyhound's? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 20 of the question. You can answer. 21 A. Well, I mean, if you look at, if 22 you look at the data for steer axles, you 23 know, there were, what did we say, 1800 total 24 records, 1800 pages, five records to a page, so 25 they were pushing 10,000 records.</p>

<p>129</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. Yes.</p> <p>3 A. Right, in that, and those were</p> <p>4 tire issues only of which steer axle, you</p> <p>5 know, flats and leaks represented around 800.</p> <p>6 Q. Well, let me ask you something.</p> <p>7 Was Greyhound just collecting that data, or</p> <p>8 were they collecting and analyzing that data?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Just note my</p> <p>10 objection. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. Don't know. I mean, certainly</p> <p>12 they collected because it represents issues.</p> <p>13 Now, I don't know what the overall Pareto of</p> <p>14 that looked like.</p> <p>15 Q. It represents issues, meaning that</p> <p>16 if you examine the data, you try to find</p> <p>17 patterns and see if there is any specific type</p> <p>18 of failure modes that you need to be concerned</p> <p>19 with. Isn't that correct?</p> <p>20 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection.</p> <p>21 You can answer.</p> <p>22 A. That's one way you can use the</p> <p>23 data, sure.</p> <p>24 Q. Well, why else would you need the</p> <p>25 data. What does it matter if you have a</p>	<p>131</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>3 answered. You can answer.</p> <p>4 A. Again, the only kind of analysis I</p> <p>5 have seen like that was overall failure rates.</p> <p>6 MR. KAPLAN: Could you read back</p> <p>7 that last question, please. I don't</p> <p>8 know if I got an answer to that.</p> <p>9 (Record read.)</p> <p>10 Q. Could you answer that question,</p> <p>11 sir?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. The answer is no?</p> <p>14 A. The answer is no, and -- yes, I</p> <p>15 can answer the question. No -- the answer is</p> <p>16 no. Okay.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 Did you review the deposition</p> <p>19 testimony of Alvin Ross taken June 5th, 2007?</p> <p>20 A. No, sir, that does not ring any</p> <p>21 bells, but let me just check.</p> <p>22 MR. POLLAK: Can we take a break</p> <p>23 at 12:30?</p> <p>24 MR. KAPLAN: Yeah, that will be</p> <p>25 fine.</p>
<p>130</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 record of a flat tire occurring in Illinois on</p> <p>3 a certain date in 2003, if not to see if there</p> <p>4 is some type of cumulative pattern that you</p> <p>5 can tie that incident to?</p> <p>6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>7 form. You can answer it.</p> <p>8 A. They may use to assess what they</p> <p>9 are spending and who they are spending it with</p> <p>10 in terms of service calls, for all I know.</p> <p>11 Q. Well, exactly. So with Greyhound</p> <p>12 they not only should be concerned about</p> <p>13 passenger safety, but they want to know if</p> <p>14 they are getting their bang for their buck</p> <p>15 with the tires that they are leasing from</p> <p>16 Goodyear. Isn't that right?</p> <p>17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>18 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>19 A. I would suspect so, yeah.</p> <p>20 Q. Now, did you ever see any analysis</p> <p>21 or any study done in the normal course of</p> <p>22 business by any Greyhound employee where they</p> <p>23 used that data from the maintenance desk and</p> <p>24 concluded that there was anything wrong with</p> <p>25 the puncture rates of the G-409 tires?</p>	<p>132</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 MR. POLLAK: Or sooner if you want</p> <p>3 to.</p> <p>4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The tape ends</p> <p>5 in 20 minutes.</p> <p>6 MR. KAPLAN: Perfect.</p> <p>7 I'm sorry, could you please, for</p> <p>8 the witness's convenience, read the last</p> <p>9 question.</p> <p>10 (Record read.)</p> <p>11 A. No, I did not.</p> <p>12 Q. I'm going to represent to you that</p> <p>13 Mr. Ross was the national manager of</p> <p>14 maintenance for Greyhound. And I'm also going</p> <p>15 to represent to you that he said that he had</p> <p>16 no knowledge, one way or another, whether or</p> <p>17 not there were any particular problems,</p> <p>18 defects or anomalies regarding the Goodyear</p> <p>19 G-409 tires. Does that surprise you?</p> <p>20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>21 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>22 A. Not necessarily, no.</p> <p>23 Q. I'm going to also represent to you</p> <p>24 that as national manager of maintenance, Mr.</p> <p>25 Ross never received any memos, correspondence,</p>

<p>133</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 e-mails or communications of any kind 3 regarding potential delamination or detreading 4 issues with Goodyear tires. Does that 5 surprise you?</p> <p>6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 7 form. You can answer.</p> <p>8 A. No, sir, it does not.</p> <p>9 Q. Have you reviewed the deposition 10 testimony of Mario Nava which was taken on 11 March 12, 2010?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>13 Q. And he was --</p> <p>14 A. Wait a minute, let me just check 15 the date. I don't know whether there was a 16 second deposition or something.</p> <p>17 March 12, 2010.</p> <p>18 Q. Yes.</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And Mr. Nava was the director of 21 maintenance engineering for the Greyhound bus 22 lines. Do you recall that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And do you also recall that Mr. 25 Nava said that he never knew of any red flag</p>	<p>135</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Okay. I represent to you that 3 that's what was said. Are you surprised to 4 hear that?</p> <p>5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 6 form. You can answer.</p> <p>7 A. Not necessarily, no.</p> <p>8 Q. I'm going to represent --</p> <p>9 A. Mr. Nava, his tenure ended in 10 early 2006. So the first round of the C-3 11 tires would have made there -- you know, 12 basically the summer of 2005 was the first 13 year that we really saw.</p> <p>14 Q. Wasn't it the summer of 2004? 15 Wasn't the C-3 revision July of 2004?</p> <p>16 A. July of 2004, but it takes about a 17 year, you know, 14 months or so for the tires 18 to make -- to fill up the fleet.</p> <p>19 Q. And we are well after the 14-month 20 period, aren't we now, by the time Mr. Nava 21 left?</p> <p>22 A. By a few months, yeah.</p> <p>23 Q. And Mr. Nava also said, and I will 24 represent to you, that he was never aware of 25 any safety issues with the G-409 tires. Would</p>
<p>134</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 or safety problems with the G-409 tire?</p> <p>3 A. I recall him saying that Goodyear 4 did all the failure analysis of the tires, and 5 he got no feedback saying there was a problem, 6 so.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, he was the liaison with 8 Goodyear, is that right?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection, you can 10 answer.</p> <p>11 A. As far as I know, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. So if Greyhound had any issues 13 with the Goodyear tires, he would have 14 reported them to Goodyear. Isn't that right?</p> <p>15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 16 answer.</p> <p>17 A. One would think.</p> <p>18 Q. And isn't it fair to say that 19 during the course of the time that he worked 20 at Greyhound, he never heard any problems 21 regarding the G-409 in terms of their being 22 susceptible to being punctured?</p> <p>23 A. If you represent to me that's what 24 he said, that's fine. I don't have that in my 25 summaries.</p>	<p>136</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 that surprise you?</p> <p>3 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 4 form. You can answer.</p> <p>5 A. Again, no.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. How about the testimony of 7 Mr. Richard E. James: did you read that 8 testimony? That was taken recently, August 9 10, 2010.</p> <p>10 A. Then I probably didn't, but let me 11 just check.</p> <p>12 No, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. Let me represent to you that Mr. 14 James has worked for Greyhound continuously 15 from 1991 to the present. So he would have 16 been there through the whole time period that 17 the G-409 tire, and specifically the C-3 G-409 18 tire was being used. Okay?</p> <p>19 A. Okay.</p> <p>20 Q. And let me also represent to you 21 that Mr. James was at various times the 22 manager of quality assurance at Greyhound, he 23 was the national manager, he was the garage 24 manager at the Dallas facility.</p> <p>25 Would it surprise you if I told</p>

137	139
<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 you that Mr. James said that he never heard of 3 any puncture-related issues regarding the 4 Goodyear G-409 tire? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 6 You can answer. 7 A. Again, not necessarily, no. 8 Q. Would it surprise you if Mr. James 9 said it was not a concern at Greyhound that 10 G-409 tires were experiencing a greater number 11 of punctures than anticipated because that 12 wasn't happening? 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 14 form. You can answer. 15 Q. Would that surprise you? 16 A. That would surprise me, yes. 17 Q. Would it surprise you if Mr. James 18 was asked "Did anybody ever tell you while you 19 were at Greyhound, or did you ever learn at 20 Greyhound, that puncture rates on G-409 tires 21 were considered a safety concern?" and his 22 answer was "no"? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 24 form. 25 A. That would not surprise me, no.</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 asked you. 3 Could you please reread the 4 question. 5 (Record read.) 6 MR. POLLAK: And note my objection 7 to the question. You can answer. 8 A. So none of them had any knowledge 9 of the C-3 change, so they couldn't have -- Q. No, that's not what I'm asking. Clearly in the question -- MR. POLLAK: You want to let him finish the answer. You can do what you want, but let him finish his answer. A. Then you need to ask me the question that says did they have any problems with puncture resistance in the tires, period. Because if you ask me knowledge of puncture resistance after C-3 then they have to know something about C-3. Otherwise, they are blind to it. Q. No, at any time period. At any time period. Did any of these Greyhound individuals indicate that at any time period they considered the G-409 tire to have a</p>
138	140
<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Would it surprise you if Mr. James 3 was asked if anybody had ever told him that 4 the G-409 tire was unsafe and he said no? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 6 form. You can answer. 7 A. That would not surprise me, no. 8 Q. So, apparently, none of these 9 three high ranking Greyhound individuals who 10 gave sworn testimony had any concern or any 11 knowledge about an increased puncture rate in 12 G-409 tires after the C-3 revision went into 13 effect. Is that it correct? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 15 answer it. 16 A. Well, remember that Greyhound knew 17 absolutely nothing about the C-3 change, that 18 was one of those under the table, let's make 19 this change and continue to supply product. 20 Greyhound had absolutely no understanding that 21 the tire had changed. 22 MR. KAPLAN: Why don't I have the 23 reporter reread that question because I 24 don't think you heard it correctly 25 because that's not the question that I</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 puncturability problem? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection. Over 4 objection, you can answer. 5 A. No, they did not. 6 MR. KAPLAN: Why don't we take a 7 break now. 8 MR. POLLAK: Sure. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now 10 going off the record, 12:14 p.m. This 11 is the end of tape No. 2. 12 (Lunch recess: 12:14 p.m.)</p>

<p>141</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N 3 1:18 p.m. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the 5 beginning of tape No. 3 in the Daws 6 deposition. We are going back on the 7 record at approximately 1:18 p.m. 8 J O H N W I L L I A M D A W S, 9 having been previously duly sworn, was 10 examined and testified further as 11 follows: 12 CONTINUED EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. KAPLAN: 14 Q. Good afternoon. Prior to coming 15 to this deposition today, were you shown a 16 deposition notice? 17 A. Yes, I was. 18 Q. And did you bring with you your 19 file materials that you've collected and 20 accumulated in this case? 21 A. Yes, I did. All my file 22 materials, with the exception -- let's see. 23 Yeah, all my file materials. The only thing I 24 didn't bring was my billings. Client said I 25 didn't have to produce those.</p>	<p>143</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 CV, my testimony list, list of materials that 3 I was provided, case information sheet which 4 is kind of how I keep track of what's going on 5 in a case, correspondence with my client, both 6 paper and e-mail. The caveat for e-mail is my 7 system dumps it after 45 days, so, you know, 8 if it is older than that when I put this 9 binder together, so this section right here is 10 e-mail. 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. Okay. And then the tire 13 inspection summary, my tire inspection notes, 14 photograph thumbnails of all the photographs, 15 the evidence log for the case, my initial 16 report, the text of it, you know, my leak rate 17 estimate, the x-rays, front tire wear 18 documents, construction analysis that I did, 19 penetration reference, some of the penetration 20 references, steer axle flat rate analysis, 21 Tire and Rim Association recommendations, bus 22 route maps for the various places the bus went 23 and a presentation on tire findings. 24 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. Why don't we 25 refer to that as Daws 1A.</p>
<p>142</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Just for our purposes I want to 3 mark everything. So if you could show me what 4 would be the best way to divide up everything 5 into exhibits. 6 I see there are two folders, and 7 then we have a bunch of loose velobind -- what 8 are they called? 9 MR. POLLAK: Hanging folders. 10 Q. Why don't we do it step by step 11 then. 12 A. Okay. 13 Q. Why don't we mark this as Daws 14 Exhibit 1. 15 A. These two binders represent what I 16 call my deposition binder. They have the 17 stuff that I want to refer to or may need to 18 refer to, to support my opinions. All that 19 material is in these two binders. 20 Q. Could you just tell me generally, 21 what's in there is one black binder and one 22 that looks like it is a grayish? 23 A. They are both black binders but 24 one of them, volume I -- there is a table of 25 contents for each binder. So this one has my</p>	<p>144</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 (Daws Exhibit 1A, black 3 binder, Volume I Daws Engineering 4 deposition binder marked for 5 identification, as of this date.) 6 Q. And then why don't you tell us 7 what is in the other binder which we will 8 refer to as Daws 1B. 9 A. So this binder has in it, which is 10 volume II of my deposition binder, has in it 11 the text of my responsive report, TPMS data, 12 deposition summaries prepared by my office, 13 the rebuttal report text, photograph of skim 14 polishing data from other G-409 inspections 15 that I have done, some plots that I made from 16 Mr. Parson's leak test data and other data 17 about the left front tire. 18 (Daws Exhibit 1B, black binder 19 Volume II Daws Engineering 20 deposition binder marked for 21 identification, as of this date.) 22 MR. KAPLAN: Mr. Pollak, are we 23 being provided with copies of the 24 materials that are in both of those 25 binders?</p>

145	147
<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: We have CDs that 3 contain that, correct. 4 A. We have, basically everything on 5 the table here is on a DVD. 6 MR. POLLAK: DVD. 7 A. Along with all my original 8 photographs. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. So these binders and all contain 11 thumbnails. This has everything. 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. What's not on these DVDs, is the 14 stuff here that's in paper. 15 Q. Okay. And what do we have here 16 that's in paper? 17 A. Well, I guess the other things not 18 on the DVDs is, this is a set of 15 or so CDs 19 that was provided from Jim Dixon's files. 20 They look to be the same -- they look to be 21 inclusive of stuff that I had been provided. 22 So I really never even looked at them. 23 MR. KAPLAN: Just to interrupt one 24 second. 25 Kevin, are those the same</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 up. 3 A. Okay, the NTSB reports associated 4 with this case. And then these are just 5 documents that I printed out from these other 6 CDs and whatnot, you know, that were 7 interesting to me in the course of everything 8 and they were in my file. I brought them with 9 me. 10 MR. POLLAK: Just for the record, 11 when I was looking through his file, I 12 probably just misplaced and took the 13 operational report. If you want to put 14 it in his file, that's fine, it belongs 15 in there. 16 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. 17 MR. POLLAK: I had two copies. I 18 have both copies. Here is your 19 operational. I'll take back the 20 factual. 21 A. And, for example, this stack of 22 depositions here are ones that never got 23 scanned. You know, I received the plaintiff 24 ones electronically. I received some of the 25 expert ones electronically. So they are on</p>
146	148

<p>149</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. Had you heard about the</p> <p>3 Elizabethtown matter before having your</p> <p>4 initial discussions with Greyhound's counsel</p> <p>5 in March of 2007?</p> <p>6 A. I had not.</p> <p>7 Q. Had you spoken with any other</p> <p>8 individuals who were not affiliated with</p> <p>9 Greyhound as far as you know about the</p> <p>10 Elizabethtown accident prior to March of 2007?</p> <p>11 A. No, I had not.</p> <p>12 Q. Were you in any way involved with</p> <p>13 an investigation performed by the NTSB</p> <p>14 regarding the Elizabethtown accident prior</p> <p>15 to March of 2007?</p> <p>16 A. No, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Were you ever involved with any</p> <p>18 aspects of the NTSB investigation which may</p> <p>19 have occurred after March of 2007 regarding</p> <p>20 the Elizabethtown accident?</p> <p>21 A. Other than looking at tires that</p> <p>22 may or may not have wound up in the NTSB's</p> <p>23 testing, because I looked at tires in Dallas,</p> <p>24 I looked at tires in Louisville, and my</p> <p>25 understanding is some of those tires wound up</p>	<p>151</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 years.</p> <p>3 Q. And in fact, one of the first</p> <p>4 things you were asked to do after you were</p> <p>5 retained by Greyhound in this case, was to</p> <p>6 examine the tire or tires that were on the bus</p> <p>7 involved in the Opelika, Alabama accident,</p> <p>8 isn't that correct?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>10 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. That was one of the tires that I</p> <p>12 was asked to look at in Louisville, but I, at</p> <p>13 that time I really wasn't aware there was --</p> <p>14 you know, that it was going to be any kind of</p> <p>15 a legal matter. It was just, I was looking at</p> <p>16 a lot of different tires.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, when you say a lot of</p> <p>18 different tires, are you talking about G-409s?</p> <p>19 A. G-409s. I looked at the tires,</p> <p>20 you know, that were in the Dallas depot, I</p> <p>21 looked at failed tires in Dallas depot, I mean</p> <p>22 I looked at worn-out tires, I looked at failed</p> <p>23 tires and I went to Louisville and I did the</p> <p>24 same thing.</p> <p>25 This was one of the -- the Opelika</p>
<p>150</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 in the NTSB's testing, but other than looking</p> <p>3 at the tires pretest, no.</p> <p>4 Q. Maybe I didn't ask the question</p> <p>5 properly. I'm just curious to see if you were</p> <p>6 asked to be involved with any of the</p> <p>7 operations tests or investigation performed by</p> <p>8 the NTSB regarding the Elizabethtown accident?</p> <p>9 A. I was not.</p> <p>10 Q. From the first time that you were</p> <p>11 retained in this matter and until the present,</p> <p>12 I presume you have sent invoices to Greyhound?</p> <p>13 A. I have.</p> <p>14 Q. And what is the total amount in</p> <p>15 terms of dollars that you have billed</p> <p>16 Greyhound for your services since first being</p> <p>17 retained by Greyhound in March of 2007?</p> <p>18 A. That's a really confusing issue</p> <p>19 because there was another case. Shortly after</p> <p>20 I did some initial tire work, there was</p> <p>21 another case involving an accident in Alabama</p> <p>22 and we wound up billing a lot of that work on</p> <p>23 Elizabethtown, and a lot of Elizabethtown on</p> <p>24 that work. And I think in total, I think I</p> <p>25 have probably billed \$150,000 over 3-1/2</p>	<p>152</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 tire was one of the failed tires.</p> <p>3 Q. Other than worn-out and failed</p> <p>4 tires, did you look at any tires that were</p> <p>5 taken out of service for your inspection?</p> <p>6 A. You mean that I asked to be</p> <p>7 removed from service?</p> <p>8 Q. Whether you asked for them to be</p> <p>9 removed or Greyhound offered to show you them.</p> <p>10 A. Well, in the Dallas depot and one</p> <p>11 of the pictures I have shows there are bunches</p> <p>12 of tires stacked along the wall, and I just</p> <p>13 randomly picked, you know, a dozen or so of</p> <p>14 them to look at.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, the tires that you picked,</p> <p>16 were those tires that had already been removed</p> <p>17 from service because of either a failure mode</p> <p>18 or because they had worn out?</p> <p>19 A. The tires that I looked at, some</p> <p>20 of them were worn out, some of them were, you</p> <p>21 know, probably waiting to go on a tag axle or</p> <p>22 something like that, and others of them --</p> <p>23 there were some failed tires that they wanted</p> <p>24 me to look at as well.</p> <p>25 Q. So Greyhound directed you to what</p>

<p>153</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 tires they wanted you to look at?</p> <p>3 A. Well, they directed me to the</p> <p>4 failed fires. There was a stack of failed</p> <p>5 tires. And so I looked at those. There were</p> <p>6 also -- and then there were these other tires</p> <p>7 and I just pulled some at random and looked at</p> <p>8 them because I wanted to get a baseline. I</p> <p>9 wanted to understand kind of what do these</p> <p>10 tires look like normally.</p> <p>11 Q. These other tires that you say you</p> <p>12 looked at, where were they? Was this at</p> <p>13 Louisville or was it at Dallas?</p> <p>14 A. No, Dallas depot.</p> <p>15 Q. And were those tires that anyone</p> <p>16 asked you to look at first or were those tires</p> <p>17 that you said, hey, I'd like to take a look at</p> <p>18 those tires over there?</p> <p>19 A. I just asked to look at some of</p> <p>20 the tires, you know, some of the tires, some</p> <p>21 of the representative tires, it is always</p> <p>22 helpful to look at tires intact before you</p> <p>23 start looking at a failed tire.</p> <p>24 Q. Was it your understanding that</p> <p>25 those tires were going to be taken out of</p>	<p>155</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 the only other report that you prepared</p> <p>3 regarding a G-409 tire was the one from the</p> <p>4 Opelika incident, other than the tire that's</p> <p>5 involved in this case?</p> <p>6 A. Well, there were three reports in</p> <p>7 this case and I think there were, I think</p> <p>8 there were two reports in Opelika, I don't</p> <p>9 know.</p> <p>10 Q. Well, what I mean to say is, there</p> <p>11 was one tire that you wrote about in the</p> <p>12 Elizabethtown accident.</p> <p>13 A. Right.</p> <p>14 Q. There was another tire you wrote</p> <p>15 about that was in the Opelika accident.</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Have you written reports used for</p> <p>18 litigation regarding any other G-409 tires?</p> <p>19 A. No, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. And other than inspection notes,</p> <p>21 did you prepare any reports or come up with</p> <p>22 any opinions regarding the manufacture or</p> <p>23 design of G-409 tires other than the two that</p> <p>24 we just mentioned?</p> <p>25 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p>
<p>154</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 service permanently, or that some of them were</p> <p>3 going to go back into service?</p> <p>4 A. I didn't really have any</p> <p>5 understanding about that. I just pulled some</p> <p>6 of the tires and looked at them.</p> <p>7 Q. Approximately how many tires did</p> <p>8 you look at in the group that did not involve</p> <p>9 the failed tires?</p> <p>10 A. Maybe a dozen or so.</p> <p>11 Q. And how many of the failed tires</p> <p>12 did you look at?</p> <p>13 A. Maybe 8 or 10. I didn't -- the</p> <p>14 actual listing of them is --</p> <p>15 Q. That was in one of your</p> <p>16 attachments to your report, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And you also looked at eight tires</p> <p>19 that had been on the Opelika bus, is that</p> <p>20 correct, or only the accident tire involved</p> <p>21 from the Opelika incident?</p> <p>22 A. I looked at the accident tire. I</p> <p>23 also saw the bus, looked at some of the tread</p> <p>24 depths and wear patterns on that.</p> <p>25 Q. Now, am I correct in saying that</p>	<p>156</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>3 A. No, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. In the Opelika case, did you</p> <p>5 opine that -- by the way, that was a steer</p> <p>6 tire that had suffered a tread separation,</p> <p>7 correct?</p> <p>8 A. Tread separation, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you opine in that case that</p> <p>10 that tire had been overloaded?</p> <p>11 A. No. The tire had a manufacturing</p> <p>12 defect in my opinion, an open inner liner</p> <p>13 joint which led to the demise of the tire. So</p> <p>14 whether the tire was loaded at its limit or</p> <p>15 slightly beyond, really didn't make a whole</p> <p>16 lot of difference with that defect.</p> <p>17 Q. But you didn't conclude in that</p> <p>18 report that the tire had been subject to</p> <p>19 overload conditions. Is that correct?</p> <p>20 A. That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q. And that tire did not involve a</p> <p>22 puncture, is that correct?</p> <p>23 A. That's correct.</p> <p>24 Q. And approximately how many hours</p> <p>25 would you say that you devoted to the work</p>

157 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 that you performed in this case for Greyhound? 3 And that again would include the Opelika, 4 Greyhound and Elizabethtown Greyhound 5 incidents. 6 A. I don't know. I mean, you have 7 billing records there, so. 8 Q. I don't know if I have all the 9 billing records. 10 A. You probably don't. Like I say, 11 those were produced I'm sure in Opelika so 12 that was, you know, some time last year. 13 Q. Do you know who, do you recall who 14 you billed, you sent your invoices to at 15 Greyhound? 16 A. I was asked to send my invoices to 17 Mr. Pollak and Mr. Dixon. 18 Q. And who is Mr. Dixon? 19 A. He's the guy at Greyhound that 20 gets my bills paid. 21 Q. Is he a risk manager? 22 A. Could be. He's the guy that gets 23 my bills paid. When I have a problem getting 24 a bill paid, I call him. 25 Q. You don't call Mr. Pollak first?</p>	159 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 general. 3 Q. Anybody else? 4 A. Not that I recall. 5 Q. Did you talk to any mechanics at 6 Greyhound? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you ever speak with any 9 drivers at Greyhound? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did you ever speak with any 12 maintenance managers at Greyhound? 13 A. When I went to Louisville, I 14 introduced myself to the service manager, you 15 know, to the maintenance manager in 16 Louisville. And I cannot recall his name to 17 save myself. 18 Q. But other than to exchange 19 pleasantries, there was no substantive 20 conversation? 21 A. Other than to introduce myself, 22 ask him where -- you know, there were certain 23 things that I wanted to look at, so where were 24 they. So find somebody to take me around and 25 help me find these things. And one of the</p>
158 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No. 3 Q. And have you discussed the case at 4 all with Mr. Dixon other than the times when 5 your bill has not been paid? 6 A. Nope. I've only talked to Mr. 7 Dixon twice about bills and that's it. I 8 haven't talked to him about the case at all. 9 Q. What Greyhound employees over the 10 last 3-1/2 years have you spoken with 11 regarding the Elizabethtown accident? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 13 form. You can answer. 14 A. Specifically regarding the 15 Elizabethtown accident? 16 Q. Correct. Let me expand it then. 17 Elizabethtown accident and the 18 Goodyear G-409 tire in particular? 19 A. Alex Cook is the only one that 20 comes to mind. And like I say, I don't 21 believe I talked to him about the 22 Elizabethtown tire at all. 23 Q. Which tire did you talk to Mr. 24 Cook about? 25 A. We talked about the G-409 in</p>	160 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 things that I did was remove the tire from the 3 Opelika bus and dismounted it. So I needed 4 somebody to do that while I videotaped it. 5 Q. Did you speak with any of the 6 garage managers at Greyhound? 7 A. No, I did not. 8 Q. Did you speak with anybody at 9 Greyhound who made policy regarding how 10 maintenance was to be performed by Greyhound 11 employees or third-party contractors? 12 A. No, sir. I was not asked to look 13 into Greyhound practices and so on. It is 14 just not part of what I was doing. 15 Q. Did you speak to any people at 16 Greyhound who were liaisons or interfaced with 17 Goodyear in any way? 18 A. Not that I'm aware of. Unless 19 Alex Cook was doing that. I have, you know, 20 he never said he did and I didn't ask. 21 Q. Did you speak with anybody who had 22 done any type of failure analysis at 23 Greyhound? 24 A. Well, Alex Cook said that he did 25 failure analysis. He did Pareto analysis and</p>

161 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 so on. But we didn't talk specifically about 3 any of his analyses. 4 Q. Did you and Mr. Cook ever talk 5 about failure analyses in terms of the G-409 6 tire? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. Did you speak with anybody at 9 Greyhound regarding failure analysis regarding 10 the G-409 tire? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Did you speak with anybody at 13 Greyhound regarding how the maintenance report 14 desk kept records? 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. Did you speak with anybody at 17 Greyhound regarding how the maintenance report 18 desk records were kept or maintained? 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. Did you speak with anybody at 21 Greyhound regarding how information was 22 supposed to be transmitted to the desk which 23 collected the information about bus failures? 24 A. No, sir. 25 Q. Did you speak with anybody at</p>	163 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you know who a Michael Bair is, 3 B-A-I-R? 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Do you know who Gary Bolden is? 6 A. I do. 7 Q. And do you know if Mr. Bolden has 8 any relation or involvement with inspections 9 that took place regarding the accident tire 10 from the Elizabethtown accident? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection. Don't 12 answer that question. 13 MR. KAPLAN: What's the basis of 14 that objection? 15 MR. POLLAK: I don't think you are 16 entitled that information. 17 MR. KAPLAN: I'm entitled to know 18 if he spoke with another consulting 19 expert. 20 MR. POLLAK: You can ask that 21 question. 22 MR. KAPLAN: Well, I'm asking him 23 that. 24 MR. POLLAK: We'll, make it 25 simple. The question you asked and the</p>
162 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Greyhound regarding any load issues related to 3 MCI buses that were used with G-409 tires? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 5 You can answer. 6 A. The only conversation I ever had 7 about weights was asking Alex whether buses 8 were weighed in any way in a routine manner. 9 The answer is no. 10 Q. Did Mr. Cook -- that's Alex? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Did he indicate whether or not he 13 had done any failure analysis related to load 14 issues -- 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. -- on Greyhound buses? 17 A. He did not. 18 Q. Other than Mr. Cook are you aware 19 of any person at Greyhound who may have been 20 entrusted with the responsibility of 21 performing failure analyses regarding 22 Greyhound bus accidents? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 24 form. You can answer. 25 A. No, sir.</p>	164 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 way you asked it, I'm objecting and I'm 3 directing the witness not to answer. 4 MR. KAPLAN: On what basis? Is it 5 privileged? 6 MR. POLLAK: Yes, absolutely. 7 MR. KAPLAN: How is that 8 privileged? 9 MR. POLLAK: Because the question 10 asks about an activity that may or may 11 not have been done by somebody. 12 Q. Well, did you ever have any 13 conversations, you have any conversations with 14 Mr. Bolden, regarding the tire that was 15 involved in this subject case? 16 A. Mr. Bolden was at the tire 17 inspection in Akron at the Goodyear Technical 18 Center and you obviously are aware of that. 19 Q. That's right. 20 A. And I had tried to hire Mr. Bolden 21 at one time when I worked at Exponent. I had 22 dinner with him at one of the hi-tech tire 23 society meetings, you know, so I know the 24 man. 25 And we talked, you know, as we are</p>

165	167
<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 going through the inspection, we are talking 3 about things we are looking at. But that's, 4 you know, as far as the substance of those 5 conversations, I don't recall us talking about 6 any kind of opinion or anything. 7 Q. Did you have any understanding 8 why -- oh, by the way, Mr. Bolden was hired by 9 Greyhound to perform an inspection at the 10 Goodyear Tech Center when you performed your 11 inspection, isn't that correct? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection, don't 13 answer that question. 14 MR. KAPLAN: Why not? 15 MR. POLLAK: The same reason. 16 MR. KAPLAN: Well, there is no 17 mystery here that Greyhound brought Mr. 18 Bolden to the Goodyear Tech Center to 19 perform an examination of the subject 20 tire. Isn't that correct? 21 MR. POLLAK: Well, since there was 22 a sign-in sheet and Mr. Bolden showed up 23 and knew people who worked for Goodyear, 24 I guess there is no secret that he was 25 there. Anything beyond that, besides</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 that if you had any discussions with Mr. 3 Bolden about what he did, about what you 4 did, what he observed, what you 5 observed, then that is fair game for my 6 examination, and it is not the basis for 7 an objection or a direction to the 8 witness not to answer. 9 Q. So am I correct that you are going 10 to follow Mr. Pollak's directions not to 11 answer, or are you going to answer some 12 questions I have about Mr. Bolden and his 13 involvement? 14 A. I'm going to do what my client 15 tells me to do. 16 Q. And your attorney? 17 MR. POLLAK: I think he was 18 talking about -- 19 A. Actually, you know, I'm not 20 represented by counsel here. 21 Q. So then you are not answering the 22 question on your own accord? 23 A. I suppose so. 24 Q. So let me ask the question again. 25 Were you aware that Mr. Bolden was at the</p>
166	168
<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the fact that you may know he was there 3 because he signed a piece of paper or 4 Mr. Stroble saw him and knows him, 5 anything involving Mr. Bolden is 6 privileged. 7 MR. KAPLAN: No, I have an e-mail 8 from you telling me that Mr. Bolden was 9 going to be there to examine the tire on 10 Greyhound's behalf. 11 MR. POLLAK: He was going to be 12 there, we told you that because we had 13 to tell you that. 14 MR. KAPLAN: Right. So I'm just 15 saying there is no secret that Mr. 16 Bolden was there to examine the tire at 17 the behest of Greyhound. 18 MR. POLLAK: Any questioning 19 involving what Mr. Bolden did or didn't 20 do, the witness is not going to answer. 21 MR. KAPLAN: I don't agree and I 22 will tell you right now, Mr. Daws, you 23 may have to come back at your own 24 expense if you don't answer these 25 questions. Because my contention is</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 inspection of the tire at the Goodyear Tech 3 Center because Greyhound had hired him to 4 attend? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection. Don't 6 answer that question. 7 Q. Are you going to answer Mr. Daws? 8 You tell me you are not represented by 9 counsel. So I understand he is directing you, 10 but it is your decision. 11 A. Well, I have to go with what my 12 client says. 13 Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. Bolden 14 about his examination of the G-409 tire that 15 was involved in the Elizabethtown accident? 16 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 17 answered. You could answer. 18 A. I did not. 19 Q. Do you know why Mr. Bolden was 20 present at the examination of the 21 Elizabethtown tire? 22 A. I have absolutely no idea. It is 23 a little bit of an affront to me as an expert, 24 but, so be it. 25 Q. Do you find it -- would it be an</p>

169 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 unusual situation that a client would hire two 3 different tire experts to perform an 4 examination on the exact same tire at the 5 exact same time and location? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 7 of the question. You can answer that 8 hypothetical question. 9 A. That, if you take out the "at the 10 exact same time and the exact same place," the 11 answer is no, it is not unusual at all. 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. If you add at the same time and 14 the same place, yeah, it is fairly unusual. 15 Although, I've been in inspections where 16 different clients have different tire experts 17 there. 18 Q. Now, you said it was an affront to 19 you? 20 A. Yeah. 21 Q. Could you explain why it was an 22 affront to you? 23 A. Well, I generally don't have 24 clients that hire multiple tire experts. 25 Q. As if somebody was going to</p>	171 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Why else would they pay the money 3 for multiple experts? 4 Q. Is that your answer? 5 A. That's my answer. 6 Q. That you don't have an answer? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 8 of the question. 9 A. Well, I only have my suspicions, 10 okay. 11 Q. Now, how about Mr. Bair, you have 12 never heard of him? 13 A. Never heard of him. 14 Q. Are you aware that the NTSB had 15 examined the tire prior to the time that you 16 had an opportunity to examine the tire? 17 A. Yes, I am. 18 Q. When did your examination of the 19 tire take place? 20 A. July 30, 2008. 21 Q. And the accident had occurred 22 approximately two years earlier, right? 23 A. I believe so, yes. 24 Q. Do you know when the first time 25 the tire was examined by the NTSB was?</p>
170 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 second-guess what your conclusions might be? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 4 of the question. You can answer. 5 A. Well, I mean, yeah. I mean, let's 6 face it -- 7 Q. And it is also like hedging your 8 bets as well, isn't it? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 10 of the question. You can answer. 11 A. Again, I don't know what Mr. 12 Bolden's opinions are. I have no idea. 13 Q. Well, so, in other words if a 14 client didn't like what expert A said, they 15 can always fall back on what expert B said? 16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 17 of the question. You can answer. 18 A. That happens all the time in this 19 business. I mean, why does Goodyear have 20 multiple experts? Same reason. 21 Q. How do you know that that's the 22 same reason? 23 A. Because you can only have one. 24 Q. How do you know that that's why 25 Goodyear does that?</p>	172 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No, I don't. 3 Q. Do you know who attended the 4 examination on behalf of the NTSB? 5 A. Jim Gardner was their tire expert. 6 Q. Do you know who else attended the 7 examination when Jim Gardner attended? 8 A. No, I don't. Although, I believe 9 from the report Mr. Stroble was there but 10 that's all I remember. And Mr. Yohe maybe, 11 but that's all I know. 12 Q. But you don't remember seeing the 13 name Michael Bair there? 14 A. No, I don't. 15 Q. Would it have been customary for 16 Greyhound to have its own tire expert attend 17 an inspection that was being conducted on 18 behalf of the NTSB? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 20 of the question. You can answer. 21 A. Well, they would have had a person 22 there. Maybe Mr. Cook or somebody else. I 23 don't know. 24 Q. You wouldn't have any reason to 25 dispute that an individual by the name of</p>

<p>173</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Michael Bair who is a consulting tire expert, 3 attended the inspection on behalf of Greyhound 4 in 2006, would you?</p> <p>5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 6 form. You can answer.</p> <p>7 A. I have never heard of Michael Bair 8 and I thought I knew all the tire experts in 9 the industry. I've never heard of him.</p> <p>10 Q. Would it concern you even more 11 that in addition to having the mirror 12 examination conducted by another expert when 13 you looked at the tire, that Greyhound had 14 also used another expert to attend the NTSB 15 inspections in 2006?</p> <p>16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 17 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>18 A. That doesn't concern me at all.</p> <p>19 Q. Either way, Greyhound has never 20 given you any information from a Mr. Michael 21 Bair, have they?</p> <p>22 A. No, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. And they haven't given you any 24 information from Mr. Bolden regarding his 25 examination of the subject tire, have they?</p>	<p>175</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 regarding that tire, which had predated your 3 exam?</p> <p>4 A. I think there was a draft of the 5 NTSB, you know, a draft, not for publication, 6 that was forwarded to me.</p> <p>7 Q. And you read that?</p> <p>8 A. And I read that.</p> <p>9 Q. Anything else?</p> <p>10 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>11 Q. Had you formed any opinions about 12 what the cause of the tire failure was at that 13 time just prior to the time that you performed 14 your own inspection?</p> <p>15 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 16 form.</p> <p>17 A. No, sir. I hadn't seen the tire.</p> <p>18 Q. Now, why don't we take a look -- 19 by the way, you prepared exam notes regarding 20 that accident tire, isn't that correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. And I believe they are included in 23 attachment 1 from pages 4 through 8 from your 24 report?</p> <p>25 A. Actually that particular</p>
<p>174</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection. 3 You can answer.</p> <p>4 A. That's correct.</p> <p>5 Q. Have you ever written anything 6 regarding steel-belt design?</p> <p>7 A. No, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. Have you ever written anything 9 regarding puncture resistance in radial medium 10 truck tires?</p> <p>11 A. No, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Now, when you examined the subject 13 tire, that was at the Goodyear Tech Center, 14 the first time, correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q. And who else was with you when 17 that tire examination took place?</p> <p>18 A. Well, let's see. Mr. Pollak was 19 there, Mr. Cook, Mr. Bolden. The guy from the 20 Goodyear Tech Center lab, and I really don't 21 remember his name. I think Mr. Reuschlin was 22 there and Mark Arndt.</p> <p>23 Q. Now, prior to your inspection of 24 the tire on that occasion, had you reviewed 25 any notes or reports from examinations</p>	<p>176</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 inspection includes through page 12 because it 3 is both front tires off the bus.</p> <p>4 Q. Right. I'm just referring to the 5 accident tire.</p> <p>6 A. Okay.</p> <p>7 Q. Am I correct? Have I identified 8 the pages?</p> <p>9 A. Yeah, that's fine.</p> <p>10 Q. This is a form page that has a lot 11 of typewritten information with areas where 12 you can handwrite information into. Is that 13 correct?</p> <p>14 A. It is my inspection blank, yeah.</p> <p>15 Q. Was this a form that was created 16 by you?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, it was.</p> <p>18 Q. And it looks like you have 19 different dates on the bottom left-hand corner 20 of when the pages, the individual pages were 21 created?</p> <p>22 A. Well, or modified.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 A. I try to keep up with whether I 25 have the current version.</p>

<p>177</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. Is this the exact form that you</p> <p>3 have with you when you are doing your tire</p> <p>4 exam, or do you first record your findings</p> <p>5 onto a piece of paper and then later enter</p> <p>6 them into the examination report?</p> <p>7 A. This is it. What you see is what</p> <p>8 you -- these are the notes I take while I'm</p> <p>9 doing the inspection.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Now, you don't have a</p> <p>11 separate handwritten note that has additional</p> <p>12 information?</p> <p>13 A. No, this is it.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Now, I presume that one of</p> <p>15 the things that you try to accomplish with</p> <p>16 this is to record what you see as forensic</p> <p>17 evidence which could shed light on what the</p> <p>18 cause of the failure was. Is that correct?</p> <p>19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>20 of the question. You can answer it.</p> <p>21 A. The inspection, for me the</p> <p>22 recording of the inspection is basically</p> <p>23 recording my observations. It is not a -- you</p> <p>24 know, I really try not to draw any conclusions</p> <p>25 while I'm doing this. I try to capture all</p>	<p>179</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. Not in terms of the observations.</p> <p>3 Typically what -- I'd say never but typically</p> <p>4 what gets changed in the inspection form are</p> <p>5 pages 2 and 3.</p> <p>6 If you look at page 2 and page 3</p> <p>7 of the inspection form, one has information,</p> <p>8 for example, about where the inspections take</p> <p>9 place and what I know about the accident.</p> <p>10 Sometimes what I know about the accident is</p> <p>11 pretty sketchy. Sometimes, you know, when I</p> <p>12 do my inspection, I don't have a vehicle</p> <p>13 placard to look at and somebody sends me a</p> <p>14 photograph of that, you know, if they've sent</p> <p>15 me the tire to inspect, for example. So I</p> <p>16 would be updating those.</p> <p>17 Generally my observations, my tire</p> <p>18 observations are pretty much done at the time</p> <p>19 the inspection is over.</p> <p>20 Q. Now, in examining a tire that had</p> <p>21 been involved in a tread separation, would</p> <p>22 looking for polishing be something that you</p> <p>23 would do?</p> <p>24 A. Oh, absolutely.</p> <p>25 Q. Could you show me where in the</p>
<p>178</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 the observations.</p> <p>3 Q. But would it be fair to say that</p> <p>4 the observations you choose to record are</p> <p>5 observations which you think may be useful to</p> <p>6 you in rendering an opinion later on based on</p> <p>7 the forensic evidence?</p> <p>8 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>9 answered. Objection to the form. You</p> <p>10 can answer it.</p> <p>11 A. Well, the useful to me is kind of,</p> <p>12 maybe hyperbole because sometimes the things I</p> <p>13 record, come back to bite me in terms of my</p> <p>14 opinions or whatever. But I try to capture</p> <p>15 all the observations that appear to me to be</p> <p>16 significant. Okay, so -- and then from that I</p> <p>17 have to formulate opinions --</p> <p>18 Q. Okay.</p> <p>19 A. -- that match up with the rest of</p> <p>20 what I know about the case and so on.</p> <p>21 Q. Is there ever an occasion where</p> <p>22 you perform an exam on a tire and then later</p> <p>23 look at photographs of the tire and change</p> <p>24 what's in the examination report or supplement</p> <p>25 what's in the examination report?</p>	<p>180</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 examination notes for tire 1 as it is called,</p> <p>3 you indicate polishing?</p> <p>4 A. Well, the inspection of the</p> <p>5 location where you have polishing is where</p> <p>6 I've noted edge cracks between belt 2 and belt</p> <p>7 3.</p> <p>8 MR. POLLAK: Just for the record,</p> <p>9 the pages are numbered on the top right</p> <p>10 corner.</p> <p>11 MR. KAPLAN: On the top right-hand</p> <p>12 corner, right.</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: So just indicate</p> <p>14 where you are referring.</p> <p>15 A. Okay. So on page 6 in the second</p> <p>16 rectangle there, you will see edge cracks</p> <p>17 between belt 2 and belt 3. That's the</p> <p>18 location where there is polishing, although I</p> <p>19 didn't write down polishing.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Is there a reason why you</p> <p>21 didn't write down the word polishing at the</p> <p>22 time?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Isn't polishing a fairly</p> <p>25 significant forensic finding that one would</p>

181	183
<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 expect to find in a tread separation? 3 A. Sure, but I got a great photograph 4 of that location. 5 Q. But was there any reason why you 6 didn't write it down? 7 A. Not that I know of. 8 Q. Now, in terms of over-deflection, 9 that is something that would be a forensic 10 indicator as well. Right? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 12 form. 13 Q. Could you show me where you have 14 indicated signs of over-deflection on the 15 inspection or examination sheets? 16 A. Well, you have, you know, your 17 bead grooves which in this case, in this tire 18 are very narrow for this type of tire, for the 19 G-409 on this service. 20 Q. Can you just tell me what page 21 and where -- 22 A. Okay, page 7, the supplemental 23 notes. 24 Q. Okay. 25 A. You can see on page 6 there is a</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 stuff that is associated with the accident, 3 although there is some notation here on the 4 sidewalls of abrasion, cuts and a split. 5 Q. Now, how would you describe -- 6 this was a tread separation, is that correct? 7 Or would you describe it as a tread and belt 8 separation? 9 A. It's a -- it's -- it's basically a 10 tread and belt separation provoked by loss of 11 air, okay. But it is -- it is a preexisting 12 fatigue crack system in the tire which is why 13 it happens to fail at this location. 14 Q. Now, when you say provoked by loss 15 of air, would that mean air that was lost 16 through the puncture that we have been talking 17 about previously? 18 A. Yes, sir. But this fatigue crack 19 system, this system of edge cracks preexisted 20 the failure of the tire, pre -- you know, it 21 takes, because of the polishing in evidence 22 there, it takes some time to develop that 23 cracking. 24 Q. Later on you indicated, I believe 25 in your report you said there was limited</p>
182	184
<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 tag, there is a circle around the -- and if 3 you'll look at those two circular drawings, 4 you will see there is a circle inside of the 5 inner most circle and a line going out and a 6 number 13 on both sides. 7 Q. Um-hum. 8 A. And flip over to page 7, item 13, 9 it says bead groove, and you will see that 10 I've measured them. 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. Okay. 13 Q. All right, how about in terms of 14 cracking, I notice you mentioned the edge 15 cracks on page 6. 16 A. Right. 17 Q. Was there any other evidence of 18 cracking that you noted? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 20 form. You can answer. 21 A. No. I don't see the word cracking 22 anywhere else. There were obviously, you 23 know, things on the sidewall consistent with 24 the accident. 25 Again, I generally don't record</p>	<p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 polishing. Is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. So how long a period of time would 5 it take for this type of an edge crack to have 6 occurred with limited polishing? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 8 form. You can answer. 9 A. Again, we are talking anywhere 10 from a thousand to a couple of thousand miles. 11 You know, where it starts to polish we can see 12 that the edge cracks are considerably more 13 extensive than that. They just haven't 14 started to polish yet. 15 Q. Now, you've testified previously 16 the polishing can start anywhere from 500 to 17 1500 miles, is that correct? 18 A. Well, in a passenger car tire, 19 yeah. You've got to remember these tires are 20 far, far stiffer. 21 Q. So you would say it could be 22 anywhere from a thousand to 2,000? 23 A. Well, a thousand to maybe 5,000 24 miles. 25 Q. Okay.</p>

<p>185</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. You know, I mean, these tires are</p> <p>3 very much stiffer than passenger car tires.</p> <p>4 Q. A thousand being the low end and</p> <p>5 5,000 being the higher end?</p> <p>6 A. It may even be longer than that.</p> <p>7 I don't know. You know, I don't think</p> <p>8 anybody -- if there has been much work on</p> <p>9 polishing as an indicator, it's been on</p> <p>10 passenger tires because that's where most of</p> <p>11 the work is done.</p> <p>12 Q. Right, and no studies have been</p> <p>13 done showing how long polishing takes to</p> <p>14 accumulate in radial medium truck tires, is</p> <p>15 that right?</p> <p>16 A. Right. All we know is that it</p> <p>17 doesn't happen overnight.</p> <p>18 Again, you know, the experience</p> <p>19 would be that it would take considerably</p> <p>20 longer than the same amount of polishing in a</p> <p>21 passenger or light truck tires.</p> <p>22 Q. But theoretically, if a bus went</p> <p>23 for a thousand miles over three or four days,</p> <p>24 you could develop that kind of polishing in</p> <p>25 that period of time?</p>	<p>187</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 days.</p> <p>3 Q. When you have written in papers</p> <p>4 that these types of, this type of polishing</p> <p>5 can occur in tens of miles, you were referring</p> <p>6 to passenger or light truck tires?</p> <p>7 A. I've never referred to polishing</p> <p>8 having occurred in tens of miles. I've talked</p> <p>9 about flat spot wear, irregular wear. You</p> <p>10 know, the polishing, the polishing aspect of</p> <p>11 the skim rubber is a little bit different.</p> <p>12 Q. Did you ever say in an article</p> <p>13 written for the Rubber and Plastic News,</p> <p>14 called Forensic Analysis and Tire Tread</p> <p>15 Separations that typical estimates of</p> <p>16 durations are thousands of miles for heavy</p> <p>17 polishing versus merely several tens of miles</p> <p>18 for regions showing little or no polishing?</p> <p>19 A. Yeah.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay, so --</p> <p>21 A. And again, that is primarily</p> <p>22 passenger and light truck tires. You know,</p> <p>23 two-belt tires, fairly light weight.</p> <p>24 Q. So again, though, you can't rule</p> <p>25 out that this type of polishing that you</p>
<p>186</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. Again, that would be fairly</p> <p>3 extreme. You know, I think it is, you know,</p> <p>4 this crack predates the, you know --</p> <p>5 Q. This tread separation?</p> <p>6 A. This tread separation predates the</p> <p>7 loss of air in the tire. So something is</p> <p>8 causing the tire to break down here in its</p> <p>9 normal service.</p> <p>10 Q. Well, if there had been a slow</p> <p>11 leak caused by a puncture in a tire over a</p> <p>12 course of four or five days, would this type</p> <p>13 of polishing have exhibited itself?</p> <p>14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>15 form. You can answer.</p> <p>16 A. I don't think so. I think that</p> <p>17 the edge cracks, my opinion is that those edge</p> <p>18 cracks and that polishing is consistent with</p> <p>19 something that is going on in the tire in a</p> <p>20 more routine basis.</p> <p>21 Again, the air loss in this tire</p> <p>22 was sufficient to -- not sufficient to support</p> <p>23 the -- this particular puncture having been in</p> <p>24 there for, or is more significant than you can</p> <p>25 support having been in the tire for multiple</p>	<p>188</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 observed could have occurred over the course</p> <p>3 of a few days in a bus going a thousand or</p> <p>4 1500 miles. Is that correct?</p> <p>5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>6 form. It has also been asked and</p> <p>7 answered. Over objection you can</p> <p>8 answer.</p> <p>9 A. A thousand or 1500 miles a day</p> <p>10 for --</p> <p>11 Q. No, over a five-day period.</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>13 form. Asked and answered. Over</p> <p>14 objection, you can answer it again.</p> <p>15 A. Again, I think a thousand miles</p> <p>16 would be fairly extreme because there is no</p> <p>17 transition of the polishing, that is, the</p> <p>18 cracking is just getting into the steel belt</p> <p>19 area, or getting towards the center of the</p> <p>20 steel belt, and the looseness that generates</p> <p>21 polishing obviously hasn't progressed very</p> <p>22 far.</p> <p>23 Q. I thought you had said earlier</p> <p>24 that that polishing can occur anywhere from 1</p> <p>25 to 2,000 miles?</p>

<p>189</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. It can start.</p> <p>3 Q. And you would get limited</p> <p>4 polishing as a result of that?</p> <p>5 A. You would get minimal, minimal</p> <p>6 polishing. You know, again, this polishing is</p> <p>7 very evident.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 A. You know, it is just not, if you</p> <p>10 think in terms of severe polishing, severe</p> <p>11 polishing is where you basically, you know,</p> <p>12 rubbed off most of the skim rubber and your</p> <p>13 steel cord is exposed. We are not at that</p> <p>14 level here.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, do you indicate where the</p> <p>16 puncture is we've talked about in your exam</p> <p>17 notes?</p> <p>18 A. I do.</p> <p>19 Q. Can you just show us where that</p> <p>20 is.</p> <p>21 A. If you look at page 6 at the two</p> <p>22 top rectangles, you can see that there is, in</p> <p>23 the segment after the 6 o'clock location, you</p> <p>24 can see the piece, the tread piece labeled No.</p> <p>25 9, there is a hole indicated.</p>	<p>191</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. I think that is probably correct.</p> <p>3 It is clearly an in and out kind of cut that</p> <p>4 the screw made in the tire, and there is --</p> <p>5 you know, most of the time punctures are noted</p> <p>6 by people when they see the puncturing entity</p> <p>7 sticking out of the tire.</p> <p>8 Q. Was there also a rubber flap over</p> <p>9 the puncture area on the tread?</p> <p>10 A. Well, again, the hole is kind of a</p> <p>11 wiggly shape.</p> <p>12 I mean, if you want to call it a</p> <p>13 flap, yes, but let me see if I can get a</p> <p>14 picture of it. It is kind of a W-shaped</p> <p>15 looking hole.</p> <p>16 You know, if you want to call that</p> <p>17 a flap, so be it.</p> <p>18 Q. Based on --</p> <p>19 A. Yeah, if you look at photograph</p> <p>20 No. 142 of my inspection set.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay.</p> <p>22 A. There is a, you can see the hole</p> <p>23 and it is a squiggly looking like W sort of a</p> <p>24 shape. I suppose you could call that flap if</p> <p>25 you wanted. But it is kind of, the screw goes</p>
<p>190</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 And the same thing in the second</p> <p>3 rectangle, the underside of that hole in the,</p> <p>4 in the inner liner or in the casing, you can</p> <p>5 see there is a hole noted in both the inside</p> <p>6 and the outside.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, this is at the 6:30 position,</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yeah, about the 6:30 position.</p> <p>10 Q. How easily visible was this hole</p> <p>11 on the tread side?</p> <p>12 A. On the outside of the tread, it</p> <p>13 looked like a small cut. I mean, it would be</p> <p>14 hard to define it, you know, looking at it</p> <p>15 with nothing in it, it would be very difficult</p> <p>16 to define it as a puncture. So if the tire</p> <p>17 was still intact and you looked at, it looks</p> <p>18 like a small road cut.</p> <p>19 Q. So a driver or somebody who may</p> <p>20 have been looking at this tire after the</p> <p>21 puncture occurred, but before the tire failure</p> <p>22 occurred, might not have even noticed this as</p> <p>23 a puncture. Is that correct?</p> <p>24 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>25 answer.</p>	<p>192</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 in, the screw comes out and the place closes</p> <p>3 up again.</p> <p>4 Q. Based on the configuration of the</p> <p>5 hole of the cut pattern, would you anticipate</p> <p>6 that during operation, the hole might have</p> <p>7 sealed up somewhat, slowing the flow of air</p> <p>8 out of the cut?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>10 form. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. Absolutely not. There is more</p> <p>12 than enough cross-sectional area in that</p> <p>13 opening to overwhelm whatever air can come out</p> <p>14 of the hole in the inner liner.</p> <p>15 Q. Has that been known to happen that</p> <p>16 during operation, a puncture hole or a cut</p> <p>17 hole can close up as a result of the movement</p> <p>18 of the tire on the road?</p> <p>19 A. I would say the answer to that is</p> <p>20 no, and the reason I would say that is you</p> <p>21 could, you might consider, for example, that</p> <p>22 when the tire goes around and that hole is on</p> <p>23 the ground, you know, there isn't going to be</p> <p>24 any air coming out of it, or there might not</p> <p>25 be any air coming out of it. But it is only</p>

<p>193</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 on the ground for a very short period of each 3 rotation, and the rest of the time it's just 4 open. You know, I have seen cases, of course, 5 where the puncturing entity actually goes 6 through the tread and is still in the tread. 7 It actually, you know, you wear it off on the 8 surface of the tread. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And then, you know, basically the 11 tire tends to leak every time whatever that 12 entity is hits the ground, as opposed to the 13 other case. 14 Q. How about dirt or debris getting 15 into the cut area or the hole area: did that 16 happen? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 18 form. You can answer. 19 A. I suppose it is a limited 20 possibility, but nothing, there was nothing in 21 this that led to, you know -- 22 Q. When you observed it? 23 A. Yeah, there was no evidence that 24 there was anything in that hole, and, you 25 know, immediately after the accident there</p>	<p>195</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 has been plugged up with dirt, then there, you 3 know, if somebody washes it out, I mean if 4 somebody takes water and cleans it out, you 5 won't find that. But the dirt will dry up 6 and, you know, it will be gone. 7 Q. And by the time you looked at the 8 tire, several other people had already looked 9 at the tire. Isn't that correct? 10 A. Yes. As far as I know. 11 Q. And air had been forcibly shot 12 through the hole through the liner outward, 13 isn't that correct? 14 A. Not through the tread. Only 15 through the belt package. 16 Q. But through the belt package it 17 was out, correct? 18 A. Right. 19 Q. Now, the construction of this 20 G-409 tire, would you say that it was a normal 21 construction for a radial medium truck tire? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 23 form. You can answer. 24 A. It really depends on what you mean 25 by normal.</p>
<p>194</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 may have been some dirt associated with the 3 accident scene. That wouldn't be 4 unreasonable. 5 Q. Well, if dirt had gotten into a 6 hole created by a puncture that was creating a 7 slow leak over a period of days, could dirt 8 have gotten into that hole and slowed the flow 9 of air out of that puncture site? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 11 form. Also, asked and answered. You 12 can answer. 13 A. Again, the inside of the whole 14 would show evidence of dirt. 15 Q. Well, dirt can come in and it can 16 go out -- 17 A. Yeah, but -- 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 19 form. You can answer. 20 A. But there will be some residual 21 gray coloration associated with dirt having 22 been there. 23 Q. In all cases? 24 A. All the ones I'm familiar -- I 25 mean, anything I've ever seen. If the hole</p>	<p>196</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Well, was it unusual in any way, 3 the type of construction? 4 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection to 5 form. You can answer. 6 A. It looks like lots of four-belt 7 radial medium truck tires. 8 Q. Did you see anything unusual about 9 it? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 11 of the question. You can answer. 12 A. Again, nothing that you would -- 13 from a forensic standpoint. 14 Q. Now, on page 7, your observations 15 sections, you see -- well, it looks like in 16 some of these sections you have specific items 17 1 through 19, and as you mentioned earlier you 18 have some comments by No. 13, bead groove and 19 then you have some additional lines I suppose 20 for observations that don't necessarily fit in 21 the topics 1 through 19. Is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. And would you say that you 24 put in -- what's the purpose for having these 25 blanks lines after all of those numbers?</p>

<p>197</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 3 answer. 4 Q. In other words, why do you have a 5 space there for comments, what's the purpose? 6 A. So I can make comments. 7 Q. And why would you make a comment? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 9 form. You can answer. 10 A. To, well, you know, if you do the 11 number of inspections that us guys in the 12 forensics business do, and then three years 13 later somebody wants to know what you saw, 14 hopefully you have, you know, some notes. 15 Q. And it would reflect on things 16 that you saw of some import at least to you, 17 correct? 18 A. That's correct. So if those notes 19 can't be easily done directly on the drawings, 20 then sometimes you, you know, I'll note 21 additional things that I've seen. And I may 22 make additional drawings like I did here. 23 Q. Now, on No. 4 you see it says hole 24 comment? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>199</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 in it. 3 Q. When did you first view the leak 4 video? 5 A. Let's see. I don't really recall. 6 I know I pulled it off the -- I can't 7 remember. I can't remember. 8 Q. Okay. On page 3 of the 9 inspection, this is in that general area 10 that you -- it doesn't relate specifically to 11 tire 1. 12 A. Right. 13 Q. You indicated that the front GAWR 14 was 16,000 pounds. That's the gross axle 15 weight? 16 A. That's correct. 17 Q. Is that correct? 18 A. Um-hum. 19 Q. And you also indicate that the 20 GVWR -- that's the gross vehicle weight 21 rating? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. -- is 48,000 pounds. Where did 24 you get that information from about the gross 25 vehicle weight rating?</p>
<p>198</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And there is no comment, correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And then on number 6 it says nail, 5 et cetera, comment, and there is no comment? 6 A. That's true. 7 Q. Any reason why you didn't put any 8 comments in? 9 A. Because I got notes here about 10 what the hole is and then I got my microscope 11 out and took close-up pictures of it, took 12 other detailed pictures of the thing. 13 MR. POLLAK: Referring to page 6. 14 A. Referring to page 6 in the 15 inspection notes. 16 Q. At that time did you have any 17 opinions as to whether or not a hole or a 18 nail, et cetera, had any relationship to the 19 tire failure involved in this accident? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 21 form. You can answer. 22 A. Well, certainly I knew that based 23 on the leak video that the NTSB had, I knew 24 that air actually went out through the inner 25 liner here. So it certainly played some role</p>	<p>200</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I think that's out of the NTSB 3 report. If it is not on the placard -- I'm 4 sure it must be on the placard. 5 I don't have my bus photographs in 6 this book. 7 Q. All right -- 8 A. Technically, it is supposed to be 9 on the placard. 10 Q. Now, it is in the NTSB report? 11 A. Yeah. It is in the NTSB report, 12 yeah. 13 Q. I'm just curious, I have a 14 question about combined gross axle weight 15 ratings versus gross vehicle weight ratings. 16 A. Right. 17 Q. The question I have is this. Here 18 you have a bus that has a gross axle weight 19 rating in the front axle of 16,000 pounds. 20 A. Right. 21 Q. And then you have two other axles 22 that have gross axle weight ratings? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. In this case if you add up the 25 combined gross axle weight ratings, it is</p>

<p>201</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 about 50,500 pounds. 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. How does that differ from the 5 gross vehicle weight rating? 6 A. Gross axle weight ratings will 7 rarely equal the gross vehicle weight rating. 8 And, in fact, in my experience they never do. 9 And the reason is that the weight, the load 10 weight can shift back and forth in a vehicle. 11 So, you know, the axle weight, the sum of the 12 axle weight ratings is always larger than the 13 gross vehicle weight. 14 Q. Does that provide any safety 15 margin in a bus that is loaded properly in 16 terms of where the load is distributed? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 18 form. You can answer. 19 A. Well, if you can control where the 20 load goes in a bus, that is, you can force 21 people to sit uniformly and you make sure all 22 the luggage is put in uniformly and stuff like 23 that, then probably. 24 On the other hand, you know, you 25 can't force, you can't tell people to sit in a</p>	<p>203</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 generally not controlled. 3 Q. Could anybody else other than 4 Greyhound have controlled where passengers sat 5 within their buses? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 7 answer. 8 A. Well, I think, you know, the 9 answer to that is no, but nor could they 10 control how much people weighed and how much 11 luggage they brought. 12 Q. Well, those are estimates that are 13 determined in the industry, are they not, how 14 many pounds you attribute to a particular 15 passenger and how much weight you attribute to 16 the luggage that they bring on? 17 A. Well, sure, that's why you, you 18 know, Tire and Rim and the federal agencies 19 basically use 150 pounds per person and 35 20 pounds of luggage, but, you know, clearly in 21 America today, 150-pound average people just, 22 you know, there aren't a lot of us around. 23 I mean, I'm not a big guy but I 24 weigh, you know, I tip the scales at 180 25 pounds and when I travel anywhere, you know,</p>
<p>202</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 particular location. 3 Q. Well, you can tell them to sit in 4 the seats, right? 5 A. Well, yeah, they have to sit in 6 the seats, but you have no idea -- for 7 example, if there is 30 people on the bus, you 8 have no idea whether all 30 of them are going 9 to sit in the back or whether all 30 of them 10 are going to sit in the front. 11 Q. Who would control that? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 13 You can answer. 14 Q. Where people sit in the bus. 15 A. You know, unless you do what the 16 airlines do, you know, assign seats, I don't 17 know how you could control that. 18 Q. Well, is that something that 19 Greyhound could have done? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 21 of the question. 22 A. Again, I wasn't looking at what 23 Greyhound might or might not have done in this 24 case. All I'm saying is in the industry, in 25 the busing industry, my experience is that is</p>	<p>204</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 I've got my briefcase and I've got my 3 suitcase. And if I go on an inspection, my 4 inspection bag weighs 45 pounds and my 5 suitcase weighs 30. 6 Q. Children travel as well, right? 7 A. Sure. 8 Q. And women travel? 9 A. Sure they do. 10 Q. And are you aware of Greyhound 11 ever challenging or contesting the 150-pound 12 bogey for passenger weight or the 35-pound 13 bogey for luggage weight? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 15 You can answer. 16 A. The only conversation I ever had 17 with anybody at Greyhound about that was with 18 Mr. Cook, and he said he thought that was a 19 bunch of garbage. And he had, you know -- I 20 mean, to me the proper way to do it is to take 21 a -- when you are doing the vehicle design, 22 you take the distribution of people, weights 23 and so on, and the locations of seating and 24 luggage, and you run a Monte Carlo simulation 25 where you, you know, randomly select various</p>

205 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 weight people at various locations. I mean, 3 that's how we do imbalance in tires, that's -- 4 you know. 5 Q. Now, Mr. Cook felt that was, what 6 was your term, a bunch of garbage? 7 A. Yeah, that 150-pound average is 8 not necessarily the, you know, not necessarily 9 real life. 10 Q. And was Mr. Cook concerned that 11 that could lead to potential safety issues 12 regarding the load that was placed on the 13 Greyhound buses? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 15 of the question. 16 A. He just said they used 150 pounds 17 and he didn't think that that was necessarily 18 reasonable. 19 Q. That was to you he said that? 20 A. That was to me. 21 Q. Do you know if Mr. Cook ever 22 complained to the Department of Transportation 23 about those figures? 24 A. No, sir, sure don't. 25 Q. Do you know if he ever complained</p>	207 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: The two binders were 3 1A and 1B. 4 MR. KAPLAN: And then this we 5 didn't mark? 6 MR. POLLAK: No. 7 MR. KAPLAN: So mark it as Daws 2. 8 MR. POLLAK: You have to let the 9 reporter mark it first, John. 10 (Daws Exhibit 2, document 11 produced by MCI bearing No. 001057 12 entitled Table 4, Bus Passenger 13 Profile-Summary Observations marked 14 for identification, as of this 15 date.) 16 MR. POLLAK: Let me see that, 17 John. 18 THE WITNESS: Um-hum. 19 MR. POLLAK: I think, I'm not sure 20 what you called it, but it says at the 21 top Table 4 Bus Passenger 22 Profile-Summary of Observations. 23 MR. KAPLAN: I called it MCI and 24 then I gave a number. It looks like the 25 number is 001057.</p>
206 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 to MCI about that? 3 A. Oh, there were some -- I don't 4 know whether Mr. Cook did. 5 Q. Mr. Cook? 6 A. No, I don't. 7 Q. About the 150 pounds? 8 A. No, I don't. 9 Q. How about Goodyear, did Mr. Cook 10 ever complain to Goodyear about the 150 11 pounds? 12 A. Not that I'm aware of. Like I 13 said, I don't know. 14 Q. I want to show you something that 15 was part of your file which was an 16 MCI-produced document 1001057. And it is 17 entitled Table 4, Bus Passenger Profile 18 Summary Observations. And I want to ask you, 19 does this break down passengers by age, 20 height, weight and sex? 21 MR. POLLAK: Can we just mark 22 that, Mr. Kaplan, as he is looking at 23 it? 24 MR. KAPLAN: Sure. What are we up 25 to now?</p>	208 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Now, when you look in the sections 3 for where the weights of the passengers are 4 located, do you see, it looks to me that three 5 particular weight groups are significantly 6 higher than all the others? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And what weight groups are those? 9 A. 121 to 140, 141 to 160, 161 to 10 180. 11 Q. Well, actually 100 to 120 is more 12 than 161 to 180, isn't it? 13 A. Okay, 194 to 196, yeah, for a 14 total. Right. 15 Q. Now, is there anything that you 16 can tell me looking at that which would cut 17 against a weight determination of 150 pounds 18 per passenger? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 20 form. You can answer. 21 A. Well, again, you know, I don't 22 know, I don't have a date on this. I 23 don't know who, you know, where this came 24 from or anything else. You know, and I simply 25 haven't looked at the demographic data for the</p>

<p>209</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 United States. 3 You know, I would guess that if 4 you pulled democratic data -- or demographic 5 data, you would find that, you know, on 6 average of a hundred and -- because the most, 7 the most densely populated cell in here is 121 8 to 140 pounds. That would suggest that the 9 average American is somewhere around 130 10 pounds and I would suspect that's not true 11 today.</p> <p>12 Q. Well, luckily we are not guessing 13 and suspecting and we are not basing this on 14 what your knowledge is of your own weight, 15 right?</p> <p>16 A. That's true. On the other hand, 17 there is no date on that. You know, I mean if 18 that is a 1975 study, it is a very different 19 set of numbers than they would be today.</p> <p>20 Q. Well, you also have to factor in 21 you have infants from 0 to 5 years?</p> <p>22 A. Sure.</p> <p>23 Q. And children from 6 to 10 years 24 old?</p> <p>25 A. No doubt. And you also have</p>	<p>211</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the 3 beginning of tape No. 4 in the Daws 4 deposition. We are going back on the 5 record at approximately 2:33 p.m. 6 BY MR. KAPLAN 7 Q. Now, the fact that you were 8 retained by Greyhound and performed these 9 examinations on the subject tire, this is 10 because you were looking to find a cause for 11 the tire failure. Is that correct? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 13 form. You can answer. 14 A. Well, I was looking to -- yeah, to 15 find the cause of the tire failure, yeah. 16 Q. Now, you've describe your own 17 scientific method to reach an opinion to a 18 degree, a reasonable degree of engineering 19 certainty as, "pruning the tree down to one 20 cause." Is that a correct statement? 21 A. I think that's a fair assessment 22 of what goes on, yes. 23 Q. Now, we've already discussed the 24 fact that punctures by nails and screws is not 25 an uncommon occurrence in tires, correct?</p>
<p>210</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 undetermined luggage and cargo and so on in 3 real life bus service.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, do the airlines make 5 calculations for passenger weight and loads?</p> <p>6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 7 answer.</p> <p>8 A. I know they do.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you check any of those 10 studies?</p> <p>11 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p>12 Q. Have you checked any studies which 13 detail the amount of weight assigned or 14 luggage assigned to a typical passenger on any 15 means of conveyance, such as a bus, a train or 16 an airplane?</p> <p>17 A. No, sir.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Could we take a 19 break for just a couple of minutes?</p> <p>20 MR. KAPLAN: Sure.</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Sure.</p> <p>22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 23 record at approximately 2:23 p.m. This 24 is the end of tape No. 3.</p> <p>25 (Recess taken.)</p>	<p>212</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 3 of the question. You can answer it. 4 A. Well, actually it really is an 5 uncommon event, yes. Is actually is not very 6 common. 7 Q. Well, you have had your own tires 8 punctured by screws, haven't you? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection, you can 10 answer. 11 A. Sure. Everybody has I think. But 12 when you look at the number of punctures, I'm 13 almost 60 years old and I probably had ten 14 total punctures in my life. 15 Q. And if we multiply everybody times 16 ten, that is a lot punctures, isn't it? 17 A. It is a lot of punctures, but it 18 is not a lot of per mile -- you know, per 19 miles traveled it is not a big number. 20 Q. Well, punctures can be repaired, 21 isn't that correct? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 23 form. You can answer. 24 A. Yeah, the -- really it all 25 depends. I mean, if the tire hasn't been run</p>

<p>213</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 flat, then, and you have a puncture and it is</p> <p>3 done properly, you can generally repair a tire</p> <p>4 unless it is punctured, you know, outside the</p> <p>5 outer tread grooves and things like that.</p> <p>6 Q. If someone has discovered a</p> <p>7 puncture in the tread area of the tire before</p> <p>8 the tire has failed, that tire can be a</p> <p>9 candidate for puncture repair. Isn't that</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form</p> <p>12 of the question. You can answer.</p> <p>13 A. That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. In fact, it is assumed by the</p> <p>15 industry that punctured tires can be repaired?</p> <p>16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>17 form.</p> <p>18 A. Yeah, I think that is, you know,</p> <p>19 again, provided they are not damaged in the</p> <p>20 process of going flat. That is, you have to</p> <p>21 notice that you have a puncture before it</p> <p>22 actually goes flat.</p> <p>23 Q. Let me go a step further. The</p> <p>24 industry assumes that tires can be punctured</p> <p>25 all the way through the inner liners and that</p>	<p>215</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 of the tires, every time you dismount it and</p> <p>3 remount it, you start again.</p> <p>4 Q. Let's say it is, the puncture that</p> <p>5 occurred in this case was discovered shortly</p> <p>6 after it occurred. Was this the type of</p> <p>7 puncture that could have been repaired?</p> <p>8 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>9 form. You can answer.</p> <p>10 A. In all likelihood that had this</p> <p>11 puncture been discovered, it could have been</p> <p>12 repaired. Again, provided the tire hadn't</p> <p>13 been driven too far and suffered heat damage</p> <p>14 and things like that. I mean, you know it</p> <p>15 would require a dismount and inspection and so</p> <p>16 on, prior to doing a repair.</p> <p>17 Q. Now, in order for a tire to become</p> <p>18 punctured, many factors are involved, such as</p> <p>19 type of object that causes the puncture,</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. The sharpness of the object?</p> <p>23 A. In general, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. The position and angle that the</p> <p>25 object encounters the tire?</p>
<p>214</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 those are the types of punctures that can be</p> <p>3 repaired, correct?</p> <p>4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>5 form. You can answer.</p> <p>6 A. That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, you have examined tires</p> <p>8 through the course of your consulting work</p> <p>9 that have had numerous puncture repairs in</p> <p>10 just the one tire. Isn't that correct?</p> <p>11 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>12 answer.</p> <p>13 A. They have had numerous puncture</p> <p>14 repairs, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. You have seen tires with three or</p> <p>16 more separate puncture repairs in that</p> <p>17 individual tire, correct?</p> <p>18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>19 form. You can answer.</p> <p>20 A. But, in general, I'm looking at</p> <p>21 failed tires. So by the time you have</p> <p>22 multiple puncture repairs, you know, you are</p> <p>23 more likely to have a failed tire.</p> <p>24 I mean, you know, the problem with</p> <p>25 oxygenation, or, you know, oxidative breakdown</p>	<p>216</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. That's correct.</p> <p>3 Q. The portion of the tire</p> <p>4 encountered?</p> <p>5 A. That's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. The speed of the vehicle at the</p> <p>7 time that the object and the tire encounter</p> <p>8 one another?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. The location, in other words, the</p> <p>11 type of surface that the puncturing object</p> <p>12 might be on, in other words a hard surface as</p> <p>13 opposed to a soft dirt surface?</p> <p>14 A. Okay, if you, if you take into</p> <p>15 account off-road operation, yeah.</p> <p>16 Q. And the air pressure in the tire</p> <p>17 itself will have some effect on its</p> <p>18 puncturability, isn't that correct?</p> <p>19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>20 form. You can answer.</p> <p>21 A. Well, generally, road hazards in</p> <p>22 general, the more highly inflated the tire,</p> <p>23 the more likely you are to have road hazard</p> <p>24 damage of any sort.</p> <p>25 Q. Now, this all goes into that</p>

217 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 randomness category that you were talking 3 about, all the different conditions that you 4 have which lead up to the puncturing of the 5 tire, correct?</p> <p>6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 7 form. You can answer it.</p> <p>8 A. Which in my opinion goes to why it 9 has not been studied in any great detail 10 because reproducing a given specific puncture 11 is almost impossible.</p> <p>12 Q. Now, could you define 13 over-deflection?</p> <p>14 A. Sure, over-deflection in tire 15 science is when the tire has more deflection 16 than it would have at its max load, max 17 pressure condition.</p> <p>18 Q. And is underinflation or 19 overloading two types of over-deflection?</p> <p>20 A. Underinflation --</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 22 You can answer.</p> <p>23 A. Underinflation and overloading are 24 two sides of the same coin. A tire carries a 25 load that is dependent upon its pressure. So</p>	219 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 But that's really only dependent upon 3 long-term operation at that state.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, would you say that 5 over-deflection, in other words underinflation 6 or overload is one of the environmental issues 7 which can cause steel-belted radial tires to 8 fail through tread and tread belt separations?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 10 form. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. Certainly overload or 12 underinflation can cause tire, radial tires to 13 develop tread separations, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And punctures which lead to 15 under-inflated operation can cause tires to 16 fail through tread and tread belt separations, 17 is that correct?</p> <p>18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 19 You can answer.</p> <p>20 A. Again, it has been my experience 21 that a puncture, unless it causes -- you know, 22 a puncture, generally breaks the tire down in 23 terms of allowing it to run flat, long before 24 it ever causes a tread separation. 25 If the tire is intact and has</p>
218 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 at a lower pressure, a tire carries a lower 3 load or maximum at the level of what I call 4 maximum deflection.</p> <p>5 Q. It is kind of like a load scale?</p> <p>6 A. Right. If you define the maximum 7 deflection of the tire, as that state where it 8 has max load, max pressure, then any state 9 that allows it to have more deflection than 10 that, is, by definition, over-deflected.</p> <p>11 Q. So a tire which is on a vehicle 12 that is not overloaded but is running with too 13 little air pressure, can suffer signs of 14 over-deflection. Is that correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q. And those are the exact same signs 17 that you would see in a tire that was inflated 18 to proper pressures that was mounted on a 19 vehicle that was overloaded weight-wise. Is 20 that correct?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form.</p> <p>22 A. In general, in general you are 23 correct. Although, I think that overloading 24 tends to have some slightly different 25 indications on the bead than underinflation.</p>	220 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 integrity at the time it receives a puncture, 3 it will generally go flat long before you ever 4 have tread separation.</p> <p>5 Q. So, in other words, you can have 6 the following scenario: You can have a tire 7 that's punctured that leads to a slow leak 8 which leads to under-inflated operation, which 9 leads to heat damage to the tire, which leads 10 to separate, a tread separation, isn't that 11 correct?</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 13 form. You can answer.</p> <p>14 A. I think that, from what I have 15 seen, punctures tend to result in run flats 16 which in the case of a medium radial truck 17 tires may result in tread peeling off but for 18 different reasons than a tread separation. 19 That is, you will generally never find edge 20 cracking and polishing in those pieces.</p> <p>21 Q. Haven't you testified on numerous 22 occasions that punctures which lead to 23 under-inflated operation can cause tires to 24 fail through tread, and tread and belt 25 separations?</p>

<p>221</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 3 form. You can answer. 4 A. You can definitely get the tread 5 and the tread belt to peel off as part of 6 that, sure. 7 Q. And that's because the punctures 8 lead to under-inflated operation, correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. And the under-inflated operation 11 leads to the degradation of the tire which is 12 consistent with the degradation that leads to 13 a tread separation? 14 A. That's true. Although in those 15 cases, you will generally never find polishing 16 and extensive edge cracking. It is a 17 different mechanism going on at the level of 18 the tread belt. 19 Q. Well, all of that can occur, 20 though, without any defect being involved, 21 correct? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 23 form. You can answer. 24 A. You can certainly have no defect 25 that would result in a tread separation, that</p>	<p>223</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 if you had a puncture and a slow air leak, and 3 it went on for days and days or months, you 4 could get a tread belt separation out of that, 5 yeah. 6 Q. Can misalignment cause tread belt 7 separation? 8 A. Misalignment can cause the belt 9 stresses on one side of the belt to be higher 10 than another, and if the tire is susceptible 11 to tread belt separation, you can definitely 12 create that situation. 13 Q. Well, did the tire, the two front 14 tires on the bus involved in the Elizabethtown 15 accident, did they exhibit signs of 16 misalignment? 17 A. Yes, they did. 18 Q. And would you, is it your opinion 19 that misalignment of the bus in some way 20 contributed to the occurrence of the tread 21 separation? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 23 You can answer. 24 A. No, sir. 25 Q. Can you explain why it is that</p>
<p>222</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 is too thin a wedge or misplaced belts, things 3 like that, and have the tread come off the 4 tire due to it being run underinflated, yes. 5 Q. Tread and tread belt separations 6 occur in every type of radial medium truck 7 tire, correct? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 9 A. To my knowledge, yes. 10 Q. And that is not because they are 11 defective; this is something that can happen 12 based on a number of different environmental 13 factors, correct? 14 A. You can always, you know, no 15 matter how you build a tire, there is a way to 16 cause it to have a tread belt separation. 17 Q. And is it also fair to say that 18 properly constructed and designed tires can 19 sustain a puncture, which leads to a slow air 20 leak, which leads to underinflation, which 21 leads to damage to the tire, which leads to a 22 tread separation? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. I suppose it is conceivable that</p>	<p>224</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 misalignment can lead to tread separation and 3 that these tires showed signs of misalignment 4 but it was not a contributing factor to the 5 tread separation that occurred here. 6 A. Sure. In the first place the 7 tires are mounted on a rim that is really 8 narrower than recommended, so they are going 9 to be susceptible to edge wear. The design 10 itself it is susceptible to edge wear. And in 11 this case we have, again, we have shoulder 12 wear on the sides of the tire that you would 13 expect to find from a toe-in situation, but 14 the bead grooves are essentially equal which 15 means that it is not severe. 16 So my conclusion from that is that 17 the, there was some marginal toe-in causing 18 wear or due to the -- you know, causing 19 shoulder wear on the tire that is associated, 20 you know, exacerbated by the fact that the 21 rim's too narrow, you know, but it is not 22 significant or it's not large because the bead 23 grooves are essentially the same size. If you 24 had different size bead grooves on each side 25 of the tire, substantially different size bead</p>

<p>225</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 grooves, then the tire would have been shifted</p> <p>3 pretty dramatically in its load.</p> <p>4 Q. Are you actually saying that there</p> <p>5 was a misalignment damage, but that that</p> <p>6 wasn't sufficient enough to contribute to the</p> <p>7 tread separation?</p> <p>8 A. Well, there is no misalignment</p> <p>9 damage. There is some additional wear on the</p> <p>10 outer, I think it is the outer rib of each of</p> <p>11 the tires. There is also chamfer wear which,</p> <p>12 again, doesn't have anything to do with</p> <p>13 misalignment. But, you know, it is just not</p> <p>14 unusual to find some level of misalignment</p> <p>15 wear, especially -- well, in this case because</p> <p>16 the tire is mounted on a narrow rim, it is</p> <p>17 going to be much more susceptible to that.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. You made two statements.</p> <p>19 You said the rim is too narrow and then you</p> <p>20 said the rim was narrower than recommended.</p> <p>21 When was the subject tire in this</p> <p>22 case first introduced to a Greyhound bus?</p> <p>23 MR. POLLAK: You mean the G-409 in</p> <p>24 general, or this specific tire?</p> <p>25 MR. KAPLAN: The specific tire</p>	<p>227</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. At the time this tire was fitted</p> <p>3 to the bus, the Tire and Rim Association had</p> <p>4 modified its recommendation, so that it would</p> <p>5 hold 8,000 pounds on an 8-1/4 inch rim.</p> <p>6 Q. So in other words, at all times</p> <p>7 during the life of the subject tire in this</p> <p>8 case, and what I mean by that is from the</p> <p>9 moment that it was manufactured until the time</p> <p>10 that it failed, the Tire and Rim Association</p> <p>11 approved that size tire from being utilized on</p> <p>12 an 8-1/4 inch rim. Is that correct?</p> <p>13 A. Well, they always allowed this</p> <p>14 tire to be used on an 8-1/4 inch rim.</p> <p>15 Q. So when you refer to it as</p> <p>16 narrower than recommended --</p> <p>17 A. Um-hum.</p> <p>18 Q. -- who said that it was too</p> <p>19 narrow?</p> <p>20 A. Well, the recommended sizes for</p> <p>21 this tire are 9 and 9.75.</p> <p>22 Q. Aren't we quibbling with words</p> <p>23 here? The 8-1/4 inch size is an approved</p> <p>24 size, is that correct?</p> <p>25 A. It's a last resort size. It is a</p>
<p>226</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 involved in this accident.</p> <p>3 MR. POLLAK: Okay.</p> <p>4 A. I'm not exactly sure. Let me see.</p> <p>5 Q. If I told you November of 2005,</p> <p>6 would you have any reason to dispute that?</p> <p>7 A. No, that's sounds about right.</p> <p>8 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection to</p> <p>9 the form.</p> <p>10 Q. And then if I told you it was</p> <p>11 introduced to the bus that was involved in the</p> <p>12 accident in December 2005, would you have any</p> <p>13 reason to dispute that?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, when this -- and by the way,</p> <p>16 when was this tire manufactured?</p> <p>17 A. Sometime in September of --</p> <p>18 Q. It was a 3705 tire so that would</p> <p>19 be sometime in September 2005?</p> <p>20 A. 2005.</p> <p>21 Q. When this tire was manufactured,</p> <p>22 was this tire considered by anyone to not be</p> <p>23 acceptable for use on an 8-1/4 inch rim?</p> <p>24 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>25 answer.</p>	<p>228</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 size that is not -- that does not follow the</p> <p>3 engineering design information. That is, when</p> <p>4 you look at the recommended percentage rim</p> <p>5 widths to percentage tire widths, the 8-1/4</p> <p>6 inch rim simply does not fall in that range.</p> <p>7 The Tire and Rim Association I would imagine</p> <p>8 allowed it to be used because there were so</p> <p>9 many 8-1/4 inch wide 22-1/2 rims around.</p> <p>10 Q. In other words it is a very</p> <p>11 prevalent use combination, isn't that true?</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>13 answer.</p> <p>14 A. I don't have any idea what it is</p> <p>15 in terms of market penetration, but I would</p> <p>16 imagine that the tire has been picked up for</p> <p>17 use, you know, in replacing the 1275R 22-1/2.</p> <p>18 Q. So you imagine the use combination</p> <p>19 was large enough that the Tire and Rim</p> <p>20 Association felt some need to approve it?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>22 answer.</p> <p>23 A. Well, again the Tire and Rim</p> <p>24 Association is made up of tire manufacturers.</p> <p>25 So somebody obviously brought this forward and</p>

<p>229</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the Tire and Rim Association, the members of 3 the Tire and Rim Association approved it. 4 Q. Is Michelin a member of the Tire 5 and Rim Association? 6 A. They certainly are. 7 Q. If Michelin thought that the 8-1/4 8 inch size rim was not appropriate on this 9 tire, would they have approve the Tire and Rim 10 Association's approval? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 12 answer. 13 A. Well, I don't know that Michelin 14 fits a 315/80R 22-1/2 to an 8-1/4 inch rim. 15 Q. That's not what I asked you. They 16 have some responsibility to the public being a 17 member of the Tire and Rim Association, don't 18 they? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 20 answer. 21 A. But I don't know whether they get 22 to veto. I don't know whether they have veto 23 authority. I don't know how the Tire and Rim 24 Association actually makes decisions like 25 this. They certainly don't have testing</p>	<p>231</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Are you aware of any industry 3 groups that disapprove of the use of the 8-1/4 4 inch rim with the size of the G-409 tire that 5 was involved in this case? 6 A. No, sir. It doesn't mean they 7 don't exist. I'm just not aware of them. 8 Q. And we do know one that does 9 exist, and that's the Tire and Rim 10 Association. Isn't that right? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Would you say that that is the 13 largest and most influential body regarding 14 the matching of Tire and Rim sizes in North 15 America? 16 A. In North America, yes. 17 Q. What does over-deflected operation 18 do to a tire which can cause it to eventually 19 sustain a tread separation? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 21 You can answer. 22 A. It increases the amount of sheer 23 stress at the belt edge between the working 24 belts. It -- and as a result it increases the 25 heat and because it increases the sheer stress</p>
<p>230</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 capability. The Tire and Rim Association, you 3 know, has a committee for medium radial truck 4 tires and the chairmanship of that committee 5 rotates among the tire makers. So, you know, 6 whether a tire maker can veto anything like 7 this. I don't know. 8 Q. Have you ever seen any minutes of 9 meetings from the TRA regarding the approval 10 of the 8-1/4 inch rim with the size of the 11 G-409 involved in this case? 12 A. I have not. 13 Q. Are you aware of any governing 14 body that disapproves of the use of the 8-1/4 15 inch rim with a G-409 size tire involved in 16 this case? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 18 answer. 19 A. No, sir, government bodies don't 20 make that determination. 21 Q. I said governing body. 22 A. Governing bodies don't make that 23 determination. It is the Tire and 24 Rim Association -- I'm not sure the ETRTO 25 approves this size on an 8-1/4.</p>	<p>232</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 and the heat, it can cause cracking from the 3 edge of the steel belts into the tire. And 4 that will ultimately lead to a tread 5 separation in the purest sense. 6 Q. Would you say that long term 7 minimal over-deflection can have the same 8 forensics effect as more severe but short term 9 over-deflection? 10 A. I don't know whether you can make 11 a generalization like that. 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. Again, it is a stress state and 14 duration and speed kind of an issue. 15 Q. Now, you prepared your initial 16 report in this case in July of 2010, July 17 28th, correct? 18 A. I believe so, yes. 19 Q. When did you start drafting this 20 report? 21 A. Probably two weeks before. 22 Q. Is it possible that you started 23 drafting it back in April of 2010? 24 A. It's conceivable, yeah. 25 Q. How about earlier than April of</p>

<p>233</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 2010?</p> <p>3 A. Maybe.</p> <p>4 Q. If you had billed Greyhound for</p> <p>5 doing work on the drafting of your report, I</p> <p>6 presume you actually did that work, right?</p> <p>7 A. Oh, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 A. But, again, it -- what did you</p> <p>10 say, 2008?</p> <p>11 Q. No, 2010.</p> <p>12 A. Beginning of 2010, okay, yeah,</p> <p>13 sure.</p> <p>14 Q. When would you say that you began</p> <p>15 drafting the report, the July 28th, 2010</p> <p>16 report?</p> <p>17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. Asked and</p> <p>18 answered. You can answer it over</p> <p>19 objection.</p> <p>20 A. Do you have billings from 2010 in</p> <p>21 that bundle?</p> <p>22 Q. Well, I know it goes back at least</p> <p>23 to the beginning of 2010. I'm asking if you</p> <p>24 know independently when the date is?</p> <p>25 A. No, I don't.</p>	<p>235</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 process was your attempt to see if you could</p> <p>3 find what the cause was for the tire failure?</p> <p>4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>5 answer.</p> <p>6 A. That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. So what I'm saying is, it took you</p> <p>8 based on your testimony, approximately 2-1/2</p> <p>9 years to come up with the conclusion that</p> <p>10 there was some type of puncture-related issue</p> <p>11 with the G-409 tire. Is that correct?</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>13 form. You can answer.</p> <p>14 A. If you say so. I'll buy that. I</p> <p>15 mean, it took --</p> <p>16 Q. Well, I'm not saying. I'm basing</p> <p>17 it on what you are telling me.</p> <p>18 A. Yeah, I did an inspection in 2008,</p> <p>19 middle of 2008. I subsequently got more data</p> <p>20 about, you know, I got the maintenance desk</p> <p>21 data. I had to basically rebuild my analysis</p> <p>22 of constructions and so on that I had done in</p> <p>23 a limited way for Opelika.</p> <p>24 Q. But you began investigating the</p> <p>25 G-409 tire in March of 2007. Correct?</p>
<p>234</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. Do you remember when you first</p> <p>3 formulated your opinion in this case that</p> <p>4 there was an issue regarding the</p> <p>5 puncturability of the C-3 G-409 tire?</p> <p>6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>7 form. You can answer.</p> <p>8 A. Sometime in 2009, in the fall,</p> <p>9 early winter of 2009.</p> <p>10 Q. So you had been investigating the</p> <p>11 G-409 tire for over two years at that time.</p> <p>12 Is that correct?</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>14 form. You can answer.</p> <p>15 A. Well, this tire, I did my</p> <p>16 inspection in mid 2008. Right? So for this</p> <p>17 tire, yeah.</p> <p>18 Q. Well, you were called in to</p> <p>19 investigate the G-409 tire in relation to the</p> <p>20 Elizabethtown accident in March of 2007, isn't</p> <p>21 that correct?</p> <p>22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form.</p> <p>23 You can answer.</p> <p>24 A. That's correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And again, as we discussed, the</p>	<p>236</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>3 form. You can answer.</p> <p>4 A. I did some preliminary</p> <p>5 inspections, and then we were off and running</p> <p>6 on Opelika.</p> <p>7 Q. When did you examine all those</p> <p>8 other tires that you talked about, the ones in</p> <p>9 Louisville and the other depots?</p> <p>10 A. 2007.</p> <p>11 Q. And, by the way, did you form your</p> <p>12 opinion about the puncture resistance of the</p> <p>13 C-3 version of the G-409 tire, before or after</p> <p>14 you were given the maintenance desk reports by</p> <p>15 Greyhound?</p> <p>16 A. Before. I definitely wanted to</p> <p>17 figure out, or at least when I did my analysis</p> <p>18 I definitely wanted to figure out whether the</p> <p>19 data supported my conclusion.</p> <p>20 Q. And that's because you would tend</p> <p>21 to doubt the existence of a design defect if</p> <p>22 there was not data to support the theory of</p> <p>23 design defect, correct?</p> <p>24 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>25 form. You can answer.</p>

<p>237</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. Well, certainly when you take a,</p> <p>3 you know, a perfectly good running tire and</p> <p>4 you make it weaker, there is some cause for</p> <p>5 concern. But the, you know, the data</p> <p>6 certainly supports that, you know, the tire</p> <p>7 got worse.</p> <p>8 Q. Would you have been able to</p> <p>9 support your theory of a design defect</p> <p>10 relating to the puncturability of the C-3</p> <p>11 design, if there was in your opinion no field</p> <p>12 data to support that?</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>14 answer.</p> <p>15 A. Again, I think the field testing,</p> <p>16 you know, that is represented by the</p> <p>17 maintenance response desk data, basically is,</p> <p>18 is just that. It is field testing of</p> <p>19 hypothesis.</p> <p>20 Q. Well, I'm going to address that</p> <p>21 data later --</p> <p>22 A. So you have a hypothesis about a</p> <p>23 tire failure, all right, from the standpoint</p> <p>24 of pure physics, you know, it is reasonable.</p> <p>25 On the other hand, you know,</p>	<p>239</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 that there was a design defect in the C-3</p> <p>3 G-409 tire which caused the tire failure in</p> <p>4 Elizabethtown?</p> <p>5 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>6 answered. Objection to the form. You</p> <p>7 can answer.</p> <p>8 A. I think I've answered the</p> <p>9 question.</p> <p>10 MR. KAPLAN: Can you reread it to</p> <p>11 him again because I don't think he has</p> <p>12 answered it, and with all of the</p> <p>13 obstructing objections, it may be</p> <p>14 confusing to the witness.</p> <p>15 (Record read.)</p> <p>16 A. Again, I think, you know, I would</p> <p>17 conclude there was a design defect. Whether I</p> <p>18 could support it or not is another story.</p> <p>19 Q. So it would be an unsupportable</p> <p>20 claim of a design defect without the field</p> <p>21 data, in quotes, that you referred to?</p> <p>22 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>23 answer.</p> <p>24 A. Again, it is my opinion.</p> <p>25 Q. Well, your opinion, for legal</p>
<p>238</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 without the kind of field test or field data</p> <p>3 you get, it's, you know, it is -- I think it</p> <p>4 becomes more difficult to be absolutely</p> <p>5 certain that you have a design defect in the</p> <p>6 tire.</p> <p>7 Q. Would it be fair to say that</p> <p>8 without the field data, and I put that in</p> <p>9 quotes, that you referred to, that you would</p> <p>10 not be able to conclude to a reasonable degree</p> <p>11 of engineering certainty that the C-3 G-409</p> <p>12 tire did have a design defect?</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>14 answered; also to the form. You can</p> <p>15 answer.</p> <p>16 A. Well, I think the C-3 design is a</p> <p>17 weaker tire. The issue is, is it too weak for</p> <p>18 the service intended, and the only way to do</p> <p>19 that is to look at field data. And the only</p> <p>20 field data out there is, you know, is the</p> <p>21 maintenance response desk data.</p> <p>22 Q. So is it fair to say that without</p> <p>23 that, in quotes, field data that you referred</p> <p>24 to, you would not be able to conclude to a</p> <p>25 reasonable degree of engineering certainty</p>	<p>240</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 purposes, has to be based on evidence and it</p> <p>3 has to be to a reasonable degree of</p> <p>4 engineering certainty. So what I'm asking you</p> <p>5 is, if you did not have the field data which</p> <p>6 you claim exists in the maintenance desk</p> <p>7 reports, would you be able to make the</p> <p>8 conclusion that the C-3 G-409 tire was</p> <p>9 suffering from a design defect which caused</p> <p>10 the Elizabethtown accident?</p> <p>11 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and</p> <p>12 answered. You can answer.</p> <p>13 A. And I think the answer to that is</p> <p>14 yes. The puncture in this case is one of just</p> <p>15 barely. So when you go from a denser wire</p> <p>16 design to a more open wire design, just barely</p> <p>17 in the more open wire design becomes not quite</p> <p>18 in the closed, the more closed design.</p> <p>19 Q. That all depends how the screw</p> <p>20 hits the tire, right?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection.</p> <p>22 A. But in this particular case, in</p> <p>23 this particular instance, it is a case of just</p> <p>24 barely.</p> <p>25 Q. What was the speed that the bus</p>

<p>245</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 enough energy for the screw to pull a little 3 extra steel through into the inner liner? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 5 You can answer. 6 A. I'm sorry? 7 Q. When you are making an energy 8 calculation, how do you determine what the 9 energy was involved here when this screw 10 penetrated the tread of the C-3 tire? 11 A. I don't even understand the 12 question. 13 Q. Well, I don't understand what you 14 are saying. It makes no sense. If you have a 15 screw that's penetrating a tread area of a 16 tire, how can you say that if the screw makes 17 contact with wire under the exact same 18 conditions, that it isn't going to cause 19 additional wires to be pushed through into the 20 inner liner? 21 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 22 objection. Mr. Kaplan, you can ask the 23 question over my objection, but if you 24 are going to start telling this witness 25 that his answers don't make sense, it is</p>	<p>247</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: With my objection 3 included. I mean, not to say it, but 4 the objection is there. 5 (Record read.) 6 MR. POLLAK: You have my 7 objection, correct? 8 A. Okay, then we need to go back to 9 when I was describing, you know, what actually 10 happened, my opinion about what actually 11 happened in this accident, or in this 12 puncture. 13 The screw cuts the wire. The 14 thread of the screw drags the wire tip into 15 the inner liner and the screw pulls back. If 16 the screw never gets through the inner liner, 17 then it can't pull any wire into the inner 18 liner. 19 So, so if the -- this business of, 20 you know, if the screw encounters more wire, 21 why won't it bring more wire into the inner 22 liner? Because it never gets there. 23 Q. Could you show me where in your 24 report you say that the screw dragged wire 25 into the inner liner?</p>
<p>246</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 a problem for me I don't think 3 appropriate for a deposition. So if you 4 please refrain from your own commentary 5 when you ask the question of this 6 witness. I don't think it is 7 respectful. 8 But over objection you can answer 9 the question. 10 MR. KAPLAN: Well, I think you 11 have to stop telling the witness that he 12 has answered the question because he 13 hasn't. 14 A. Well -- 15 MR. POLLAK: It is an objection 16 that I have a right to make to preserve 17 the record and that's what I'm doing. 18 I'm not speaking to the witness. I'm 19 speaking to the record. 20 MR. KAPLAN: Well, technically all 21 objections except for form are preserved 22 until the time of trial. So I'm not 23 sure what right you are speaking of. 24 But anyway, could you read back 25 the last question.</p>	<p>248</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Probably not. 3 No, I can't. 4 Q. What's the difference between 5 forced and dragged? 6 A. No difference at all. 7 Q. Well -- 8 A. Other than, other than the only 9 way the screw can capture and pull a wire is 10 if it traps it between the edge of the screw 11 and the rubber. That is, the screw can't 12 impale a section of wire and push it ahead of 13 itself. 14 Q. Could you show me where in your 15 report you used the term pull? 16 A. No. 17 Q. It doesn't exist in your report -- 18 A. I doubt that I even used that 19 term. 20 Q. Right. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. By the way, what is the puncturing 23 entity in this case? 24 A. The screw. 25 Q. Now, at the top of page 11 of your</p>

249 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 report, and I'm quoting from you, you say: 3 "The puncturing entity, the screw 4 did not completely penetrate the tire." 5 Did I read that correctly? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And the sentence after that says: 8 "Instead, the puncturing entity 9 cut the edge of a steel cable and forced 10 a tread reinforcement wire through the 11 inner liner." 12 Did I read that correctly? 13 A. Yes, you did. 14 Q. Can you show me anywhere in your 15 report where you say that the puncturing 16 entity itself, the screw, actually penetrated 17 into the inner liner? 18 A. Probably not, no. 19 Q. This theory that you have appeared 20 to have come up with today, have you done any 21 testing to confirm the mechanism of what you 22 say happened, the screw pulling wires into the 23 inner liner? Have you done any type of 24 testing with tires to replicate that? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form.</p>	251 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the bus was carrying any freight? 3 A. No, sir. 4 Q. So as far as we can tell, this bus 5 was not fully loaded at the time of the 6 accident, correct? 7 A. Well, every seat was not full, 8 that's correct. 9 Q. Well, it is rated for 55 10 passengers. Is that correct? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. And they have three less than 55? 13 A. That's correct. And we don't have 14 any idea what those -- or at least I don't 15 have any idea what those passengers weighed or 16 how much luggage they had. 17 Q. They could all have been very 18 light, right? 19 A. Or they all could have been very 20 heavy or they could have had multiple bags or 21 whatever. 22 Q. Don't know that? 23 A. I don't know. 24 Q. In fact, when Greyhound loads its 25 buses, it doesn't weigh its passengers, does</p>
250 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 You can answer. 3 A. No. 4 Q. Do you know anybody who has? 5 A. No, sir. 6 Q. Do you know if anybody has written 7 any peer-reviewed articles or journals 8 describing such testing? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Let's go back to your report. On 11 page 3 on the background section, you are 12 describing in the first paragraph that there 13 were 52 passengers on board the bus at the 14 time of the accident. Correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. How many passengers was this bus 17 rated for? 18 A. 52 I think. 19 Q. Can you check the NTSB report 20 because I believe it is a 55-passenger bus. 21 A. This is the operation testing. Do 22 you have the factual report somewhere? There 23 it is. 24 55 passengers, you are correct. 25 Q. And is there any indication that</p>	252 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 it? 3 A. No, sir. 4 Q. It doesn't weigh the luggage that 5 the passengers bring on, does it? 6 A. No, sir. 7 Q. And the reason why it doesn't do 8 that is because it uses the calculations on 9 chart that we spoke about a little while ago, 10 Exhibit 2, isn't that correct? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 12 form. You can answer. 13 A. I don't really know. 14 Q. Well, they use the standard 150 15 pounds per passenger and 35 pounds per 16 luggage, correct? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 18 form. You can answer. 19 A. My understanding is that's how MCI 20 designs the bus. 21 Q. Well, MCI designed this bus to be 22 used with 8-1/4 inch rims and 315/R80 22.5 23 tires, correct? 24 A. The placard says 12R75R22, or 25 1275R 22-1/2 tires.</p>

253 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Is the placard correct or wrong? 3 A. Well, the placard is what's 4 supposed to be correct. Obviously, an 8-1/4 5 inch rim is perfect for that. Unfortunately, 6 that tire isn't perfect for a 16,000 pound 7 axle. 8 Q. Right. Now, there is no question, 9 though, that the right tire, run at the right 10 inflation was well known to Greyhound, 11 regardless of what the placard says? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 13 answer. 14 Q. Well, Greyhound knew it was going 15 to run 315/R80 22.5 tires at 120 psi on the 16 drive axles. No question about that, right? 17 A. I don't believe so. Although 18 there was some confusion evidently in the 19 first of the 102DL3s. 20 Q. Well, that's, you are talking 21 about the 1990s? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. I'm talking, though, 2005, 2006. 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. Have you looked at the K 91 in</p>	255 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Greyhound personnel, it was made clear that 3 the drive axle tires on the 102DL3 buses had 4 to be inflated to 120 psi, would you have any 5 reason to disagree with me or doubt that? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 7 of the question. You can answer. 8 A. Again, I don't have -- I have no 9 knowledge of the operational procedures or 10 maintenance. 11 Q. By the way, this bus as indicated 12 in your report had operated with 8-1/4 inch 13 rims and 315/R80 22.5 tires for approximately 14 988,215 miles. Is that correct? 15 A. That's what the service was at the 16 time of the accident approximately. 17 Q. Now, at the bottom of page 3 -- by 18 the way, do you have any reason to doubt that 19 the drive axle on this bus could not support a 20 load of 16,000 pounds? 21 A. I don't have any reason to believe 22 the drive axle couldn't support the 16,000 23 pounds. 24 Q. I'm sorry, the steer axle? 25 A. The steer axle itself?</p>
254 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 this case which was the maintenance guide that 3 Greyhound prepared for tire servicing in this 4 case, or in this situation? 5 A. Not in this case I haven't, no. 6 Q. Have you looked at the service 7 lane service guides that were prepared by 8 Greyhound for their engineers to use when 9 maintaining and inflating tires that were 10 mounted on the 102DL3 buses? 11 A. No. I wasn't aware they had 12 engineers working the service lanes. 13 Q. Do you have -- 14 A. Again, I wasn't asked to look at 15 Greyhound procedures. 16 Q. Let's say Greyhound maintenance 17 people. You are aware Greyhound maintenance 18 people were working in the service lanes? 19 A. But, again -- 20 Q. Is that correct? 21 A. Sure. But I wasn't asked to look 22 at service procedures or maintenance 23 procedures or anything like that. 24 Q. If I represent to you that in all 25 of these documents that were given to the</p>	256 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Yes. 3 A. The steer axle itself I believe is 4 rated at 16,500 pounds according to MCI 5 documents. So 16,000 pounds would have been 6 well within its capability. 7 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt 8 that the wheel, the wheels that were mounted 9 on the steer axles could not sustain weights 10 of 8,000 pounds each? 11 A. The wheels according to the 12 documents are rated at 8,000 pounds, yes. 13 Q. Do you have any reasons to 14 conclude that the G-409 tires that were 15 mounted on the wheels on the steer axle of the 16 Greyhound bus, could not support a weight of 17 8,000 pounds each? 18 A. There is absolutely no testing 19 data to support that they can. 20 Q. The question was, do you have any 21 reason to conclude that those tires could not 22 support a weight of 8,000 pounds each? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. Well, the original rating was 7610</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">257</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 pounds on an 8-1/4 inch wide rim. 3 Q. And what was it in 2005? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection, you can 5 answer. 6 A. It was raised to 8,000 pounds, but 7 there is no support for that, none. 8 Q. No support by whom, you mean by 9 the TRA? 10 A. By the T&RA, by Goodyear, by 11 anybody. Goodyear never did any testing on an 12 8-1/4 inch wide rim. 13 Q. Are you aware of any testing from 14 any other manufacturer as to whether or not a 15 tire of this size could support 8,000 pounds? 16 A. No, sir. 17 Q. Do you have any evidence which in 18 any way indicates that a tire of this size, 19 specifically the G-409 tire, could not support 20 a weight of 8,000 pounds? 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 22 answer. 23 A. Having no test data, doesn't 24 automatically say it is acceptable. 25 Q. That's not what I'm asking. I'm</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">259</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. The NHTSA, National Highway 3 Traffic Safety Administration. 4 Q. And they are part of the 5 Department of Transportation? 6 A. They are. 7 Q. And do they have any other tests 8 that are used in any other way to determine 9 load capacity of a tire? 10 A. No, sir. 11 Q. And did Goodyear have the G-409 12 tire tested pursuant to those tests? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And did the Goodyear G-409 tire 15 pass those tests? 16 A. As far as I know, it did. 17 Q. And in fact it passed those tests 18 by a considerable margin, isn't that correct? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection as to form. 20 You can answer. 21 A. It depends on which tests you are 22 talking. Plunger energy? 23 Q. Well, do you -- the plunger energy 24 or any of those tests. Did it pass every one 25 of those tests?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">258</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 asking you do you have any data which supports 3 a conclusion that this tire could not support 4 a load of 8,000 pounds? 5 A. No. I have no personal data, no. 6 Q. Does the Department of 7 Transportation have any tests that are 8 designed for tires to pass before they can 9 claim that they have a certain load rating? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Who does? 12 A. Pardon me? 13 Q. Does anybody? 14 A. No sir. 15 Q. Are any of these tests contained 16 in the FMVSS 119 tests? 17 A. The FMVSS 119 testing is testing 18 that was originally designed for bias plied 19 tires, and is designed to test to see if a 20 tire is minimally acceptable on its design rim 21 on a 9, in this case a 9-inch rim. 22 Q. Minimally acceptable to whom? 23 A. For highway service. 24 Q. To whom, though? Who sets those 25 tests and guidelines?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">260</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No modern radial tire will fail 3 plunger energy, okay. 4 Q. Well, is there any test that the 5 Goodyear G-409 tire failed? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 7 answer. 8 A. Not that I'm aware of, not for 9 FMVSS 119. 10 Q. Are there any other tests? 11 A. If there aren't, you know, shame 12 on Goodyear. 13 Q. Well, what other tests are there 14 that are recognized by the industry? 15 A. Well, each tire maker makes up its 16 own tests. 17 Q. Are there any industry standards? 18 A. No, sir. 19 Q. So you can't say that Goodyear 20 violated any industry standards, can you? 21 A. Again, if they didn't have 22 considerably more testing than what's been 23 produced, that seems strange to me. 24 Q. That's not what I asked you. I 25 asked you did Goodyear violate any industry</p>

261 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 standards? 3 A. There are no industry standards. 4 Q. So they could not have violated 5 them? 6 A. They could not have violated what 7 doesn't exist, that's correct. 8 Q. Now, you also recorded in your 9 report the mileage that the bus was operated 10 on the 28th of August of 2006, correct? I 11 think at the second-to-last line you indicated 12 it went about 365 miles that day. 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. Do you know how many miles the bus 15 was driven that week? 16 A. No, sir, I don't. 17 Q. And by the way, you indicate on 18 page 4 in the second paragraph, last line, 19 that this tire had about 91,000 miles of 20 service, correct? 21 A. That sounds about right, yes. 22 Q. So that would mean this tire was 23 entering the last third of its use life? 24 A. No, sir. 25 Q. Well, it was designed for 140,000</p>	263 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. It could have occurred that day. 3 You know, it is really a section of 4 peak-through. There is a section where the 5 sub-tread rubber is showing through, and, you 6 know, once that starts to happen, you know, it 7 expands very quickly. So the question is, you 8 know, I don't think anybody can say it didn't 9 happen that day. It might have been two days 10 or something like that, but it certainly 11 wasn't very long. 12 Q. And this is different than the 13 shoulder wear that you described just before 14 that, that you said would have been the 15 patterns referred to by Mr. Jeffries? 16 A. Absolutely. 17 Q. Now, the polishing that you found, 18 that was only in the piece labeled No. 12, 19 correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And did you find polishing 22 anywhere else? 23 A. Of the pieces that were left, no. 24 Q. Were there any pieces that you had 25 available to you for examination where you</p>
262 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 miles pursuant to -- 3 A. First tread life, yes. 4 Q. Was Greyhound using this tire in a 5 retreadable situation? Was Goodyear having 6 the G-409 tires retread? 7 A. Not that I know. That doesn't 8 mean that Goodyear wasn't retreading them. It 9 is a retreadable tire. 10 Q. Operating on three belts is what 11 we discussed? 12 A. Whatever. Whether you retread it 13 with four belts or you retread it with three 14 belts, it is still a retreadable tire. 15 Q. Now, at the bottom of page 5 of 16 your report, you talk about the OSS shoulder 17 rib wear, and you say that that wear would 18 have been very recent. Can you explain that. 19 A. Sure. Sub-tread rubber is a good 20 10 points durometer hardness softer than the 21 tread rubber. 22 Q. No, what I meant was what did you 23 mean by very recent, days, hours, weeks or in 24 terms of how many miles it would have created 25 to cause that?</p>	264 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 might have expected to see polishing but 3 didn't? 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Now, you have said that this was 6 consistent with a preexisting progressive 7 breakdown, and we talked -- this is what we 8 talked about earlier, how long the polishing 9 would have been there? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And then as we get farther down 12 you talk about your leak rate which was 0.024 13 psi per minute? 14 A. That is my estimate, yes. 15 Q. Using a calculator, that's about 16 1.44 psi per hour? 17 A. I believe so, yes. 18 Q. Now, over a 48-hour period, if the 19 tire was leaking at that rate it would have 20 lost approximately 69 psi, correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. So if it had been inflated to 120 23 psi, in other words the pressure would have 24 been down to 51 psi? 25 A. That's correct.</p>

<p>265</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And over 36 hours, it would have 3 lost 51.8 psi, correct? 4 A. Yeah, I haven't done that math, 5 but sure, that sounds about right. 6 Q. All right, and then if it had been 7 there for 24 hours, in other words if it had 8 happened the evening of the 27th, then it 9 would have been reduced 34.5 psi at the time 10 of the accident? 11 A. That's correct. That sounds about right. 12 Q. Now, on the next page, page 7, 13 again, we talk about the use of a narrower 14 than recommended wheel width. This is what we 15 covered before when we had our discussion 16 about whether or not it was approved by the 17 TRA, correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. On page 8 you talk about the 20 vehicle placard. Who prepares the vehicle 21 placard? 22 A. The vehicle manufacturer. 23 Q. Goodyear doesn't prepare that? 24 A. No, sir.</p>	<p>267</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 slower than what you calculated? 3 A. Could it have been plus or minus 5 4 percent, sure. Could it have been any larger 5 or smaller than that, probably not. 6 Q. And that was the main factor you 7 said. What were the other factors, if any, 8 for your conclusion? 9 A. For my conclusion about what? 10 Q. The puncture occurring on the date 11 of the occurrence. 12 A. Again, there is -- 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 14 of the question. 15 A. There is really no evidence that 16 the tire was, you know, dramatically leaking. 17 If you look at the recorded pressures for this 18 tire, over any length of time, I mean, other 19 than the last recorded, basically the 20 pressures have been, you know, within 5 psi of 21 120 every where, except when it is 22 overinflated to 130 or 132, right. 23 Q. Now, that would be for the time 24 period before August 18th, 2006, correct? 25 A. That would have been through -- I</p>
<p>266</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Greyhound doesn't prepare that? 3 A. No, sir. 4 Q. Now, we discussed the time when 5 the screw would have entered the subject tire, 6 and your testimony and your report says it has 7 to be, it had to have occurred on the day of 8 the accident. Is that correct? 9 A. Based on the leak rate, yes. 10 Q. Do you base it on anything else 11 other than your leak rate? 12 A. That's the primary consideration 13 is the leak rate. The leak rate that I have 14 computed from the data basically is too large 15 to support the tire having been -- having had 16 that leak for days and days. 17 Q. The data that you utilized to 18 determine the leak rate, did it have any 19 variables? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 21 form. You can answer. 22 Q. Well, you made estimations, right? 23 A. Sure. 24 Q. So is there a tolerance level for 25 your leak rate? Could it have been a little</p>	<p>268</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 guess the last, the last recorded pressure was 3 August 18 I think, where it was 115 psi. 4 Q. Right. And after that air 5 pressure reading on August 18th, was the air 6 pressure ever again taken? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 8 of the question. You can answer. 9 A. Not to my knowledge. 10 Q. So that's approximately a ten-day 11 period? 12 A. That's correct. 13 (Discussion off the written 14 record.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You might want 16 to ask what you just said over. 17 MR. KAPLAN: Can you read back the 18 last question. 19 (Record read.) 20 Q. In your report you speak about the 21 inspections that Marshal Clark did, Brian 22 Lancaster did and Mr. Burgess did. 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. Do you use those inspections as a 25 basis for concluding that the tire did not</p>

<p>269</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 sustain its leak until the date of the</p> <p>3 occurrence?</p> <p>4 A. Based on my leak rate estimate,</p> <p>5 those inspections would indicate to me that</p> <p>6 the tire had not been punctured at that time.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, what is it about Mr. Clark's</p> <p>8 deposition testimony that indicates to you</p> <p>9 that the tire was not punctured on August 22nd</p> <p>10 when he performed his federally mandated</p> <p>11 inspection of the bus?</p> <p>12 A. Because from August 22nd to the</p> <p>13 accident date, August 28, you know, six days</p> <p>14 later the tire could not have had that leak</p> <p>15 rate without being flat.</p> <p>16 Q. That's of course assuming your</p> <p>17 leak rate is correct. If the leak rate was</p> <p>18 much slower, it is conceivable that the tire</p> <p>19 could have been punctured on August 22nd when</p> <p>20 Mr. Clark did his examination?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>22 form.</p> <p>23 A. Well, there is no possibility the</p> <p>24 leak rate could have been lower.</p> <p>25 Q. None whatsoever?</p>	<p>271</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 just from a bump test alone, can a bump test</p> <p>3 tell you anything?</p> <p>4 A. Not reliably.</p> <p>5 Q. So let's say it is 55 psi. The</p> <p>6 NTSB says you probably can't see if a tire is</p> <p>7 under-inflated at 55 psi, correct?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And you wouldn't be able to tell</p> <p>10 whether or not a tire was down to 55 psi based</p> <p>11 on a bump check alone, isn't that correct?</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>13 answer.</p> <p>14 A. That's correct. Although a tire</p> <p>15 ought to really be getting hot every time it</p> <p>16 is operated. So if you think about running</p> <p>17 the tire day after day when the pressure is in</p> <p>18 the 50s, I would expect to find zipper</p> <p>19 failures on the sidewall occurring. Because</p> <p>20 on this tire, any pressure below about 90 is</p> <p>21 going to lead to zipper failure.</p> <p>22 Q. And for how long a period of time?</p> <p>23 A. Well, for hundreds of miles, you</p> <p>24 know, I would expect to generate sidewall</p> <p>25 failure.</p>
<p>270</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. None whatsoever. The -- okay.</p> <p>3 Q. Mr. Clark didn't use an air gauge,</p> <p>4 did he?</p> <p>5 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>6 Q. In fact, did anybody use an air</p> <p>7 gauge after August 18th, 2006 to measure the</p> <p>8 air pressure?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection.</p> <p>10 A. Not that I know of. There was no</p> <p>11 recording of it.</p> <p>12 Q. And bump checks that were done,</p> <p>13 bump checks just tell you whether or not a</p> <p>14 tire is flat or has some air in it, correct?</p> <p>15 A. It tells you whether the tire has</p> <p>16 inflation pressure.</p> <p>17 Q. So the tire could have 40 psi in</p> <p>18 it and the bump check wouldn't necessarily</p> <p>19 tell you anything, would it?</p> <p>20 A. Well, again, the NTSB when they</p> <p>21 looked at deflection, you know, said below 50</p> <p>22 psi, and I think that's probably being very</p> <p>23 conservative, but they determined that below</p> <p>24 50 psi would be visually obvious.</p> <p>25 Q. Visually, okay. So I'm asking you</p>	<p>272</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 Q. Well, a bump check, obviously,</p> <p>3 wouldn't be able to tell you if the tire was</p> <p>4 down to 100 psi, would it?</p> <p>5 A. No, it wouldn't.</p> <p>6 Q. And a visual check wouldn't tell</p> <p>7 you if the tire was down to 100 psi either,</p> <p>8 would it?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. So at 100 psi you might not get a</p> <p>11 zipper break to occur that quickly. In fact,</p> <p>12 you might get enough heat degradation to cause</p> <p>13 a tread separation before that, isn't that</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form.</p> <p>16 You can answer.</p> <p>17 A. I don't think so. Typically, you</p> <p>18 have to be, you know, you have to, you have to</p> <p>19 really -- if the tire doesn't have some</p> <p>20 ongoing breakdown in it, you have to run at 10</p> <p>21 to 15 percent under-inflated for thousands and</p> <p>22 thousands of miles to get to tread sep -- to</p> <p>23 actually generate or respond a tread</p> <p>24 separation.</p> <p>25 Q. Don't zipper breaks</p>

273 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 characteristically occur upon reinflation 3 after a tire's been run low? 4 A. Yeah, and -- but they can also 5 spontaneously occur just because the tire is 6 being run and run and run and run very low. 7 Q. Do we know when air was last added 8 to this tire? 9 A. No, sir. 10 Q. Now, when you calculated your leak 11 rate, you, you looked at the video that had 12 been prepared by the NTSB, isn't that right? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. Now, what did you do with that 15 video: did you break it down frame by frame, 16 did you use one frame, did you use several 17 frames? How did you make your calculations? 18 A. Okay. I ran the video against a 19 stop watch to calculate the number of bubbles 20 that were being generated per second. Okay. 21 Q. And how many bubbles were being 22 generated per second? 23 A. Let me see here. I -- 24 Q. Which attachment? You are 25 referring to one of the attachments, right?</p>	275 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 different periods of time? 3 A. I don't know whether it was 4 exactly the same set of frames. It was 5 probably overlapping frames. 6 Q. Well, were you counting bubbles 7 twice? 8 A. No, no, no. I'm talking about 9 three separate measurements, starting from 10 zero each time. 11 Q. But how long is the video? 12 A. It is just a few seconds long. 13 Q. How many seconds? 14 A. It is probably six seconds, eight 15 seconds. 16 Q. Okay, so the .9 seconds that you 17 are talking about, was that the same .9 18 seconds that you counted over and over? 19 A. As close as I could get. 20 Q. The same .9 seconds? 21 A. As close as I could get. 22 Q. Did you count any of the other 23 time periods? 24 A. No, I did not. 25 Q. Did you compare those time periods</p>
274 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Yeah, tab 12 in the binder, the 3 depo binder. I'm not sure which attachment it 4 is in the report. 5 MR. DACUS: 5. 6 A. Attachment 5? Okay. 7 Q. Okay, so -- 8 A. So I counted 15, 15 bubbles. 9 Q. When you say you counted 15 10 bubbles, you indicated that you were measuring 11 bubbles per second? 12 A. Right, so I counted 15 bubbles 13 over .9 seconds on the stopwatch which gives 14 you a rate of 16.7 bubbles per second. 15 Q. How did you -- you counted the 16 number of the bubbles while the video was 17 running? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. You were able to count 15 bubbles 20 in .9 seconds? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Is it possible you made a mistake 23 with how many bubbles you counted? 24 A. I did it three times. 25 Q. Over the same .9 seconds or</p>	276 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 to see whether or not less bubbles or more 3 bubbles appeared during those 5.1 seconds? 4 A. Okay, when I play the video, the 5 bubble rate seems from an audio standpoint to 6 be about the same. 7 Q. What do you mean it seems from an 8 audio standpoint? 9 A. It sounds like, like the bubble 10 rate, the bubble frequency is constant. 11 Q. So you were not just counting 12 bubbles, you were listening to bubbles? 13 A. Sure. 14 Q. And when you were doing this you 15 were able to count 15 different popping sounds 16 within the space of .9 seconds, is that what 17 you are saying? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And you are saying that there is 20 absolutely no margin for error in your ability 21 to count bubbles popping over .9 seconds? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 23 answered. You can answer. 24 A. All I'm saying is I did it three 25 different times and came up with the same</p>

277 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 number. 3 Q. Over that same period, the .9 4 seconds? 5 A. As close as I could get it. 6 Q. Again, what about the other 5.1 7 seconds? 8 A. Again, the video is skipping, it 9 is stepping so you have to find a place where 10 the video is continuous. 11 Q. What do you mean it is skipping 12 and it's stepping? 13 A. You obviously never watched the 14 video. 15 Q. I'm asking you to tell me what you 16 mean. You are using terms: skipping, 17 stepping. What does that mean? 18 A. Darn terms. It means that the 19 video -- 20 Q. The terms have a meaning and you 21 can use them to kind of fudge facts in a way 22 that you want. That's why I'm trying to get 23 you to explain them. 24 A. Well, the video, in a couple of 25 sections in the video, so, you know, there is</p>	279 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the video, the rate at which the bubble -- the 3 rate at which bubbles are being made. 4 Q. And that's the popping sound? 5 A. That's the popping sound. 6 Q. 90 of them you heard in six 7 seconds? 8 A. Again, the rate seemed consistent, 9 the frequency of bubble occurrence. 10 Q. Well, could you tell the 11 difference between 70 bubbles popping and 90 12 bubbles popping over a period of six seconds? 13 A. Well, let's see, 60 bubbles over 6 14 seconds is ten a second, right? Roughly? Ten 15 a second is a fairly low count rate. 15 a 16 second is something that is auditorily of a 17 given frequency; that is, you notice if it 18 speeds up or slows down. 19 Q. Do you think you could create for 20 a jury looking at this deposition what it 21 sounds like, ten popping bubbles in one 22 second? I'll time you. 23 A. No. I would suggest we simply 24 play the video for the jury because that's 25 clear.</p>
278 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 probably six seconds of the video and in two 3 or three places the video does a skip, and I'm 4 not sure whether it is because whoever was 5 making that recording was using a lousy video 6 recording tool, or whether they were doing it 7 on their cell phone or what, but there is a 8 hiccup. So you have to find a place in the 9 video where there is no hiccup, otherwise you 10 are not sure that you have missed bubbles or 11 that the time is continuous or anything. 12 Obviously, if the video skips, there is a jump 13 in time. 14 Q. So what you are telling me is you 15 can't tell me whether or not the production of 16 bubbles was at a consistent rate over a period 17 of six seconds? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 19 answer. 20 A. Again, in my opinion it was 21 consistent. 22 Q. Again, your opinion based on what? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. The auditory sound that came from</p>	280 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And how about the difference 3 between ten bubbles and 15 bubbles per second, 4 can you differentiate for us what that sounds 5 like by making the sound of the bubble? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What did this pop sound like? Can 8 you recreate the sound? 9 A. No, sir. I'm not a sound 10 generator. 11 Q. Are you an expert in the sounds 12 that bubbles make? 13 A. I have grand kids, they play with 14 bubbles all the time. 15 Q. Do you count the bubbles that they 16 blow in their milk with their straws? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 18 Q. Is that where you got practice for 19 this method? 20 MR. POLLAK: Come on. 21 Q. Well, let me ask you this. If I 22 wanted to read somewhere about this method of 23 counting bubbles and bubble frequency in a 24 video, is there some place I could read this 25 or read about it?</p>

281 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No, sir. 3 Q. Is this a practice that is 4 accepted and followed in the tire industry? 5 A. This is nothing but physics. 6 Q. That's not what I asked you. Is 7 this an acceptable means to determine the flow 8 and rate of flow of air from a puncture in a 9 tire, according to the tire industry? 10 A. Nobody in the tire industry cares 11 about the air flow rate out of a tire in a 12 puncture. They don't. 13 Q. In fact, when the NTSB did this 14 test, they weren't trying to determine what 15 the air flow was, correct, the rate of flow? 16 A. They were trying to determine 17 whether it actually leaked. 18 Q. That's right. And in fact, that's 19 what this kind of test is for, just to 20 determine whether there is a leak and where 21 the leak is coming from, correct? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 23 answer. 24 A. But it represents a test that can 25 be used to estimate the leak rate.</p>	283 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 down at the bubbles or at a angle? 3 A. That's why you have to scale off 4 the steel cord. 5 Q. So what you get is a 6 two-dimensional picture of the bubble, right? 7 A. Right. But the bubbles are round. 8 Q. Well, you get a two-dimensional 9 picture of the bubble, correct? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. So when you said you measured the 12 bubble, what exactly did you measure? You 13 measured width? 14 A. Diameter and length. 15 Q. Diameter? 16 A. Um-hum. 17 Q. Okay. In order to determine the 18 volume of a bubble, you have to make a 19 three-dimensional calculation, don't you? 20 A. The bubble is a sphere. It only 21 has one -- 22 Q. Are all the bubbles the same size? 23 A. Essentially, yes. 24 Q. Essentially, or were they the same 25 size?</p>
282 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Based on your ipsi ditzig or can I 3 read about that anywhere else? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 5 form. You can answer. 6 A. Again, a bubble of a given size 7 has a given internal volume. 8 Q. Okay. How did you determine what 9 the size was of the bubbles? 10 A. I scaled them off the video. 11 Q. And what did you use to scale the 12 size of the bubbles? 13 A. Spacing between the belt cord is 14 the reference. 15 Q. And was that a precise measurement 16 that you were able to make? 17 A. Well, I know what the spacing in 18 the belt cord was. 19 Q. Right? 20 A. Okay. And then I blew the whole 21 thing up on the screen and measured the 22 bubble, measured the bubbles, relative to a 23 scale that was generated between the steel 24 cords. 25 Q. Was the video being shot straight</p>	284 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. They were the same size. 3 Q. Were the bubbles perfectly 4 spheroid or were they irregularly shaped? 5 A. Bubble origination is spherical. 6 After they -- 7 Q. Do you have a picture which shows 8 the bubbles? 9 MR. POLLAK: Mr. Kaplan, you keep 10 interrupting the witness. 11 MR. KAPLAN: I don't keep 12 interrupting him. 13 MR. POLLAK: You have, maybe the 14 last two or three answers or four 15 answers. 16 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. All right. 17 MR. POLLAK: So please let the 18 witness answer the questions before you 19 ask your next question. 20 A. No, I don't have the leak test 21 picture. 22 Q. Do you have any picture that would 23 show that these bubbles are all the same size? 24 A. I don't have the leak test 25 picture.</p>

285 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you have any measurements that 3 show that the different bubbles depicted in 4 this video were the same size? 5 A. Well, I measured the bubble 6 diameter at .15 inches. 7 Q. But you measured it from a 8 two-dimensional perspective, is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. Can a bubble be flat and another 11 bubble be higher up than the other bubble? 12 A. If you have a sphere and you 13 measure, no matter which way you measure it 14 from, you are measuring the diameter. 15 Q. Well, there are irregular-shaped 16 spheres, aren't there? Not all spheres are 17 perfect globes, perfect circles? 18 A. Not all -- spheres are perfect 19 circles. 20 Q. Are all of these bubbles perfect 21 spheres, is that what your testimony is? 22 A. When they originate at the hole, 23 they are a sphere. Once they merge into other 24 bubbles, they develop a membrane between them. 25 Q. Well, when they come out of the</p>	287 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 evidence that shows that these bubbles that 3 came out were perfect spheres? 4 MR. POLLAK: Asked and answered. 5 You can answer. 6 A. I would answer that the leak test 7 video speaks for itself. You know, we would 8 play that. 9 Q. Can an air bubble be compressed in 10 a way where it is not a perfect sphere, but it 11 has less height than width? 12 A. Anybody that has played with soap 13 bubbles, knows that you can compress a bubble, 14 but you can't change its volume. 15 Q. And if a bubble has irregular 16 measurements, isn't that possible for a bubble 17 to have irregular measurements? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 19 answer. 20 Q. In other words, it is not a 21 perfect sphere? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 23 answer. 24 A. Sure. 25 Q. So if a bubble is not a perfect</p>
286 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 hole, they come out at a certain size because 3 the hole limits what the size of the bubble 4 can be, correct? 5 A. No, the bubble -- 6 Q. When it is coming out of the hole? 7 MR. POLLAK: Mr. Kaplan, again, 8 the witness is obviously not finished 9 with his answer which I think is 10 obvious, will be obvious on this video 11 and you keep -- if he pauses in an 12 answer, you start asking your next 13 question, as opposed to letting the 14 witness answer. Can you please let the 15 witness completely finish your question 16 before you start your next question. 17 A. I -- 18 Q. Are you not finished? 19 A. I don't remember the question, no. 20 Q. What I'm asking you is, do you 21 have any evidence to show that the bubbles 22 that you mentioned were perfect spheres? 23 A. The leak test video speaks for 24 itself. 25 Q. I'm asking you do you have</p>	288 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 sphere, then how do you determine the radii to 3 use in calculating the volume? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. Again, you look at the video. The 7 bubbles are spherical. You make a measurement 8 of their diameter. You calculate their 9 volume. You know the rate that it is 10 producing bubbles, and then you estimate the 11 leak rate from that. 12 Q. What is the measurement that you 13 make of the bubble, what are the measurements 14 that you take? 15 A. The diameter. 16 Q. How do you get the diameter? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 18 answer. 19 A. Again, on the video. 20 Q. The diameter is the 21 two-dimensional measurement around the 22 circumference of the bubble? 23 A. Yeah, it's, again, a bubble in 24 free air. 25 Q. Is the bubble in free air or is</p>

289 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the bubble resting on a portion of the tire? 3 A. Well, it is certainly not trapped 4 between two surfaces that would cause it to 5 distort. 6 Q. Well, were these bubbles floating 7 in the air above the tire or were these 8 bubbles that had formed on the surface of the 9 tire piece that was being tested? 10 A. Well, you look at them as 11 basically, they come out and they are sitting, 12 before they get combined into the rest of the 13 bubble mass, they are bubbles. And you see 14 them forming one after another and you pick 15 them up after they've detached from the hole 16 but before they've been combined into the rest 17 of the mass. 18 Q. Where are the bubbles physically 19 resting at that point in time when you look at 20 them? 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 22 answer. 23 A. Typically resting on the tire 24 surface. 25 Q. Can you tell how far up the</p>	291 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And you measured five? 3 A. And I measured five for diameter, 4 yeah. 5 Q. And did you measure it from a 6 quick view of the video, or did you use a 7 frame from the video? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 9 answer. 10 A. I stopped the video in a couple of 11 places and measured bubbles. 12 Q. Now, when you said you stopped it 13 in a couple places, in terms of how much time 14 had elapsed, how much time had elapsed from 15 the first time you stopped the video to the 16 second time you stopped the video? 17 A. However long it takes for the 18 computer to stop the video. 19 Q. And were you able to tell whether 20 or not a new bubble had formed in between the 21 time after you first stopped it until the time 22 that you stopped it again? 23 A. Well, certainly the video changed, 24 so, yeah. It's not the same frame. 25 Q. Were you able to match it up frame</p>
290 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 circumference of the bubble is that rises off 3 of the tire surface? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 5 Q. In other words, is each bubble the 6 same perfect shape, or do some bubbles come up 7 higher or actually a little bit lower in terms 8 of where the surface of the tire is? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 10 answer. 11 A. The bubbles are the same size as 12 they are coming out, as they are being 13 produced. So the definition of a soap bubble 14 is one that has sufficient surface energy in 15 the film to encompass air of the same pressure 16 on the inside as it is on the outside. 17 Q. How many of these bubbles did you 18 measure? 19 A. Probably five or six. 20 Q. And based on -- and how many 21 bubbles did you see throughout the whole 22 video? 23 A. Well, you see a lot of bubbles 24 throughout the video. But the ones I counted, 25 you know, I counted basically 15 bubbles.</p>	292 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 by frame to see how many bubbles appeared 3 every second and which bubbles were new and 4 which bubbles had already been there for a 5 period of time? 6 A. I certainty didn't match it frame 7 by frame, no. I don't have the tools to do 8 that. 9 MR. POLLAK: It is 4 o'clock. 10 Let's take a break. 11 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now 13 going off the record approximately 3:52 14 p.m. This is the end of tape No. 4. 15 (Recess taken.) 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the 17 beginning of tape No. 5 in the Daws 18 deposition. We are going back on the 19 record approximately 4:05 p.m. 20 BY MR. KAPLAN 21 Q. Have you ever read any journals or 22 peer review literature which describes the 23 utilization of the air bubble measurement and 24 listening to tests that you've described as an 25 approved method to calculate the air flow from</p>

293 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the hole of the tire? 3 A. No, I have not. 4 Q. Does the volume of a gas change 5 with pressure and temperature? 6 A. Yes, it does. 7 Q. Do you know what the temperature 8 was when this test was performed by the NTSB? 9 A. No, I don't. 10 Q. Do you know what the pressure was? 11 A. No, I don't. 12 Q. When the bubbles appeared in the 13 video, the tread wasn't attached to the inner 14 liner portion, was it? 15 A. The tread is never attached to the 16 inner liner portion of the tire. 17 Q. In other words, the test was 18 conducted by forcing a certain amount of air 19 through a piece of a tire, correct? 20 A. Through the inner liner and all -- 21 the body ply and all four steel belts. 22 Q. Was there any tread attached to 23 that part of the tire? 24 A. No, sir. 25 Q. Are you an expert in the mechanics</p>	295 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Not necessarily, although my Ph.D. 3 dissertation was in vibrations and dynamics 4 and acoustics. 5 Q. Does that involve sounds made by 6 bubbles popping? 7 A. It involved sounds made by 8 everything. 9 Q. Including bubbles popping? 10 A. Including bubbles popping. 11 Q. And do different bubbles make 12 different sounds? 13 A. Certainly the frequency at which 14 bubbles pop make different sounds. 15 Q. What was the frequency that these 16 bubbles popped at? 17 A. I didn't measure it. 18 Q. Now, you used the term overload in 19 your report. You said there were signs of 20 overload. Was that simply a substitute for 21 the use of the term over-deflection, or did 22 you mean overload as opposed to 23 underinflation? 24 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 25 answer.</p>
294 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 of air transport through water? 3 A. I hold a Ph.D. in mechanical 4 engineering. I've studied in detail, air flow 5 and how to model it and so on, so. 6 Q. Do you consider yourself an expert 7 in the mechanisms of air transport through 8 water? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 10 answer. 11 A. I'm not -- you know, I'm a tire 12 expert. What I do is tires. 13 Q. Do you consider yourself an expert 14 in bubble formation? 15 A. No, I'm knowledgeable about that. 16 Q. Do you consider yourself an expert 17 in applied physics? 18 A. I do. 19 Q. Do you consider yourself an expert 20 in fluid dynamics? 21 A. I do. 22 Q. How about fluid mechanics? 23 A. I do. 24 Q. Do you consider yourself an expert 25 in the sounds that bubbles make when they pop?</p>	296 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Again, my opinion is that the tire 3 was overloaded rather than underinflated, 4 simply because the history of the tire, the 5 actual pressure measurements that were made 6 over the life of the tire, suggested that it 7 was routinely overinflated rather than 8 underinflated. 9 Q. When you say routinely 10 overinflated, can you show me the evidence 11 indicating that it was routinely overinflated? 12 A. Sure. If you plot all the -- if 13 you plot all the pressure measurements ever 14 made for this tire over its entire lifetime, 15 you get a plot that looks like this where the 16 horizontal line here, the dark horizontal line 17 is 120 psi. So there is far more time where 18 the tire is overinflated than it is -- you 19 know, there is a couple of occurrences of it 20 being less than 120 psi, but for the most of 21 the tire's life, it is running above 120 psi. 22 MR. POLLAK: Could you just 23 identify which document you are talking 24 about because the reporter -- 25 A. That's the first page in tab 8 of</p>

297 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the binder, the second volume of my deposition 3 binder. 4 MR. KAPLAN: Why don't we mark 5 that as Daws 3, please. 6 (Daws Exhibit 3, plot of tire 7 pressure measurements of subject 8 tire taken from tab 8, Volume II 9 Daws Engineering deposition binder 10 marked for identification, as of 11 this date.) 12 Q. Now, where did the data come from 13 that was used to create Daws 3? 14 A. The data came from the tire 15 records, tire inspection card, tire records 16 and so on. 17 Q. Did the air pressures that were 18 recorded on those documents indicate whether 19 the air pressure was taken cold or hot? 20 A. No, it did not. 21 Q. If a tire is inflated to 120 psi 22 and then operated with proper load, how high 23 can the air pressure become as a result of the 24 operation of the vehicle? 25 A. It can certainly go over 130 which</p>	299 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 supports your theory that the tire was 3 overloaded as opposed to underinflated? 4 A. Well, again I think this data 5 supports that the tire was properly inflated 6 through its lifetime. 7 MR. POLLAK: Referring to Daws 8 Exhibit 3. 9 A. Daws Exhibit 3. 10 Q. Properly inflated? 11 A. Yeah. Some of these probably were 12 taken warm which would suggest that the tire 13 was run at about 120 psi throughout its life, 14 and, you know, given the fact that it has got 15 edge cracks and polishing in it, the 16 alternative then is overloading. 17 Q. Is there any proof that the 18 Greyhound buses were actually being 19 overloaded? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 21 form. You can answer. 22 A. There is no weight data for the 23 buses, no. And we do have proof that the tire 24 was well maintained in terms of air pressure, 25 so.</p>
298 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 is why -- some of these are probably hot 3 measurements. 4 Q. So can you tell which one of those 5 measurements are hot as opposed to cold? 6 A. No, sir. 7 Q. If all of those measurements were 8 recorded as a result of a hot measurement, 9 would that still indicate to you that there 10 was chronic overinflation of those tires? 11 A. Oh, I didn't say -- 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 13 Objection, you can answer. 14 MS. BOYLE: Objection. 15 A. I didn't say the tire was 16 chronically overinflated. I just said that 17 the pressures that were measured were over 18 120, by and large, over the course of the life 19 of the tire, rather than under 120. 20 Q. But that would not be an unusual 21 finding if those measurements were taken when 22 the tire was hot. Correct? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. Do you have any other evidence 25 that you can point to which details or which</p>	300 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. But, again, the signs of 3 over-deflection are the same for 4 underinflation and overload, correct? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 6 answer. 7 A. The end result on the tire is very 8 similar, yes. 9 Q. Now, the NTSB received data from 10 Greyhound which is referred to in its report 11 indicating that the average load of Greyhound 12 buses in that time period was 35 passengers 13 per bus. Do you recall seeing that 14 information? 15 A. I believe so, yeah. 16 Q. And 35 passengers would be 17 significantly lower than the 55 passenger 18 capacity? 19 A. Provided this bus always saw the 20 average passenger count, sure. I haven't seen 21 any passenger count for this bus. 22 Q. Well, did Greyhound ever offer you 23 the historical passenger count for this 24 particular bus for the six-month period before 25 the subject accident?</p>

<p>301</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 3 answer. 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Did you ever ask them for that? 6 A. I asked them if there was any 7 weight data. 8 Q. Did you ever ask them to see if 9 there was a passenger count for the trips that 10 this bus was on during the six months before 11 the subject accident? 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. Would that have been useful to 14 determine whether or not the bus had been 15 overloaded on any previous occasions? 16 A. Without knowing what the 17 passengers weighed, probably not. 18 Q. How about if there was an 19 indication that the bus was run consistently 20 with 55 passengers, would that tend to help 21 support your theory of overload? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 23 answer. 24 A. Again, I think it makes it more 25 probable.</p>	<p>303</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No, that's not correct. 3 Q. Well, would anybody else other 4 than Greyhound have that data? 5 A. I don't think Greyhound has that 6 data, but they certainly don't have passenger 7 weight. 8 Q. Do you know if the Greyhound bus 9 in question was carrying freight during the 10 six months before the subject accident? 11 A. No, I don't. 12 Q. Were you aware that Greyhound 13 buses did carry freight in addition to 14 passengers and passenger luggage? 15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 16 answer. 17 A. Yes, sir. That had to do with 18 fitting of the 16,000 pound front axles to 19 these buses. 20 Q. Would that have been important to 21 your forensic analysis to determine whether or 22 not Greyhound was overloading its buses with 23 freight, in addition to passenger and luggage 24 weight? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p>
<p>302</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And, likewise, if the bus is only 3 being run with 35 or less passengers, that 4 would make your theory of overload less 5 probable. Is that correct? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 7 A. Well, it certainly reduces the 8 probability, yeah. 9 Q. And is that possibly the reason 10 why Greyhound never offered you the 11 information about its passenger data for this 12 particular bus? 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 14 of the question. You can answer. 15 A. Again, the number of passengers is 16 not the same thing as the number of passengers 17 and the weight of each passenger and the 18 seating location of each passenger. 19 Q. Right, but we just established it 20 would make a difference to your theory, 21 whether or not the bus is regularly loaded 22 with 55 passengers as opposed to 25 23 passengers, isn't that correct? 24 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 25 answer.</p>	<p>304</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answer. 3 A. Certainly any data I could have 4 gotten, would have been useful. 5 Q. Did you ever ask Greyhound for 6 that data? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did Greyhound ever offer you that 9 data? 10 A. No, sir. 11 Q. Can we assume by the fact that 12 Greyhound didn't offer you that data, that it 13 would not have been helpful to your 14 calculations in terms of trying to put the 15 blame on somebody else? 16 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 17 form. You can answer. 18 A. Again, I don't know how to even 19 answer that question. You know, it is very 20 unlikely that the weight of any cargo was 21 tracked or the weight of any passengers. 22 Q. How would a common carrier like 23 Greyhound get paid for the freight that it 24 carried? 25 A. I have no idea.</p>

<p>305</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you think it might be done by 3 how much freight in terms of how much it 4 weighed? 5 A. Might do it by volume. 6 Q. Well, weight would have to get 7 involved with the fuel calculations and 8 everything else. In other words, the more 9 freight you have, might cause more expense to 10 Greyhound to operate their buses. Isn't that 11 correct? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 13 You can answer. 14 A. Again, I have no idea. No idea 15 how they got paid for freight. 16 Q. Now, if a tire, if the subject 17 tire had had a leak of a longer duration than 18 one day, the tire would have sustained 19 forensic evidence of underinflation, wouldn't 20 it have? 21 A. Not for -- again, it would take 22 thousands of miles, maybe thousands and 23 thousands of miles. 24 Q. Well, you don't know how many 25 miles the bus was run from the 18th of August</p>	<p>307</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 3 form. You can answer. 4 A. Well, you have, again, you have 5 this cracking and polishing, you know, which 6 didn't come from underinflation and, you know, 7 again, it takes a long period of time to 8 develop it. So, you know, we know that early, 9 from Exhibit 3, you know, in this time period 10 in July and so on, the tire's properly 11 inflated. So there is no way for it to be 12 underinflated in that time period and get, you 13 know, and get the cracking to begin to 14 develop. 15 Q. Well, we know there is a 5,000 16 mile operational period over the course of ten 17 days where the air pressure was not checked on 18 this tire, correct? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 20 of the question. You can answer. 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. So that's separate and apart from 23 the prior inflation history that you are 24 talking about, correct? 25 A. That's correct.</p>
<p>306</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 until the 28th of August, do you? 3 A. It looks like about a thousand 4 miles. I'm sorry, it looks -- 18th of August. 5 It looks like about 5,000 miles, the 18th of 6 August to the 28th of August at the accident 7 scene. 8 Q. And based on all your testimony 9 that certainly would be enough mileage for the 10 polishing to have materialized. Isn't that 11 true? 12 A. I don't, I don't think that is 13 enough mileage to have created the polishing 14 that we've seen in there. I mean, it could 15 certainly be started in that amount of time. 16 Q. How about the other -- 17 A. Again, you have to, you have to, 18 if you are going to go with a low leak rate 19 theory, you figure the first number of -- the 20 thing has to get punctured and then it has to 21 leak down to a point where the tire starts to 22 crack and then the crack has to progress and 23 then it can start to polish. So, you know. 24 Q. Well, what support is there for 25 the overload theory?</p>	<p>308</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Now, one way to have determined 3 conclusively whether or not it was a slow air 4 leak in this tire, is if air pressure checks 5 had been done in the time period between the 6 18th and the 28th, isn't that correct? 7 A. Certainly had an air pressure 8 check been done somewhere in the middle there, 9 we would have better understanding of what 10 actually happened, yeah. 11 Q. So if Mr. Clark had done an air 12 pressure check on August 22nd that would have 13 given us a better understanding, isn't that 14 correct? 15 A. Well, it would have given us 16 another data point. 17 Q. And are you aware that this 18 Greyhound bus was at several Greyhound service 19 lane service areas during the period from 20 August 23rd through August 27th, 2006? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. And are you aware that the 23 Greyhound personnel who man those stations and 24 have been deposed in this case, have claimed 25 that they did not use air pressure gauges to</p>

309 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 check the air pressure of the tires on 3 Greyhound buses? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 5 of the question. 6 A. I don't recall reviewing any of 7 their -- any service lane personnel testimony. 8 Q. Do you think it's good practice or 9 bad practice for a Greyhound person to have a 10 bus come through a service lane service area 11 in between August 22nd and August 28th, 2006 12 and not check the air pressure? 13 MS. BOYLE: Note my objection. 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 15 answer. 16 A. I don't have any opinions on 17 Greyhound's operation, operational procedures. 18 And I just wasn't asked to look at it. I 19 don't have any opinions. 20 Q. How about if a Greyhound person 21 who manned the service lane in between August 22 22nd and August 28th, 2006 failed to record 23 what, if any, air pressures that person may 24 have taken? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p>	311 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. So can you say to a degree of 3 engineering certainty, whether or not the 4 puncture in and of itself would have led to 5 the cause of this tire's failure? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 7 answer. 8 A. Again, I think the -- that all 9 depends on, you know, the sequence of events. 10 If this puncture in and of itself would not 11 have caused the tire to fail at the point that 12 it did. 13 Q. And you can't say whether or not 14 it would have made it to a garage facility 15 before the time it had failed? 16 A. Well, in all likelihood, had it 17 been, had the tire not had this preexisting 18 crack, it probably would have made it to 19 Montreal. What was that, another two hours up 20 the road? So it probably would have made it 21 to Montreal. 22 Now, whether, you know, it was 23 noticed as being low at the time it got ready 24 to leave Montreal, I don't know. 25 Q. How about the over-deflection that</p>
310 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 form. 3 MS. BOYLE: Note my objection. 4 A. Again, I don't have any opinions 5 on Greyhound's procedures. 6 Q. Would the puncture alone that was 7 involved in this case have led to the failure 8 of this tire without any evidence of over, or 9 additional over-deflection? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 11 form. You can answer it. 12 A. I think the puncture, there is two 13 possible outcomes. One, the puncture without 14 this internal breakdown going on in the tire, 15 the tire would have gone further down the 16 road. 17 Now, the question is would it, you 18 know, if the leak rate was as low as 19 Goodyear's experts would suggest, the tire 20 would probably have worn out, been removed 21 because it was under 6/32 of an inch before 22 anything bad happened. If it was, you know, 23 the leak rate I got, the tire would have 24 either failed or, you know, I don't know 25 whether it would have made Montreal or not.</p>	312 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 existed, would that have, in and of itself, 3 caused the tire to fail? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. I'm sorry? 7 Q. The over-deflected condition that 8 you claim preexisted the occurrence of the 9 puncture -- 10 A. Oh, okay. 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 12 Q. -- would that have, in and of 13 itself, caused the tire to fail? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 15 answer. 16 A. I think in all likelihood the tire 17 would have been removed from service before it 18 failed based on the level of polishing and so 19 on that was in this tire at the time of its 20 failure. 21 Q. So it would not have -- 22 A. It would not have -- it certainly 23 would not have failed on this trip. 24 Q. Now, in your report you made 25 references to body armor --</p>

313 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. -- as being a design comparison 4 for steel-belted tires, is that correct? 5 A. No, sir. I related -- used 6 comparison to body armor simply to say that in 7 a field that specializes in penetration, 8 aerial density is the prime -- is the primary 9 variable. So the variable that I looked at in 10 these tires is aerial density. 11 Q. Do you think that body armor 12 design should be definitive when it comes to 13 theories regarding steel-belt radial design? 14 A. Obviously not. Steel-belt radial 15 design is an art and science all to its own. 16 However, the aerial density is a prime 17 variable in terms of the penetrability of any 18 type of composite structure. 19 Q. And as you said it is a trade-off, 20 whether you add more steel or take less steel 21 away, or take steel away, depends on the use 22 that a tire is going to be put to and what the 23 design parameters are supposed to be. Isn't 24 that correct? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p>	315 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Tires are designed to provide a 5 comfortable ride? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. Body armor is not? 8 A. I don't think anybody rides on 9 body armor. 10 Q. Well, different types of impacts 11 and road hazards are confronted by tires than 12 by body armor, correct? 13 A. Tires must be designed to 14 accommodate a certain amount of road hazard to 15 envelope optimum use on the pavement and so 16 on. 17 Q. Tires are not designed to stop 18 bullets, are they? 19 A. No, they are not designed to stop 20 bullets. 21 Q. Are they designed to be 22 impenetrable to knife attacks? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 24 form. 25 A. Again, on the sidewall, no. On</p>
314 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answer. 3 A. All tire design is a series of 4 compromises. 5 Q. Now, it is interesting that you 6 used the analogy with body armor because you 7 have been quoted on numerous occasions as 8 saying that tires are not bulletproof, is that 9 correct? 10 A. I don't know of a tire that will 11 stop a bullet. 12 Q. Obviously, body armor is not 13 designed to do the same thing as a tire is 14 designed to do, correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. It is not designed to support 17 thousands of pounds of load, correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It is not designed to support 20 loads for hundreds of thousands of miles, is 21 that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. It is not designed to support 24 loads over the course of millions of 25 revolutions of a wheel on a road, isn't that</p>	316 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the tread surface, you betcha. I don't know 3 of anybody who can stab through a tire on the 4 tread. 5 Q. With an ice pick? 6 A. You're welcome to go out in a 7 parking lot and try it. 8 Q. Have you ever done it? 9 A. Yeah, I've tried it. 10 Q. Have you seen any studies 11 regarding stabbing tires with ice picks? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Have you seen any articles, 14 studies, reports or journals which suggest 15 beneficial comparisons between body armor 16 design and tire design? 17 A. Again, to me the -- 18 Q. Wait, you didn't answer. You were 19 shaking your head. 20 MR. POLLAK: And, Mr. Kaplan, you 21 interrupted his answer. 22 MR. KAPLAN: No, but he was 23 shaking his head. I think you were 24 shaking your head no and it doesn't get 25 picked up on the transcript.</p>

<p>317</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: What gets picked up 3 is that you clearly, unequivocally, 4 without a doubt stopped him midstream of 5 his answer. 6 Dr. Daws, please finish your 7 answer at the point where Mr. Kaplan 8 interrupted you and tried to ask another 9 question. 10 MR. KAPLAN: Why don't you repeat 11 the question. Can you read it back to 12 him please. 13 (Record read.) 14 A. Again, the variable of importance 15 is aerial density. The area of industry that 16 has the most interest in penetration 17 resistance is armor. 18 Q. Maybe you didn't hear my question. 19 Let me read it to you again and maybe you can 20 answer the question that I asked. 21 Do you know of any articles, 22 studies, reports or journals which suggest 23 beneficial comparisons between body armor 24 design and tire design? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. Asked and</p>	<p>319</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 compromises associated with the goals and 3 objectives of the design. 4 Q. In other words, it is assumed that 5 stab-proof vests are going to be fully 6 penetrated, it is just that you want to limit 7 how far the penetrating object goes? 8 A. And, again, the penetrating 9 object, the amount of penetration depends on 10 the aerial density of the design. 11 Q. I'm not sure if you answered my 12 question. 13 MR. KAPLAN: Could you reread the 14 question that I asked the witness. 15 (Record read.) 16 A. Well, I think that is a gross 17 simplification when you say it assumes they 18 are going to be penetrated. Obviously, the 19 goal, the design goal is to stop penetration. 20 Q. Is that what stab-proof vests do: 21 do they stop penetration, or do they limit the 22 amount of penetration? 23 A. Well, they certainly -- in 24 general, they limit the amount of penetration. 25 Obviously, that depends on the amount of</p>
<p>318</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answered. Objection to the form. You 3 can answer. 4 A. No. 5 Q. Are there different design 6 considerations between types of body armor 7 such as bulletproof vests and stab-proof 8 vests? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And a bulletproof vest is designed 11 like a net or a tether line, would that be a 12 fair characterization? 13 A. They are designed with a certain 14 amount of give, whereas stab armor is not. 15 Q. In other words, a bulletproof vest 16 wants to absorb inertial energy, but on the 17 other hand, it is not designed to stop a 18 pointed object from penetrating it. Is that 19 correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. Likewise, a stab-proof vest isn't 22 going to give you total protection regarding 23 penetration. Isn't that correct? 24 A. That's correct. It is the same 25 kind of a deal as in tire design. There are</p>	<p>320</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 energy and the shape of the penetrating 3 object. 4 Q. Now, we've been discussing the 5 earlier, the maintenance desk reports. One of 6 the calculations you made when determining or 7 when calculating your theories about the 8 frequency of punctures was that the mileage of 9 the G-409 had a steady rate over its life 10 history. In other words from 2001 through 11 2008 at 140,000 miles? Don't you say that in 12 your report, sir? 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 14 answer. 15 A. As far as I know, that's the 16 design goal of the tire. 17 Q. Is that what happened in the real 18 world? Was the 140,000 mile bogey achieved 19 from 2001 through 2004? 20 A. I don't recall. 21 Q. In fact, didn't the mileage of the 22 G-409 tire increase considerably after the C-3 23 revision went into effect? 24 A. If it did, I don't have any data 25 that looks like that.</p>

321 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. I want to show you a couple of 3 Greyhound documents that were produced. Why 4 don't we mark one as Exhibit 4 and another as 5 Exhibit 5. Exhibit 4 is marked JD 0012965 and 6 Exhibit 5 is JD 0012949. Exhibit 4 is 7 entitled 315/80R22.5 G-409 MBA Tire 8 Performance. The other document is entitled 9 315/80R22.5 G-409 MBA Tire Performance. 10 (Daws Exhibit 4, document 11 entitled 315/80R22.5 G-409 MBA Tire 12 Performance bearing No. JD 0012965 13 marked for identification, as of 14 this date.) 15 (Daws Exhibit 5, document 16 entitled 315/80R22.5 G-409 MBA Tire 17 Performance bearing No. JD 0012949 18 marked for identification, as of 19 this date.) 20 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 21 objection to the characterization of 22 these documents as Greyhound documents. 23 MR. KAPLAN: Well, I said they 24 were produced by Greyhound. 25 MR. POLLAK: So is there a</p>	323 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 inconsistent? 3 A. Well, the data on Exhibit 5 4 basically looks like about a year-and-a-half 5 from January '03 to July '04. Okay. So, this 6 data doesn't even begin to cover C-3. 7 MR. POLLAK: Referring to which 8 exhibit? 9 A. Exhibit 5. Q. Right. A. It shows that the trend is going up and then it goes down and then it goes up again. Q. How about the other exhibit? A. This exhibit -- MR. POLLAK: Referring to Exhibit 4. A. 4, basically shows, it looks like either a monthly snapshot for August or the average for the year preceding August. It is hard to tell which. And there is a general increase from '01 all the way through '06. Q. Now, the information period that those two documents overlap, is the data consistent? In other words, they do</p>
322 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 question? 3 MR. KAPLAN: Yes. 4 Q. Can you make out what those charts 5 show? 6 A. This looks like a Goodyear 7 document which Goodyear didn't produce, put 8 out by Mileage Sales and Service. Exhibit 4 9 is mileage versus -- oh, this is mileage. I 10 guess that would be average mileage, but I 11 don't know, it doesn't say. And then you have 12 I guess August '01, August '02 through May 13 '06. Okay. 14 Q. And how about the second document? 15 A. And the second -- I'm sorry, what? 16 Q. How about the second document? 17 A. The second document shows G-409 18 MBA tire performance, and, let's see, it shows 19 mileage on the vertical access and it shows, 20 it looks like monthly mileage. So it shows, 21 yeah, mileage by month, okay. 22 Q. Do the both charts show in effect 23 the same data, or consistent data? 24 A. No, sir, they don't. 25 Q. In which ways are they</p>	324 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 cross-reference at least to some extent the 3 same period of time, do they not? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. Yeah, but they are not similar -- 7 let's see. August '03 is about a hundred 8 thousand -- it's really hard to say. I don't 9 know whether this is a year following or a 10 year -- you know, a year following. 11 MR. POLLAK: You have to refer to 12 document numbers. 13 A. Okay. I don't know whether 14 Exhibit 4 is a year following or a year 15 preceding as an average, or whether it is -- 16 it clearly isn't the same as this. 17 MR. POLLAK: Which number? 18 A. Exhibit 5. It looks like they 19 might be in the same ballpark at least one 20 spot there, but it's hard to say. 21 Q. When you have here August of 2003 22 on Exhibit 4, it indicates approximately 23 104,000 miles. Is that correct? 24 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 25 objection to -- object to the form.</p>

325 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Yes. No, wait a minute -- August 3 '03? 4 Q. Right. 5 A. Yeah, about 104 somewhere around 6 in there. 7 Q. Now, do you see this bar over here 8 after July '03, it would be August, September, 9 October, November, December, January? 10 A. Yeah. 11 Q. Would this bar reflecting August 12 of '03, also indicate the same amount of 13 miles? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 15 of the question. 16 A. It may. It's hard to say. I 17 mean, the scale is 5,000 miles so -- whether 18 it is 104,000 or not, I don't know. But like 19 I said, they are similar at that point. 20 However, this one peaks and then goes down 21 again. 22 MR. POLLAK: Referring to which 23 exhibit. 24 A. Exhibit 5 peaks and then goes 25 down.</p>	327 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And it is 315/80R22.5 G-409 MBA 3 tire performance chart from the mileage sale 4 and service group. Do see that? 5 A. I do. 6 Q. So do you think it is too much of 7 a stretch to assume that what this is saying 8 is that the mileage of the G-409 tires in 9 August of '03 was slightly under 105,000 and 10 that in May of '06 it was slightly over 11 145,000 miles? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 13 of the question. You can answer. 14 A. That may be an interpretation I 15 craft, I don't know. 16 Q. Do you interpret it any other way? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. Again, I, I don't know where the 20 data is coming from. You know, it says it is 21 a Goodyear confidential document. I've never 22 seen it before, so. 23 Q. I'm representing to you this was 24 produced to Goodyear by Greyhound. So it is a 25 document that Greyhound had in its possession.</p>
326 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 And this one shows -- 3 MR. POLLAK: You have to say which 4 numbers. 5 A. And Exhibit 5 shows it 6 concentrating up. 7 MR. POLLAK: The witness was 8 pointing to Exhibit 4 when he just said 9 Exhibit 5. 10 Q. It is tougher to figure out this 11 than to calculate bubbles in a video, huh? 12 A. Well, I would need some time to 13 really digest. I mean, you are hitting me 14 cold with this and asking me to determine 15 what's exactly intended by the graph. 16 Q. Well, let me help you out. This 17 shows, it says tire performance and then it 18 has 145,000 down to 65,000. In August of '03 19 it indicates less than a 105,000, and in May 20 of '06 it indicates close to 145,000. Isn't 21 that correct? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 23 You can answer. 24 A. That's what Exhibit 4 shows, or 25 purports to show.</p>	328 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Did Greyhound ever show you this 3 document? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 5 of the question. 6 A. Let's see. Well, that would have 7 been in this production set and I said in 8 brief review of those 15 CDs, I didn't see a 9 whole lot different than what I already seen, 10 so I obviously didn't look at it even though 11 it was probably in that set. 12 Q. If the C-3 G-409 tire was 13 sustaining punctures at a high rate, would 14 that be consistent with it operating for on 15 the average 145,000 miles as opposed to 16 105,000 miles? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 18 answer. 19 A. The puncture rate calculation that 20 I did is based on -- is normalized for 21 mileage. 22 Q. Well, your puncture rate 23 calculation, if I'm correct, assumes a 24 constant of 140,000 miles? 25 A. No, sir, it doesn't. That has</p>

329 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 absolutely nothing to do with anything. 3 Q. Could you show me -- 4 A. The bus -- 5 Q. I'm sorry. 6 A. The bus mileage comes from the 7 actual mileage recorded from Greyhound. That 8 is the number of bus miles per month. Okay? 9 That doesn't have anything to do with the 10 tires. 11 It just says the only thing I used 12 the mileage for, the tire mileage for is to 13 determine how many months it would take to 14 wear out all the tires on the buses to 15 determine how long it would take from the time 16 a manufacturing change or a design change was 17 made, to the time that the fleet would be 18 equipped with those tires. That's it. 19 Q. But you used a completely 20 different calculation. You used the total 21 number of bus miles, not even taking into 22 consideration the average number of miles each 23 individual tire was able to last in the fleet. 24 Is that correct? 25 A. I normalized the number of</p>	331 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 steer tires last until you are telling me they 3 fall apart before they wear out. 4 Q. Well, what I'm asking you is, 5 doesn't it appear clear that the G-409 tire 6 was lasting for considerably more miles after 7 the C-3 revision was made? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 9 A. And my answer is so what? 10 Q. Does "so what" mean yes? 11 A. My answer is so what. It doesn't 12 have anything to do with puncture resistance. 13 Q. Do you think that a tire that is 14 on the road for 140,000 miles as opposed to 15 90,000 miles, has a greater chance of 16 encountering road hazards such as screws and 17 nails and other sharp objects? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 19 form. You can answer. 20 A. That's not the issue here. 21 Q. That's not the answer to the 22 question? 23 A. It is not the issue. It is simply 24 not the issue. 25 What you are telling me is that</p>
330 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 punctures, flats, by the number of miles. 3 Q. Number of bus miles? 4 A. Well, steer axle miles. Steer 5 axle tire miles. 6 Q. Did you factor at all into your 7 calculations, the mileage performance on 8 average for the individual tires themselves? 9 A. No. Why would you do that? 10 Q. Well, do you think it is 11 insignificant that the G-409 tire was 12 experiencing close to a 50 percent better 13 mileage record than it did in August of '03? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 15 form. You can answer. 16 A. Well, if you look at the data, I 17 mean, in August '05, let's see, let me see 18 this one here, Exhibit 5, design change was in 19 the middle of '04, so we are starting to see 20 this trend. 21 MR. POLLAK: Refer to which 22 exhibit, John, please. 23 A. Exhibit 5. So, again, when I look 24 at the number of flats per steer axle mile, 25 what difference does it make how long the</p>	332 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 somehow or another tires magically avoid a 3 puncture. You are driving along, somewhere on 4 the road there is a puncture. What does it 5 matter whether the tire has 90,000 miles and 6 is going to wear out at 140, or it has 130,000 7 miles and is going to wear out at -- well, 8 let's say, what does it matter whether the 9 tire has 90,000 miles and is going to wear out 10 at 105,000 miles, versus 90,000 miles and is 11 going to wear out at 130. It doesn't make any 12 difference. 13 Q. Are you telling me that you 14 wouldn't expect a tire that you considered to 15 have a defect in terms of its puncturability 16 to have -- strike that. 17 Are you telling me that a tire 18 with an increased puncture risk is going to 19 last 50 percent longer than a tire without a 20 puncture risk? 21 A. That's apples and oranges. They 22 have no relation to one another. None. None. 23 Q. When you say they have no 24 relation, if a tire is more apt to be 25 punctured, is it going to have more</p>

<p>333</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 opportunities to be punctured in 90,000 miles 3 than a tire that, in your opinion, is less or 4 more puncture proof? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 6 answer. 7 Q. In other words, if I ran the C-3 8 tire next to the C-2 tire, would you expect 9 the C-3 tire to last the same amount of 10 mileage as the C-2 tire? 11 A. Well, clearly the data suggest 12 that the C-3 tires wear longer. 13 Q. And wouldn't the average be taken 14 down if more tires were removed from service 15 because of punctures at lower mileages? 16 A. It all depends on whether that is 17 wear-out data or whether it is the whole 18 population data. 19 Q. Does it say anywhere on this that 20 this is wear-out data? 21 A. No, it doesn't say that it isn't. 22 Q. So you can't tell one way or the 23 other? 24 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 25 form.</p>	<p>335</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 without failing, longer? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 4 A. That's not what that data says. 5 Q. How do you know? 6 A. Well, let -- 7 Q. Didn't you just say you can't tell 8 if it is wear-out data or the life of the 9 tire? 10 A. Sure. But my analysis is, the 11 number of flats per million steer axle tires. 12 I don't care how many tires you grind through 13 or don't grind through. If I travel the same 14 number of steer tire miles and I have four 15 times as many flats, then that doesn't have 16 anything to do with how long the tires last. 17 It's a question -- it's a question 18 of, you know, how many flats per steer tire, 19 per million steer tire miles. 20 Q. Okay, flats. We're not talking 21 about punctures. 22 A. Well, punctures. 23 Q. Well, where does it say punctures, 24 again, going back to the maintenance desk 25 reports, where does it use the term puncture?</p>
<p>334</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. That's right. 3 Q. If the C-3 G-409 tire lasted 4 longer in service than the C-2 G-409 tire, 5 wouldn't that cut against your theory of there 6 being a puncture-resistant problem with the 7 C-3 tire? 8 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 9 answer. 10 A. Absolutely not. It has absolutely 11 nothing to do with it. 12 Q. Again, if I put a C-3 tire on the 13 left front of a bus and a C-2 tire on the 14 right front of the bus and ran them, would you 15 expect the C-2 tire to last longer or the C-3 16 tire to last longer? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 18 answer. 19 A. You are trying to compare 20 wear-out, wear of tread, to puncture 21 resistance. 22 Q. Who says I'm doing that? 23 A. You said last longer. That's what 24 you are saying. 25 Q. Well, would it stay on the road</p>	<p>336</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 3 answer. 4 A. Again, the most likely category 5 for a flat is a puncture. 6 Q. When did you tell Greyhound that 7 you thought that the G-409 tire was defective? 8 A. I have no idea. 9 Q. Are you aware that Greyhound 10 continued to use the G-409 tire even in the 11 steer positions, well into 2010? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You may 13 answer. 14 A. In the steer axle positions? 15 Q. Yes. 16 A. My understanding is it was removed 17 from service in -- or they started removing it 18 from service on steer axles in 2009 sometime. 19 Q. Well, the reason why G-409 tires 20 started to be removed was because the contract 21 with Goodyear for leasing those tires was 22 winding down, isn't that correct? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection, but you 24 can answer. 25 A. Again, I don't have any idea about</p>

<p>341</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. I did.</p> <p>3 Q. And you in almost all of those</p> <p>4 instances, never have found fault with the</p> <p>5 Firestone Wilderness or ATX tires, did you?</p> <p>6 A. In the vast majority of cases,</p> <p>7 that's correct.</p> <p>8 Q. You didn't find ever that there</p> <p>9 was a design defect in those tires, did you?</p> <p>10 A. I never had design information for</p> <p>11 the tires.</p> <p>12 Q. Well, isn't it true that you said</p> <p>13 that one of the reasons why you couldn't</p> <p>14 conclude that there was a design defect in</p> <p>15 those tires, because you would expect a huge</p> <p>16 accident rate coming back in the field data?</p> <p>17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>18 answer.</p> <p>19 A. I don't think I said huge. I may</p> <p>20 have used the word huge, but you got to</p> <p>21 remember you had 20 million tires produced.</p> <p>22 So if you had a 1 percent failure rate, you</p> <p>23 would have tens of thousands of tires failing,</p> <p>24 and they had a, you know, 15 percent or 1-1/2</p> <p>25 rate out of Decatur, you know, you would</p>	<p>343</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 sufficient enough and that the tire's</p> <p>3 puncture-resistance was reduced as result?</p> <p>4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>5 form.</p> <p>6 A. No, sir.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Sorry.</p> <p>8 (Discussion off the written</p> <p>9 record.)</p> <p>10 Q. Are you familiar with the radial</p> <p>11 medium truck tires that are manufactured by</p> <p>12 Firestone or Bridgestone or Continental or</p> <p>13 Yokohama or Kumho Tire?</p> <p>14 A. Other than having done forensic</p> <p>15 examination of some Yokohama tires and some</p> <p>16 Firestone tires, no.</p> <p>17 Q. Can you say whether or not any of</p> <p>18 those companies radial medium truck tires</p> <p>19 would have prevented or caused the puncture in</p> <p>20 the instant case to have not occurred?</p> <p>21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p> <p>22 form. You can answer.</p> <p>23 A. No, I can't.</p> <p>24 Q. What is the maintenance response</p> <p>25 desk at Greyhound?</p>
<p>342</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 expect, you know, considerably more than that.</p> <p>3 Again, NHTSA never figured out</p> <p>4 exactly what was driving the whole failure</p> <p>5 issue. There were four issues or four things</p> <p>6 that they figured that contributed perhaps,</p> <p>7 you know, but the wedge gauge was the one that</p> <p>8 they nailed.</p> <p>9 And essentially the fact is that,</p> <p>10 you know, they recalled the entire population</p> <p>11 of radial ATX, ATX 2 and Wilderness AT on the</p> <p>12 basis of the wedge gauge and only a handful of</p> <p>13 those tires, relatively speaking, failed. All</p> <p>14 of them were considered defective because of</p> <p>15 the design change Firestone made.</p> <p>16 Q. Were any G-409 tires recalled or</p> <p>17 have they ever been recalled as far as you</p> <p>18 know?</p> <p>19 A. No, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Do manufacturers warrant against</p> <p>21 nails or screws puncturing their tires?</p> <p>22 A. No, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Have you ever opined that any</p> <p>24 other tire had a defect in its steel-belt</p> <p>25 package because the amount of steel was not</p>	<p>344</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. I believe it is where drivers call</p> <p>3 in for service.</p> <p>4 Q. They call in for service or they</p> <p>5 call in to report that their bus has been</p> <p>6 disabled?</p> <p>7 A. Well, that's what I mean by</p> <p>8 service. The bus had been disabled, because</p> <p>9 if the bus needs service when it reaches a</p> <p>10 depot, that doesn't get a maintenance response</p> <p>11 desk call.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you know whether or not all the</p> <p>13 incidents reported involve buses that have</p> <p>14 breakdowns or can't operate?</p> <p>15 A. No, I don't.</p> <p>16 Q. And do you know what type of</p> <p>17 information is provided by the drivers to the</p> <p>18 maintenance response desk?</p> <p>19 A. No, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you know who provides it: does</p> <p>21 the driver provide it or someone else?</p> <p>22 A. No, sir, I don't.</p> <p>23 Q. Is there a desk script which the</p> <p>24 responder or the operator who receives the</p> <p>25 call, is supposed to follow for purpose of</p>

<p>345</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 obtaining information relating to the reported 3 incident? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. No, I don't know that. 7 Q. So if there was a script, 8 obviously you have never seen a copy of it? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. Does the responder ask what caused 11 the incident? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 13 form. You can answer. 14 A. I don't know. 15 Q. Is the person who is reporting the 16 incident, required to tell exactly what the 17 cause of the incident was? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 19 answer. 20 A. I have no idea. 21 Q. In fact, would it be fair to say 22 that if a driver reported that he had a flat 23 tire, he might not have any idea as to whether 24 or not a nail or a screw or road hazard or 25 some completely different event caused the</p>	<p>347</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 likely cause of a flat is a puncture. And 3 leaks, again, the most likely cause of a leak 4 is a puncture. 5 Q. Now, I believe you said you 6 haven't read the deposition testimony of Mr. 7 Richard James of Greyhound? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. And I explained to you that he was 10 the national manager, he has been in Greyhound 11 for 19 years. Are you aware that he was asked 12 whether or not he had seen printouts of 13 reports from the maintenance response desk and 14 that he said that he had? 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. Now, are you aware that he was 17 asked: 18 "Now, if you were to look at a 19 maintenance response desk report that 20 indicated a flat tire, would that tell 21 you how that tire was caused to be 22 flat?" 23 And his answer was: "No, sir, it 24 would not." 25 MR. POLLAK: Is there a question?</p>
<p>346</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 tire to become flat. Isn't that correct? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 4 answer. 5 A. Well, that's not completely true. 6 I think that's a colorful exaggeration at 7 best. 8 Let's just look at -- if you look 9 at causes, causations on front tire failures, 10 the typical, or the ones that are reported are 11 flats, tires blown, leaks, tires worn, it's 12 bad, it's cut which would cover your road 13 hazard, it's cupped, it's split, again a road 14 hazard or something similar, it has a bulge in 15 it, again, the effect of a road hazard, or a 16 tread throw, that is, a delamination. So 17 there is at least at the level of the data in 18 those files, there are, tires are, you know, 19 tire failures are broken up into many 20 different categories. 21 Q. How about punctures, do we see 22 punctures mentioned? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. Again, flats are clearly, the most</p>	<p>348</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you have any reason to disagree 3 with Mr. James' testimony? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 5 form. 6 A. Well, again, the most likely 7 candidate for a flat tire is a puncture. 8 Q. Do you think you would be more 9 familiar with how Greyhound's maintenance 10 response desk works, or Mr. James? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 12 answer. 13 A. Again, I don't know how the 14 maintenance response desk works. 15 Q. Do you think you would be more 16 familiar with how drivers report incidents 17 into the maintenance response desk, or Mr. 18 James? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 20 answer. 21 A. I don't have any idea how the 22 maintenance response desk works. 23 Q. Do you think you would be more 24 familiar with how to interpret this 25 information that comes in through the</p>

349 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 maintenance response desk or Mr. James? 3 A. Well, as a tire expert, I'm 4 certainly more capable of evaluating what 5 categories are being put down than Mr. James 6 is perhaps. 7 Q. Well, Mr. James was asked also: 8 "If a report from the maintenance 9 response desk indicated that a tire was 10 flat, would that indicate to you that a 11 tire had been punctured?" 12 And his answer was: "No, sir." 13 MR. POLLAK: Is that a statement 14 or question, Mr. Kaplan? 15 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt 16 Mr. James' veracity when he stated "no, sir"?" 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 18 form. You can answer. 19 A. That may be what he believes. 20 Q. Well, who would have more 21 familiarity with the reports that go into the 22 maintenance report desk: Mr. James who has 23 worked at Greyhound for 19 years, or you? 24 A. Well -- 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p>	351 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Mr. James said that misalignment 3 can cause a tire to become flat. 4 A. No, sir. 5 MR. POLLAK: Is there a question? 6 Q. Do you agree or disagree with 7 that. 8 MR. POLLAK: John, let him first 9 ask the question before you answer. I'm 10 not sure what you are asking. Just note 11 my objection that there was no question. 12 Q. Do you agree or disagree with Mr. 13 James? 14 A. I absolutely disagree that 15 misalignment can cause a tire to become flat. 16 Q. Do you think Mr. James might have 17 more experience than you regarding how 18 Greyhound buses and their tires fail based on 19 his 19 years of experience working in a 20 maintenance capacity? 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 22 answer. 23 A. I have never seen a tire go flat 24 due to misalignment, unless it literally got 25 so bad that it wore completely through all the</p>
350 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answer. 3 A. I have far more tire experience 4 than Mr. James would think about. 5 Q. Well, Mr. James said that a broken 6 torsion bar can cause a tire to become flat. 7 Is that listed in the category that you gave? 8 A. That probably comes into the 9 heading of blown. 10 Q. It is not exactly the same though, 11 is it? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 13 form. You can answer. 14 A. No, it is not. 15 Q. Mr. James indicated that a broken 16 shock on a bus can cause a tire to become 17 flat. 18 MR. POLLAK: Is there question? 19 Q. Do you agree or disagree with 20 that? 21 A. I think there is some cases, you 22 can always find some case where that, some 23 mechanical failure on the bus can give rise to 24 the tire -- can give rise to road hazard 25 damage on the tire.</p>	352 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 steel belts. 3 Q. Do you think you know more about 4 tire failures on Greyhound buses than Mr. 5 James does? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 7 answer. 8 A. I know more about tire failures 9 than Mr. James does. 10 Q. Do you agree with Mr. James that 11 sidewall failures can cause a bus to go flat? 12 A. A sidewall blowout certainly 13 results in a flat tire, yes. 14 Q. Now, I notice you mentioned a 15 bunch of categories. I didn't hear you 16 mention the word sidewall or tread. Is that 17 correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. That would be a different 20 scenario, right, if there was damage to the 21 sidewall versus damage to the tread? 22 A. I don't believe that the 23 description in the reports covers that. 24 Q. So a flat tire can include damage 25 to a sidewall of a tire, as well as a tread of</p>

<p>353</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 a tire then? 3 A. Typically, if you have sidewall 4 damage, you see it. 5 Q. Typically? Do you know what 6 Greyhound drivers typically report to the 7 maintenance response desk? 8 A. Again, I'm not sure exactly what 9 gets reported to the maintenance response 10 desk. 11 Q. Well, let me just make this clear. 12 I think I already asked you whether or not you 13 had ever spoken to any Greyhound bus driver 14 and you indicated that you have never spoken 15 to any Greyhound bus driver, is that correct? 16 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 17 objection. You can answer. 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Did you ever speak to anybody at 20 Greyhound regarding how the reports that go to 21 the maintenance response desk are interpreted 22 by Greyhound? 23 A. No, sir. 24 Q. Did you ever speak to anybody at 25 Greyhound regarding the information that goes</p>	<p>355</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you agree that cracked wheels 3 as Mr. James indicates, can cause a tire to 4 become flat? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And that a damaged rim also can 7 cause a tire to become flat? 8 A. Generally if you damage the rim, 9 you've also damaged the tire. But, yeah it 10 will be flat. 11 Q. How about a defective valve, can 12 that cause a tire to become flat? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And are you aware that Mr. James 15 said that, and I'll quote: 16 In any instance on maintenance 17 response desk report of tire failures 18 where it indicates either blown out or 19 flat, any one of these conditions that 20 we've talked about could be the cause of 21 that, correct? 22 And his answer was: Yes, sir. 23 A. As I said -- 24 MR. POLLAK: John, there is no 25 question.</p>
<p>354</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 into the maintenance response desk, other than 3 your just looking at a report that was printed 4 out of a computer and given to you? 5 A. I missed the first part of the 6 question. 7 Q. Well, did you ever speak to 8 anybody at Greyhound regarding what goes into 9 these maintenance response desk reports? 10 A. No, sir. 11 Q. Did you have any conversation 12 whatsoever regarding any topic having to do 13 with maintenance response desk reports with 14 any employee of Greyhound? 15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 16 A. No, sir. 17 MR. POLLAK: I just need to take a 18 men's room break when you have some 19 convenient -- 20 MR. KAPLAN: Pretty soon. Pretty 21 soon. 22 Q. Do you agree that sidewall failure 23 such as cuts and gashes, can cause a tire to 24 go flat? 25 A. Sure.</p>	<p>356</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt 3 Mr. James' testimony in that regard? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. Again, like I said, I never looked 7 at Mr. James' testimony. I never talked to 8 Mr. James. 9 Q. I think it might be helpful for 10 you to do so at some point. 11 MR. KAPLAN: Now is a good time to 12 take a quick break. I don't have a lot 13 more to go. 14 MR. POLLAK: Thank you. 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now 16 going off the record at approximately 17 5:08 p.m. This is the end of tape No. 18 5. 19 (Recess taken.) 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the 21 beginning of tape No. 6 in the Daws 22 deposition. We're going back on record 23 at approximately 5:19 p.m. 24 BY MR. KAPLAN 25 Q. Could you please take out</p>

357 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 attachment No. 9 to your July 28th report? 3 A. Can you tell me which attachment 4 that is. 5 Q. I believe that's the one that has 6 the breakdown of the information regarding the 7 maintenance response desk reports. 8 A. Okay. Okay. 9 Q. Now, on I believe it is the third 10 page there appears to be a -- 11 MR. POLLAK: One second. 12 Q. Tell me when you are ready. 13 A. I don't have the attachments in 14 the sense that you -- which document are you 15 looking at? 16 Q. I just have it -- 17 A. Oh, okay, all right. 18 Q. There is an indication, there is a 19 chart which shows a breakdown of the number of 20 flats that occurred on a monthly basis. Do 21 you recall preparing something like that? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 Q. And looking at Exhibit, or 24 attachment 9 there is an indication that in 25 August of 2005 there were 22 flats?</p>	359 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 to stay on the record so we can mark 3 this as an exhibit. 4 (Daws Exhibit 6, maintenance 5 response desk page Nos. 51, 54, 93, 6 106, 221, 250, 348, 378, 386, 450, 7 471, 506, 555, 578, 590, 597, 668, 8 672, 713, 749, 810, 899, 936, 977, 9 1026, 1034, 1066, 1206, 1214, 1343, 10 1355, 1393, 1519, 1528, 1564, 1579, 11 1615, 1678, 1685, 1694, 1736, 1745, 12 1746, 1755, 1767 and 1803 marked 13 for identification, as of this 14 date.) 15 THE WITNESS: And these pages are 16 numbered sequentially? 17 MR. KAPLAN: Well, yes. It's -- 18 MR. POLLAK: No. 19 MR. KAPLAN: They are. It is, the 20 first one is 51 out of 1852, the second 21 one is 54 out of 1852, we couldn't do a 22 breakdown by month. 23 MR. POLLAK: Just for the record, 24 Daws Exhibit 6 consists of multiple 25 pages from the maintenance response desk</p>
358 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Okay. 3 Q. Now, this would have been 4 information that you would have utilized in 5 constructing your theory about puncture 6 frequency. Is that correct? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. And what I would like you to do is 9 as follows. 10 I have here something I want to 11 mark as an exhibit which has from the 1852 12 pages, all of the instances of, or all the 13 reports coming from August of 2005. And what 14 I would like you to do is to go through them, 15 tell me which ones you utilized for purposes 16 of your report and why you concluded that 17 those reports were flat tires that were caused 18 by a puncture, as opposed to any other type of 19 failure mode. Okay? 20 A. Okay. Let's go off the record. 21 MR. POLLAK: One second. Which 22 month are you talking about in the 23 attachment 9? 24 MR. KAPLAN: Well, I have it over 25 here, August of 2005. So first we have</p>	360 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 and they are numbered as follows in this 3 order, 51, 54, 93, 106, 221, 250, 348, 4 378, 386, 450, 471, 506, 555, 578, 590, 5 597, 668, 672, 713, 749, 810, 899, 936, 6 977, 1026, 1034, 1066, 1206, 1214, 1343, 7 1355, 1393, 1519, 1528, 1564, 1579, 8 1615, 1678, 1685, 1694, 1736, 1745, 9 1746, 1755, 1767 and 1803. And I would 10 also like to note for the record that 11 this document was produced by Greyhound 12 during the course of discovery in this 13 case and is sequential based upon bus 14 number. That's the way it was produced. 15 MR. KAPLAN: Right, that's the way 16 it was produced to us. 17 MR. POLLAK: Correct. 18 Q. And what I've done is, I've 19 checked off on each page an entry that 20 indicates it's from August of 2005, and there 21 are approximately 50, 51 entries. And I would 22 like you to go through these and tell me page 23 by page which ones you used for your own 24 statistics and what the basis for your 25 concluding that the incident reflected in each</p>

<p>361</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 one of these entries, had anything to do with 3 a punctured tire through the tread area? 4 MR. POLLAK: Are you referring to 5 the entries that have a date in August 6 2005? Is that correct? 7 MR. KAPLAN: Correct. On that 8 exhibit I have a check mark in pen next 9 to each one of those entries to make it 10 a little bit easier for you to find. 11 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 12 objection to the form of the question. 13 Q. Why don't we start with page 51. 14 And if you note there are two entries towards 15 the bottom of the page. 16 MR. POLLAK: Just, also another 17 objection besides the form of the 18 question. It is a foundation question. 19 I'll say the word objection, and the 20 witness can go ahead. 21 MR. KAPLAN: Foundation? What's 22 the foundation objection? This is a 23 document you produced to us that this 24 witness claims to rely upon for the 25 basis of his theories in this case.</p>	<p>363</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 I have some idea about what it is I'm looking 3 at page by page. 4 MR. POLLAK: I think it is fair 5 for you to look at it before you testify 6 about it, sure. 7 Q. Just so I'm clear, this is the 8 form of the document that was provided to you 9 by Greyhound, correct? 10 A. No, I got the documents 11 electronically. 12 Q. But when you print it out, does it 13 look like this? 14 A. If you look at them on the screen, 15 they look exactly like this. 16 Q. So these, since you already 17 indicated to me that you reviewed every single 18 of the 1852 pages, you would have already 19 reviewed each one of the pages that are part 20 of this exhibit. Correct? 21 A. Certainly. 22 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. Why don't we 23 go off the record. 24 MR. POLLAK: We can go off the 25 record.</p>
<p>362</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: I'm not going to -- I 3 just note my objections and you can ask 4 your questions. 5 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. 6 Q. Looking at the first -- 7 A. So let me just get this straight. 8 You are wanting to look at each of these 9 entries and say why I would consider it a flat 10 or not a flat? 11 Q. Right. Or why you used it for 12 purposes of your own compilation of 13 information and why you didn't use others? 14 A. Okay. And are you sure that every 15 page involved in August is on this -- in this 16 bundle? 17 Q. Well, I will tell you what. After 18 this deposition is over you are free to go and 19 look through the 1852 pages and find out any 20 that I failed to collect, okay? 21 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 22 objection for the reason stated. 23 A. I guess what I'm saying is, I 24 would like to go off and look at this stuff 25 and then come back and continue. So at least</p>	<p>364</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 3 at approximately 5:27 p.m. 4 (Recess taken.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going 6 back on record approximately 5:51 p.m. 7 BY MR. KAPLAN 8 Q. Looking at this exhibit -- what 9 exhibit number is it again? 10 MR. POLLAK: 6. 11 Q. 6. Looking at Exhibit 6, on page 12 51 were there any incidents from August of 13 2005 that were used in your calculations? 14 A. No, sir. 15 Q. How about page 54? 16 A. No, sir. 17 Q. How about page 93? 18 A. No, sir. 19 Q. How about page 106? 20 A. No, sir. 21 Q. How about page 221? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 Q. And can you tell me which one of 24 these incidents you used in your calculation? 25 A. Bus No. 2223 on August 12, 2005</p>

365 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 front left, front tire flat. 3 Q. Does it say anything else about 4 the tire, other than that it is flat? 5 A. Nope. 6 Q. Does it say what caused the tire 7 to become flat? 8 A. No, sir. 9 Q. Is the word puncture mentioned? 10 A. No, sir. 11 Q. Does it indicate what model of bus 12 this tire was mounted on? 13 A. No, sir. 14 Q. Does it indicate whether or not 15 this was a C-3 or C-2 G-409 tire? 16 A. No, sir. 17 Q. Does it mention whether it is even 18 a G-409 tire or some other tire? 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. Do you see the word tread 21 mentioned any place on this? 22 A. No, sir. 23 Q. Do you know whether or not this 24 bus was operating or parked when the person 25 who noticed that the tire was flat, first</p>	367 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 generic for the tire went flat, and puncture 3 is the most likely cause for that. 4 Q. Well, flat is generic, isn't that 5 correct? 6 A. I believe so, yeah. 7 Q. And as the Greyhound witness 8 testified, flat can mean any one of a number 9 of failures that led to that tire being flat, 10 isn't that correct? 11 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection to 12 this entire line of questioning as 13 having been asked and answered 14 previously. So it is continuous 15 objection on that ground. Objection. 16 MR. KAPLAN: Can you read the 17 question back, please. (Record read.) 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection to form. 19 A. Well, certainly we can exclude 20 anything that was mechanically wrong, like a 21 shock or air bag or anything like that. The 22 bus, the service provider put the spare on and 23 the bus went on about its business. 24 Q. So a few things can be excluded</p>
366 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 noticed it? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Does it indicate who called in 6 this report? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. And what was the basis for your 9 concluding that this represented a puncture 10 through the tread area of the tire? 11 A. Basically the spare was installed 12 and the bus continued, so there was nothing 13 mechanically wrong with the bus. And again 14 the most likely cause of a flat is a puncture. 15 Q. So you are assuming that it was a 16 puncture through the tread as opposed to any 17 other disabling incident that occurred to the 18 tire, is that correct? 19 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection to 20 the form. 21 A. As we discussed before, if you 22 find things that are other indications, like 23 cupping or cut or something like that, bulge, 24 blown, there is all kinds of other 25 descriptions given. Flat is, in my opinion</p>	368 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 but plenty can't be excluded, isn't that 3 right? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 5 A. Again, the most likely cause of a 6 flat is a puncture. 7 Q. And that's your assumption, again? 8 A. Yes. 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the word 10 assumption. 11 Q. Okay, now, that was page 221, how 12 about page 250: is there anything that you 13 utilized on that page? 14 A. No, sir. 15 Q. How about page 348? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And which one did you utilize? 18 A. Bus 2746, August 20, 2005. 19 Q. Does that entry indicate what kind 20 of bus model this tire was mounted on? 21 A. No, sir. 22 Q. Does it indicate whether or not it 23 was a C-3 or C-2 G-409 tire? 24 A. No, sir. 25 Q. Does it indicate whether it is</p>

369 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 even a G-409, as opposed to some other type of 3 tire? 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Does it indicate whether or not 6 this tire had any failure in its tread or its 7 sidewall? 8 A. No, sir. 9 Q. Does it indicate the word 10 puncture? 11 A. No, sir. 12 Q. Again, we're operating under the 13 same assumption that you utilized in the 14 previous incident, is that correct? 15 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 16 objection to the form and continuous 17 objection to the use of the word 18 assumption. I object to every question 19 that has that word in it. 20 A. My opinion is that the leak, you 21 know, when it says flat, he had a puncture. 22 That's the basis on which I built my analysis. 23 Q. What facts relevant to Greyhound 24 buses are you basing your opinion on? 25 A. Facts?</p>	371 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. That is not the model bus that was 4 involved in the Elizabethtown accident, was 5 it? 6 A. I don't care. 7 Q. I just asked you yes or no. 8 A. No, it's not. 9 Q. I'm sure you don't care, but I 10 just want to know yes or no. 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection to whatever 12 it is, I don't know if it was a 13 statement or a comment or whatever it 14 was. 15 Q. Does this indicate anywhere 16 whether or not this was a C-2 or C-3, G-409 17 tire? 18 A. No, sir. 19 Q. Does it indicate anywhere whether 20 this was even a G-409 tire at all? 21 A. No, sir. 22 Q. Does it indicate whether or not 23 this involved the tread or the sidewall of the 24 tire? 25 A. No, sir.</p>
370 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Yeah, facts. 3 A. As a tire expert, the number of 4 flat tires that I've seen that did not involve 5 a puncture are fairly small. 6 Q. Do you have any experience in 7 analyzing the different types of tire failures 8 experienced by Greyhound buses? 9 A. Well, I've looked at a lot of 10 G-409 tires off of Greyhound buses. 11 Q. That were given to you by 12 Greyhound to look at, right? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. Have you done any systematic 15 review of the types of failure modes that 16 Greyhound buses experience? 17 A. Buses in general? No, sir. 18 Q. All right. That was page 348. 19 How about page 378? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. Okay. That would be bus 2834 on 22 August 28th? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Q. And that is a case that was on an 25 MC 12 bus, correct?</p>	372 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Does it state in any way what the 3 cause of the flat tire was? 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Does the word puncture appear 6 anywhere? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. And was this bus operating or was 9 it parked when whoever it was who noticed the 10 flat tire, noticed it was flat? 11 A. I don't know. 12 Q. Do you know how it was noticed? 13 A. No, sir. 14 Q. Do you know who reported it in? 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. Page 3 -- that was page 378. 17 How about page 386? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And would that be the 2863 bus on 20 August 27? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. And that involved an MC 12 bus, 23 not a 102DL3, correct? 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. Does this entry indicate anywhere</p>

373 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 whether or not this was a C-3 or a C-2 G-409 3 tire? 4 A. Again, I don't care. But no, it 5 doesn't. 6 Q. You don't care whether it is a C-2 7 or a C-3 G-409 tire? 8 A. No, sir. 9 Q. Aren't you comparing the puncture 10 frequencies between a C-3 and a C-2 G-409 11 tire? 12 A. No, sir? I'm comparing the 13 puncture frequencies -- I'm analyzing the 14 puncture frequencies experienced by the 15 Goodyear fleet -- I mean the Greyhound fleet, 16 over a range of time. And aligning that with 17 the period of time when C-3 tires were coming 18 into the fleet or were completely fitted to 19 the fleet. 20 Q. So whether or not the punctures 21 occurred on a C-3 or a C-2 G-409 tire, you are 22 saying has no relevance? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. Could you even tell if this was a 25 G-409 tire involved in this calculation --</p>	375 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Again, is there a bus model 4 indicated on this report? 5 A. No, sir. 6 Q. Does it indicate whether or not 7 this is a C-3 or a C-2 G-409 tire? 8 A. No, sir. 9 Q. Does it indicate whether this is 10 even a G-409 tire as opposed to some other 11 type of tire? 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. Does it indicate whether or not 14 there was any failure in the tread or the 15 sidewall of the tire? 16 A. No, sir. 17 Q. Does it indicate what the cause of 18 the flat tire was? 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. Do you see the word puncture 21 indicated anywhere? 22 A. No, sir. 23 Q. Again, does this indicate whether 24 or not the bus was operating or parked when 25 the condition was first noticed?</p>
374 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 involved in this report? 3 A. Not from this record, no. 4 Q. Does it say what the cause of the 5 flat tire was? 6 A. No, sir. 7 Q. Do you see the word puncture 8 mentioned anywhere? 9 A. No, sir. 10 Q. Was the bus operating or parked 11 when the flat tire was noticed? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 13 A. No, sir. It says it continues on 14 schedule, so. And, again, I don't know when 15 the flat was noticed. 16 Q. Do you know who called in the 17 report? 18 A. No, sir. 19 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 450. Did 20 you utilize any of those entries? 21 A. No, sir. 22 Q. How about page 471? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Would that be on bus 30518 from 25 August 16, 2005?</p>	376 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. No, sir. 3 Q. Do you know who called in this 4 report? 5 A. No, sir. 6 (Discussion off the written 7 record.) 8 Q. In approximately how many of the 9 entries throughout this exhibit would you say 10 you utilized for purposes of your 11 calculations? 12 MR. POLLAK: Referring to those 13 exhibits, please. 14 MR. KAPLAN: Correct. 15 A. Yes, in those Exhibit 6 there are 16 20 front tire entries which suggests to me you 17 missed a page or two, from August. 18 Q. From August? 19 A. From August 2005 because there are 20 22 entries. 21 Q. Do any of these entries indicate 22 whether a C-3 or C-2 G-409 tire was involved? 23 A. No, sir. 24 Q. Did any of these entries indicate 25 whether or not it was a G-409 tire as opposed</p>

<p>377</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 to another type of tire? 3 A. No, sir. 4 Q. Did any of these entries indicate 5 whether or not the tread area or the sidewall 6 of the tire was involved? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. Did any of these entries indicate 9 what the cause of the flat tire was? 10 A. No, sir. 11 Q. Did any of these entries indicate 12 the word puncture anywhere? 13 A. No, sir. 14 Q. All that was indicated was the 15 generic words "flat tire," is that correct? 16 A. Front tire flat. 17 Q. Was any indication given as to 18 whether or not the bus was operating or parked 19 when the condition was first noticed? 20 A. No, sir. 21 Q. Is any indication given in any of 22 these entries as to who called the report in? 23 A. No, sir 24 MR. KAPLAN I have no further 25 questions. Thank you very much.</p>	<p>379</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 range of strengths associated with those 3 tires. These tires are mounted on a wheel 4 which, you know, we're simply guessing at its 5 load capacity, at the load capacity of the 6 tire on this wheel. 7 And so in essence in, my opinion 8 is that in this case, for this tire, this tire 9 was loaded beyond what it could carry. Now, 10 whether that load was in excess of 16,000 11 pounds or not, I don't really know because 12 there is obviously no weight record for the 13 bus, and, you know, things like that. 14 Q. All right. 15 A. And then because this developed a 16 crack system in the tire, a progressive 17 failure when the tire began to lose air on the 18 date of the accident, it came apart in advance 19 of when it would have come apart in a normal 20 scenario where the tire had no progressive 21 preexisting breakdown. 22 Q. What amount of overload do you 23 theorize this tire was subjected to on bus 24 6528? And I'm talking about the left front 25 tire.</p>
<p>378</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 EXAMINATION BY MR. DACUS: 3 Q. Dr. Daws, my name is John Dacus. 4 I introduced myself this morning when we 5 began. I represent Motor Coach Industries in 6 this lawsuit. 7 I want to see if I understand what 8 your opinions are from your report and from 9 your testimony today. First, is it fair to 10 say that one of your primary opinions is that 11 the tire failure that occurred on bus 6528 at 12 the time of this accident on August 28, 2006, 13 was the result of overloading, meaning, too 14 much weight on the front axle of the coach, as 15 compared to over-deflection which might result 16 from underinflation? 17 A. Well, again, I would submit to you 18 that the -- what I'm talking about is load in 19 excess of what this particular tire is capable 20 of handling. 21 You know, there is a range, when 22 you build a series of tires, like Goodyear did 23 over an extended period of time, and you have 24 things like belt wire with a plus or minus 10 25 percent modulus, so there are, there is a</p>	<p>380</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Well, the tire was subjected, in 3 all likelihood, to a load in excess of 10 4 percent above what it would -- what it could 5 carry. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Now, we don't know whether the 8 tire could really carry 8,000 pounds or not on 9 this wheel. Certainly the original load 10 capacity for this size tire on this size wheel 11 is 7610 pounds so we feel pretty confident it 12 will carry that. But beyond that, I don't 13 know. 14 Q. Now, this load in excess of 10 15 percent that you theorize was on this left 16 front tire of the bus on August 28, 2006, what 17 load is that in pounds? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 19 answer. 20 A. Again, if we knew what, you know, 21 what the actual tire could handle, you know, 22 the actual tire might be able to handle 7800 23 pounds or something like that, so you would 24 have, or 7600, let's say 7600 pounds what the 25 original T&RA spec was, you know, 10 percent</p>

<p>381</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 of that would be another 760 pounds, so, you 3 know, 8300, 8 thousand, yeah, 8300 pounds, 4 something like that. 5 Q. Now, do you have an opinion to a 6 reasonable degree of engineering certainty as 7 to what the overload was on this particular 8 tire on this particular bus on August 28, 9 2006? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 11 answer. 12 A. No, sir. It may not have been 13 overloaded at all on that particular date, 14 until it started losing air and then of course 15 it would have been significantly, it would 16 have been significantly overloaded. 17 Q. So you are not able to tell us 18 with any degree of engineering certainty, 19 whether the tire was even overloaded on the 20 day of the accident. Is that right? 21 A. That's true. 22 Q. And what -- I want you to list for 23 me, and you have done a job of explaining here 24 but right now I'm just trying to understand 25 the points that are the basis for your opinion</p>	<p>383</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 your basis for all the things that are the 3 basis for your opinion that this left front 4 tire on the bus in question was overloaded at 5 some point, if not on the day of the accident, 6 are that the leak rate you calculated will not 7 support the type of damage that you found on 8 the tire, is that one of the points? 9 A. Will not support the damage, the 10 fatigue crack damage in the tire, the 11 polishing, the cracking associated with that 12 portion of the forensic evidence. 13 Q. Now, the fatigue cracking and the 14 polishing that you are talking about, you 15 found on one of the pieces of the left front 16 tire. Is that correct? 17 A. That's correct. 18 Q. But not on any others? 19 A. Well, remember, a large portion of 20 the tire was missing at that location which 21 would be consistent with a fatigue crack. I 22 mean, a fatigue thumbnail grows from the belt 23 edge of the tire into a crescent-shaped zone 24 in between steel belts, and when the tire 25 starts to come apart, the first pieces to come</p>
<p>382</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 that the left front tire on the coach that was 3 involved in this accident had previously been 4 overloaded, if it was not overloaded on the 5 day of the accident? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 7 answer. 8 A. Again, the leak rate, my 9 estimation of the leak rate won't support a 10 leak beyond the date of the accident. So the 11 damage in the tire, the preexisting damage in 12 the tire is clearly longer, of longer duration 13 than one day, probably longer duration, you 14 know, tens of thousands miles. 15 So we're not, we're not in a 16 situation where this damage, this preexisting 17 fatigue damage in the tire could have come 18 about because of this particular puncture. 19 Which leaves, which leaves the overload, the 20 loading the tire beyond its natural capacity 21 as the opportunity for breaking it down, 22 unless of course it has some kind of internal 23 defect that we can't find after the accident. 24 Q. All right, so if I understand 25 correctly, and you tell me if I get it wrong,</p>	<p>384</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 off the tire are at that location. That is 3 also where the inner liner blew out and things 4 like that. So the piece that we happened to 5 have recovered, has that evidence on it. 6 Now, there are certainly other 7 pieces; they just weren't recovered. 8 Q. But to answer my question, the 9 fatigue cracking and the polishing that you 10 described, is found on only one piece of the 11 tire that was recovered. Correct? 12 A. That's the only piece that 13 actually -- 14 Q. Is that correct? 15 A. That's correct, yeah. 16 Q. And that determination by you 17 about the leak rate, that's, the leak rate is 18 what you determined from your analysis of the 19 bubbles. Is that correct? 20 A. That is correct. 21 Q. And that determination about your 22 leak rate, plus the presence of the fatigue 23 cracking and polishing, leads you to the 24 conclusion, without any other basis, that the 25 loaded, the loading of the tire was beyond its</p>

385 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 natural loading -- 3 A. Actually there is two other 4 factors there. The one that is shown in one 5 of the exhibits where the air pressure, the 6 historical air pressure of this tire indicates 7 that it is from historical basis, properly 8 maintained. So there is nothing long term, 9 there is no long term underinflation of this 10 tire. 11 And the second thing is when the 12 NTSB ballasted their test bus, the front axle 13 load was higher than 16,000 pounds. So 14 hopefully they did a rep -- you know, they did 15 a representative ballasting of their bus. 16 Q. So now we've got four things that 17 leads you to the conclusion that the loading 18 of the tire, the left front tire was beyond 19 its natural load capacity. 20 One is the leak rate that you 21 determined from the bubble analysis. Two is 22 the fatigue cracking and polishing that you 23 saw on one of the tire pieces. Three is the 24 historical data that I believe was on one of 25 the exhibits that you looked at, I believe it</p>	387 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 nothing about the tire pressure record from 3 August 18th, 2006 through the date of the 4 accident, August 28th, 2006. Correct? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. And you were specifically not 7 asked to look at the actions, activities, 8 procedures, of the Greyhound personnel with 9 regard to tire inspections and likeness, is 10 that correct? 11 A. I was not retained to analyze any 12 of it. 13 Q. And you didn't undertake to 14 analyze any of that? 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. In any manner? 17 A. No, way, shape or form. 18 Q. Now, what references did you use 19 to determine that the fatigue cracking and the 20 polishing, if I might put those two together, 21 were the result of long-term overloading as 22 opposed to underinflation? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. We already talked about the bases</p>
386 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 is Daws Exhibit 3? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And the NTSB ballasting of the 5 coach used by the NTSB for testing where they 6 were trying to produce delaminations and 7 blowouts. Is that correct? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Those four things. Any others? 10 A. Not that I can think of. 11 Q. Okay. 12 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 13 objection to the form. I just, I think 14 those, you counted two as one. I just 15 think those are five things. You 16 counted the fatigue and polishing as 17 one. 18 MR. DACUS: Yes, I did. 19 MR. POLLAK: So I think there is 20 five things that he mentioned. 21 Q. Now, the historical air pressure 22 that you, you examined some data and you put 23 together a chart Daws Exhibit 3, correct? 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. Now, that historical data provides</p>	388 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 of the opinion. I'm a little bit biased, but 3 the best article about fatigue cracking and 4 polishing are the, let's see, the Fractography 5 of Tire Tread Separations by John Daws, the 6 Failure Analysis of Tire Tread Separations, 7 again by John Daws, the article Fractography 8 Aids Study of Tire Tread Separations by John 9 Daws, and the Forensic Analysis in Tire Tread 10 Separations, again by John Daws. 11 Q. Did you use any references, 12 papers, photographs, fractography, other than 13 work that you had done, to determine that the 14 fatigue cracking and the polishing were the 15 result of overloading, as opposed to 16 underinflation? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 18 answer. 19 A. Well, my work has been 20 peer-reviewed, you know, so -- but it 21 certainly matches the conclusions of Ron Smith 22 and a few other people that have written about 23 fractography. You know, so, but again -- 24 Q. That's not my question. 25 A. This is something that I do</p>

389 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 routinely. This is the kind of work that I do 3 routinely in terms of forensic analysis on 4 tires. 5 Q. If you would please answer my 6 question. 7 Did you use any references or work 8 by others, other than yourself, to determine 9 that the fatigue cracking that you observed 10 and the polishing that you observed were the 11 result of overloading other than your own 12 work? 13 A. Again, in terms of my fractography 14 analysis, that's based a lot on what Ron Smith 15 did, as well as my own testing in the field. 16 The rest is my analysis. 17 Q. And the work that Ron Smith did, 18 can you point me a little closer to that? 19 A. Mr. Smith published -- or Dr. 20 Smith published several papers on tire pieces 21 picked up off of road sides, and what the tear 22 patterns look like and how to analyze them. 23 I could provide you with 24 references if you would like. 25 Q. All right. Any other work</p>	391 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And what do you mean by a 3 substantial amount of time? 4 A. Thousands of miles. 5 Q. Thousands of miles? 6 A. Thousands of miles at a bare 7 minimum. And on this type of tire it may take 8 considerably longer. 9 Q. Have you done any testing to 10 determine how long it takes to develop fatigue 11 cracking or polishing on a commercial truck 12 tire such as the Goodyear G-409? 13 A. No, sir. 14 Q. Are you aware of any testing done 15 by others that you know the result of that 16 indicate the number of miles or the length of 17 time it requires to develop fatigue cracking 18 or polishing, if you have an underinflated 19 tire? 20 A. I am not. Certainly nobody's 21 published any information like that. 22 Q. And neither have you, is that 23 right? 24 A. I have not published information. 25 I have certainly seen a lot of tires come back</p>
390 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 prepared by others in the field that you 3 relied upon to determine that the fatigue 4 cracking and the polishing that you observed 5 on the tire piece, were as a result of 6 overloading as opposed to underinflation? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. Now, are you saying that ten days 9 of unknown tire pressure on the left front 10 tire, immediately preceding the accident, are 11 not sufficient to cause the fatigue cracking 12 and polishing that you observed? 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 14 answer. 15 MS. BOYLE: Just note my objection 16 to the form of the question. 17 A. I'm saying that there is no -- no 18 evidence whatsoever that the tire was 19 punctured before the date of the accident. 20 So we can talk about pressure not 21 being measured there, but it is certain the 22 tire wasn't flat. So I don't know see any way 23 where it could possibly have developed fatigue 24 cracking. Fatigue cracking takes a 25 substantial amount of time to develop.</p>	392 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 from the field while I worked for Michelin. 3 So you get a feel for what we are talking 4 about. 5 But, in general, this is not 6 something studied, and every tire expert I 7 know of relies on his experience to make that 8 kind of determination. 9 Q. But that's all that you are 10 relying on is your experience and not any 11 references or studies or tests regarding the 12 length of time or the number of miles it takes 13 to develop the fatigue cracking and polishing. 14 Is that correct? 15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 16 answer. 17 A. There are no tests. No tests 18 whatsoever. 19 Q. And to answer my question, that's 20 all you are relying on -- 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 22 A. I'm relying -- 23 Q. -- your experience? 24 A. -- on my education -- 25 MR. POLLAK: John, you can answer.</p>

393 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I'm relying on my education, my 3 experience, my training. 4 Q. In your education and training, 5 were you ever trained on the subject of how 6 many miles or how much time is required to 7 develop the fatigue cracking and the polishing 8 that you observed in the left front tire of 9 the bus in question? 10 A. I was certainly trained on the 11 general aspects of fatigue cracking, polishing 12 and so on, and then had the opportunity to 13 observe many tires coming back from the field 14 with those characteristics. 15 Q. But on these tires coming back 16 from the field, I would gather that you would 17 not know exactly what the inflation history of 18 those tires had been in all cases? Am I right 19 about that? 20 A. Well, for tires that were in 21 general service, commercial service, you know, 22 where the tire was sold to a customer and then 23 came back due to a failure, no, but there were 24 many tires that were in fleets that Michelin 25 used for testing, and in those cases you had</p>	395 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 significant over-deflection of the tire, it 3 can also produce in a much shorter term, 4 sidewall failure with that kind of load, or 5 that kind of underinflation. 6 Q. And have you done any testing to 7 determine which will occur first with that 8 type of underinflation: a sidewall failure or 9 a delamination? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 11 answer. 12 A. Well, typical test strategies for 13 bead and sidewall are heavily underinflated or 14 heavily -- you know, heavily underinflated. 15 If you want to exercise the summit, the 16 shoulder of the tire, to provoke tread 17 separation or separation that can lead to 18 tread separation, you really need to run at 19 closer to max pressure and max load. That is 20 the -- and that's just based on, you know, the 21 huge number of design tests that Michelin used 22 to run on number of, I guess still does run, 23 on numerous different design programs, you 24 know, where you try to, you tried to exercise 25 the bead and the sidewall and the tread system</p>
394 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 very good understanding about the mileage, 3 about the air pressure or the air inflation 4 and the load. 5 Q. Is it fair to say that 6 underinflation can cause the type of fatigue 7 cracking and polishing that you observed on 8 the subject tire? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 10 answer. 11 A. It's fair to say that that can 12 develop over fairly long period of time, yes. 13 Q. Underinflation produces 14 over-deflection of the tire, is that correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. And if you have a substantial 17 underinflation on a tire that is intended to 18 be operated at 120 psi inflation pressure -- 19 and by substantial I mean 40, 60, 80 psi below 20 the intended inflation pressure -- that can 21 produce a very significant over-deflection of 22 the tire, correct? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. Well, not only can it produce a</p>	396 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 separately. That is, if you want to provoke a 3 failure in the bead, how do you do that? If 4 you want to provoke a failure in the sidewall, 5 how do you do that? Okay. 6 Q. Have you run any tests to 7 determine whether a bead or sidewall failure 8 will occur with underinflation, significant 9 underinflation, before a delamination? 10 A. I have not personally run such 11 tests, no. 12 Q. And aren't aware of any? 13 A. Again, I saw lots of tests like 14 that at Michelin. 15 Q. You didn't rely on any such tests 16 in connection with developing your opinions in 17 this case, correct? 18 A. But that's certainly my 19 experience. 20 Q. But am I correct, you didn't rely 21 on any such tests in developing your opinions 22 in this case? 23 A. I don't have any Michelin tests 24 that I can produce, no. 25 Q. Now, you talked about another</p>

397 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 factor in your determination that the tire in 3 question was overloaded, if not on the day in 4 question, at least on some day or some period 5 of time earlier. And one of those points was 6 that when the NTSB ballasted a 102DL3 coach 7 for testing intended to produce delaminations 8 and blowouts, they ended up with more than 9 16,000 pounds on the front axle. Correct? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Have you done any study or 12 analysis yourself of whether it is difficult 13 or easy to overload the front axle of this 14 type of coach? 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. And do you know what parameters 17 the NTSB used or what considerations went into 18 the ballasting of the bus that they did? 19 A. No, sir, although they were trying 20 to assess the handling characteristics of the 21 bus for this accident, as part of this 22 accident investigation. 23 So I would imagine that they were 24 trying to get a picture for what the bus 25 actually looked at in its loaded state. But,</p>	399 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 to some testing of steering efforts, torques? 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 Q. Do you recall that? 5 A. I do. 6 Q. Did you actually see any of the 7 data relating to that? 8 A. Well, I think the data plots are 9 shown in the report for each one of the 10 delaminations. 11 Q. Yes, I know they showed data 12 plots, but did you actually see any of the 13 data that was collected? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 15 answer. 16 A. I'm not sure what you mean. What 17 would be the difference between the data 18 plotted and the actual data? 19 In other words, did I get a CD 20 with bits and bytes on it, no. 21 Q. Did you ask for any of the data 22 from that testing? 23 A. No, sir. 24 Q. I take it the data from that 25 testing would be of no value or interest to</p>
398 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 no, I don't have a picture. There is nothing 3 in their report that would indicate that. 4 Q. You didn't attend the testing of 5 the NTSB where they were attempting to produce 6 delaminations and blowouts? 7 A. I did not. 8 Q. Have you read anything more on 9 that testing than is noted in the Human 10 Performance and Vehicle Group Chairman's 11 Report of Operational Testing, 35 pages? 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. Have you talked to anybody who 14 participated in that testing? 15 A. No, sir. Not about the testing, 16 no. 17 Q. Have you seen any of the data that 18 came from that testing, other than the videos? 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. Did you see any of the -- 21 A. Well, other than what's in the 22 report and the videos. 23 Q. Right. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. In the report there is reference</p>	400 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 you? 3 A. Well, again, I was asked to 4 analyze the tire failure and to look at 5 aspects about the tire. I'm not sure -- 6 Q. Did you look -- 7 A. I'm not sure the steering torque 8 really plays much of a role in that opinion. 9 You know, the tire has already failed by that 10 point. 11 Q. So steering torques would not be 12 of interest to you in connection with 13 analyzing that testing? 14 A. Not the raw data, no. 15 Q. Did you ever see any of the 16 unfiltered data from that testing? 17 A. No, sir. 18 Q. You only saw it after a filter had 19 been applied to it? 20 A. That is correct and that would 21 have been very interesting to look at because 22 a two-second filter probably is way too long. 23 Q. Did you examine any of the tires 24 that were intentionally delaminated or blown 25 out --</p>

<p>401</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. -- from that testing? 4 A. I did. 5 Q. Which tires did you examine? 6 A. I'd have to cross-reference the G 7 numbers. I know I looked at some of those 8 tires before they left Dallas to go to the 9 testing. And I would have to cross-reference 10 the G numbers. I know there was some of them. 11 I just don't recall which ones they were. 12 Q. Did you look at any of those tires 13 after they were delaminated or blown out by 14 the intentional efforts to do so? 15 A. No, sir, I did not. 16 Q. Now, in your report, as I 17 understood it, you felt that one of those 18 delamination tests was of greater interest 19 than all of the other tests, and that was 20 delamination test No. 5. Is that correct? 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 22 form. You can answer. 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. And I would like you to tell us 25 all the reasons why you felt that delamination</p>	<p>403</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 blew out. So we had a tread separation and a 3 blowout at the same time. 4 Q. Did you examine any of the 5 steering data from the steering 6 instrumentation that was used in delamination 7 No. 5? 8 A. I did not. 9 Q. Did you examine the tire or what 10 was left of the tire after delamination No. 5? 11 A. I did not. 12 Q. In delamination No. 5 the NTSB 13 report of operational testing indicates that: 14 "Delamination No. 5 occurred with 15 the vehicle traveling at 80 miles per 16 hour on the left curb beginning with the 17 partial separation and detachment of the 18 inboard tread. Delamination occurred at 19 a tire pressure of 41.9 psi and a 20 temperature of 320 degrees Fahrenheit 21 with no loss of inflation." 22 Did I read that correctly? 23 A. You certainly did. 24 Q. Did you actually see any 25 photographs or any video of the initial</p>
<p>402</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 test No. 5 was of greater interest in 3 connection with this case than any of the 4 other tests? 5 MR POLLAK: Objection. You can 6 answer. 7 A. Delamination No. 5 in review of 8 the video data which is basically the snapshot 9 of the tire failure after the 15-minute run, 10 in other words, the tire first lost a piece of 11 the inside tread rib, but it didn't lose air 12 pressure and the rest of the tire was intact. 13 So the decision was made to proceed and at 14 that point in time the tire was run until it 15 sustained a tread separation, a blowout. 16 And the interesting thing, when 17 you look at the video for that tread 18 separation and blowout, is the tread 19 separation and the blowout occur at the same 20 time. That is, you know, the tread separation 21 begins and the tire blows out in simultaneous 22 fashion. And in my opinion, that is exactly 23 what happened in this tread separation. That 24 is, at the time the tire started to come apart 25 over those fatigue cracks, the inner liner</p>	<p>404</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 separation, partial separation and detachment 3 of the inboard tread? 4 A. No, sir. There was none produced 5 to me. 6 Q. The NTSB report authors indicate 7 that delamination occurred at a tire pressure 8 of 49.1 psi and a temperature of 325 degrees 9 Fahrenheit, with no loss of inflation. 10 I take it, then, you would 11 disagree with the authors of that, that what 12 occurred at that point was, in fact, a 13 delamination? 14 A. Absolutely. A delamination, 15 basically this is a tread chunk, for lack of a 16 better terminology. The tread piece or 17 section of the tread rib has detached based on 18 heat. So this is not a delamination where the 19 tread and the tread belts come off. 20 Q. Can tread belts come off a tire 21 due to heating? 22 A. If you push it far enough, sure. 23 Most -- in fact, in medium radial truck tires 24 most flat tires ultimately result in sections 25 of the steel belts coming apart.</p>

405 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. So heating is enough to produce a 3 delamination? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 5 form. 6 A. Again, heating is enough to cause 7 a breakdown of the tire. So if you want to 8 call it -- it is not a delamination in the 9 sense that most of these delam tests were 10 involved, because most of these delam tests 11 did not result in a deflation of the tire. 12 Q. And you do understand that after 13 inspecting the tire on delamination No. 5, the 14 NTSB testers actually decided to continue 15 driving on it for more than 15 minutes before 16 the tire spontaneously deflated, correct? 17 A. Again, had the -- yeah, I 18 understand that completely and had the tire 19 had, truly had a delamination, there is no way 20 you could have driven it down the road for 15 21 minutes at 80 miles an hour. 22 Q. Now, isn't it true, at least from 23 the report of the operational testing which is 24 all that you have been privy to regarding this 25 NTSB testing, that the tire spontaneously</p>	407 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 form. You can answer. 3 A. No, sir, I wouldn't agree with 4 that at all. 5 Q. In every respect it is just the 6 same? 7 A. It's almost identical, except 8 that, you know, what we don't have in our case 9 is the tire having a low enough air pressure 10 to start throwing a tread rib in advance of 11 the failure. 12 Q. What was the air pressure of the 13 tire in question before it delaminated? 14 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 15 of the question. 16 A. Nobody knows, but at the same time 17 in delam No. 5 it was down to 42 psi. 18 Q. So you don't know what the tire 19 pressure was on the tire in question just 20 before the delamination? 21 A. No, sir. 22 Q. And don't have an opinion? 23 A. Well, I don't know. 24 Q. And don't have an opinion? 25 A. Well, again, I would suggest to</p>
406 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 deflated over a 3-second interval, immediately 3 followed by a catastrophic and complete 4 disintegration of the tire? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 6 form. You can answer. 7 A. That's what the report says, yes. 8 Q. Now, did the left front tire of 9 the coach in question here, completely 10 disintegrate? 11 A. Well, it certainly threw the tread 12 and most of the steel belts, or most of the 13 tread and a large chunk of the steel belts. 14 But remember, it went off the road long before 15 it ever finished rolling. So it didn't wind 16 up looking, you know, it didn't completely 17 disintegrate like this tire, but this tire 18 once it became deflated, you know, rolled to a 19 stop, where the tire in our case didn't do 20 that. And there is always a tremendous amount 21 of variability between the end look of tires. 22 Q. In at least a few respects you'd 23 agree that delamination No. 5 is not the same 24 as what occurred in the accident in question? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the</p>	408 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 you that it was considerably higher than 42 3 psi just because the leak rate won't support 4 that kind of a 30 to 40 psi number. 5 Q. Setting aside the leak rate for 6 the moment, do you know or do you have an 7 opinion what the air pressure was in the left 8 front tire of the coach in question just 9 before the tire delaminated and the accident 10 occurred? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 12 answer. 13 A. I do not know what that pressure 14 was. Nor does anyone else. 15 Q. Now, you disagree, then, I take 16 it, that there was a complete disintegration 17 of the tire on delamination No. 5, but there 18 was not a complete disintegration of the tire, 19 the left front tire, of the coach in question 20 at the time of this accident? 21 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 22 answer. 23 A. I disagree that they are 24 comparable at the point of rest. And the 25 reason they are not comparable to point of</p>

409 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 rest is in delam No. 5 the tire continued to 3 be driven until the bus was brought to a stop. 4 Whereas, in our accident, the bus left the 5 road. And at that point in time, you don't 6 continue to destroy the tire. 7 Q. And you don't feel that the 8 continued driving of the tire for 15 minutes 9 after it had already thrown a portion of the 10 tread in delamination No. 5, is different than 11 the operation of the coach in question at the 12 time of this accident? 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 14 A. Well, again, I think the starting 15 point pressure of the two cases is different 16 which is why you have that piece of tread rib 17 coming off on delam No. 5. So they start at a 18 different pressure point. 19 MR. DACUS: Could you read back 20 the question, please. 21 (Record read.) 22 A. Well, there is no evidence to 23 suggest that a tread rib came off 15 minutes 24 before the tire failure, that's correct. 25 Q. They are different in that sense?</p>	411 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. DACUS: Could you read back 3 the question before he looked for the 4 chart. 5 (Record read.) 6 Q. That's what the report describes, 7 is it not? 8 A. That is what the report describes, 9 yes. 10 Q. Is that dissimilar to what was 11 described in this accident? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. And how so? 14 A. Well, if you look at the video, 15 basically you get a tread separation and then 16 you get where the casing stays inflated, and 17 then two seconds later, you get a blowout. So 18 it is not a simultaneous event. Whereas, in 19 delam 5 it is a simultaneous event. 20 Q. And at what tire pressure and 21 temperature did delamination No. 2 occur? 22 A. Well, the NTSB report sets 30.7 23 psi and a temperature of 297 degrees 24 Fahrenheit. 25 Q. And do you have reason to doubt</p>
410 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Well, in that sense, yes. 3 Q. Now, did you look at delamination 4 No. 2 at all? 5 A. I did. 6 Q. In that particular delamination 7 test, the report of operational testing 8 indicates that the bus was traveling at 78 9 miles an hour and entering into a right curve, 10 is that correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And that the tire was delaminated 13 with great force and lost inflation with only 14 about 2 seconds of precipitating separation 15 providing limited warning to the driver of the 16 impending failure, although vibration was 17 noted by the driver and those aboard the 18 coach. Did you find that? 19 A. Yes. I'm looking for my chart. 20 Q. What chart are you looking for? 21 A. Chart where I have the different 22 delams. 23 MR. POLLAK: Take your time, John. 24 A. And with my notes on that. 25 Okay.</p>	412 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 any of that? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Certainly, from these delamination 6 tests performed by the NTSB, it would appear 7 that you can make a tire delamination occur by 8 lowering the pressure in the tire. Correct? 9 A. That is correct, yes. 10 Q. And so there is no question that 11 if the pressure were allowed to get low enough 12 in a left front tire such as on the coach in 13 question, that it could produce a 14 delamination. Correct? 15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 16 A. Well, that's exactly what happened 17 here. Only you didn't have to get the 18 pressure very low because the tire already had 19 a separation working in it, as opposed to a 20 tire that has full integrity, you have to 21 drive it longer and harder and at lower 22 pressures to make that happen. 23 Q. Was the fatigue cracking and the 24 polishing that you observed on the one piece 25 of the tire in question, enough fatigue</p>

<p>413</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 cracking to cause the tire to have a tread 3 separation? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. Not without a loss in air 7 pressure, no. 8 Q. And what you have done is assumed 9 that there would be other pieces that weren't 10 found that also had fatigue cracking and 11 polishing on them? 12 A. There have to be. 13 Q. But that's an assumption you've 14 made? 15 A. No, it's not, it's a fact. 16 There's -- I mean, obviously I don't have the 17 pieces, but they got to go together and you 18 can see the cracking going up and where the 19 tread belt ends. You know that there are 20 cracks on the other side of that piece where 21 it's missing. It can't be otherwise. 22 Q. But you only saw it with your own 23 eyes in one piece, is that correct? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Q. That's a fact?</p>	<p>415</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. That's correct. It doesn't mean 3 they don't exhibit. It just means I didn't 4 see them. 5 Q. And as a matter of fact, there is 6 no one else who has investigated this accident 7 who has ever seen those pieces either, is that 8 correct? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 10 A. That's my understanding. All the 11 pieces that were collected, were provided for 12 inspection. 13 Q. And is there anything about 14 delamination No. 2 that you think makes it 15 unlike the accident in question, other than 16 the couple of seconds delay between the 17 delamination and the blowout? 18 A. Well, again, I didn't get to look 19 at the tire at the end of, at the point of 20 rest for delam No. 2, just like I didn't get 21 to look at for delam No. 5. 22 So the only thing I can do is look 23 at the video and say this doesn't conform to 24 my analysis of what happened to the tire in 25 this bus accident.</p>
<p>414</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. Certainly. 3 Q. And you have assumed that it 4 proceeded into other pieces? 5 MR. POLLAK: Objection: asked and 6 answered. Objection to the form. 7 A. Based on my education, testing, 8 experience, training, so on, yes. I -- 9 Q. Because you didn't see it on the 10 other pieces? 11 MR. POLLAK: Wait a second, John, 12 you interrupted his answer. Can you 13 please let Dr. Daws finish his answer. 14 A. Because those pieces were never 15 recovered for examination. It is not like I 16 simply ignored them. They don't exist. 17 Q. I'm not suggesting that you -- 18 A. They are not there. But you know 19 that the fractography evidence has to be 20 continuous. That's a must. It can't be 21 otherwise. And if they are continuous, then 22 they go into the next piece, wherever that 23 piece wound up. 24 Q. But your own eyes didn't see those 25 pieces, correct?</p>	<p>416</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. And because delamination No. 2 3 does not conform to your analysis, you have 4 discounted it as being representative of what 5 occurred in this accident. Is that correct? 6 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 7 answer. 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. Isn't it a fact that in both 10 delamination No. 2 and in delamination No. 5, 11 there was no loss of control by the driver of 12 the test bus involved in the NTSB testing? 13 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 14 answer. 15 A. Well, that's true. But you got to 16 remember that that testing was done on a track 17 which is, you know, wide. The driver knew 18 something was going to happen. He just didn't 19 know exactly when. 20 Contrast that to the accident 21 where the bus is between an 18-wheeler and a 22 drop-off with a distance of about 12 feet, you 23 know, something, a little space on the side, 24 you don't have a lot of room to maneuver. And 25 we don't have the path data for the bus. We</p>

417 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 don't know how much swerving back and forth 3 the bus actually did in these tests before it 4 came to rest. 5 Q. Because you weren't provided with 6 all the data from the testing. 7 A. Well, I don't know whether they 8 actually recorded that. I mean, did the bus 9 carry a GPS system? I don't know. 10 Q. Well, actually the test report 11 indicates that it did. 12 A. Well, you know, there is a big 13 difference between a GPS system for measuring 14 speed and a GPS system that is accurate enough 15 to track the path of the bus, if you will. 16 Q. Did you ever ask Greyhound for any 17 of the data for the delamination tests 18 performed by the NTSB? 19 MR. POLLAK: Object to the form. 20 A. I did not. 21 Q. Would you have expected Greyhound 22 to provide you with all of the data from the 23 delamination testing, not just the video and 24 the NTSB report? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p>	419 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. It would have been another piece 3 of data to work with, yes. 4 MR. POLLAK: I want to take a 5 break. Is this a good time to take a 6 break? 7 MR. DACUS: Yes, that would be 8 fine. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now 10 going off the record at approximately 11 6:51 p.m. This is the end of tape No. 12 6. 13 (Dinner recess: 6:51-7:44 p.m.)</p>
418 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answer. 3 Q. If they had it? 4 A. I don't see why they wouldn't have 5 provided it to me if they had it. 6 Q. It wouldn't be of interest to you? 7 A. Yeah, I think with, you know, the 8 data could be interesting. Again, you would 9 have to know how the data acquisition system 10 was set up and exactly what was happening and 11 so on. 12 Again, my biggest criticism of 13 this data is the filtering because, you know, 14 a tread separation basically makes a -- you 15 get a steering impulse or a braking impulse 16 force every time the tread flap hits the 17 fender which is ten times a second. And so 18 you have in delam No. 5, for example, you have 19 three peaks, three steering peaks. That 20 doesn't really represent what's actually 21 happened. 22 Q. And if the unfiltered data had 23 been provided to you, you could have looked 24 more closely at what was occurring during the 25 delamination and blowout events?</p>	420 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 E V E N I N G S E S S I O N 3 7:44 p.m. 4 J O H N W I L L I A M D A W S, 5 having been previously duly sworn, was 6 examined and testified further as 7 follows: 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going 9 back on record at approximately 7:44 10 p.m. This is the beginning of tape No. 11 7 in the Daws deposition. 12 C O N T I N U E D E X A M I N A T I O N 13 B Y M R . D A C U S : 14 Q. Dr. Daws, am I correct that you 15 have never worked for a vehicle manufacturer? 16 A. I have never been employed by a 17 vehicle manufacturer, that's correct. 18 Q. I take it then your experience and 19 background would not include having ever set 20 load capacity on any vehicle? 21 A. That's one of the things that I -- 22 I specialize in -- one of the things I 23 specialize in is tire applications for 24 vehicles, how to select the proper tire for 25 the vehicle.</p>

421 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. But setting load capacity for a 3 vehicle, you have not done? 4 A. I have done cases where the load 5 capacity was improperly set. 6 I have never designed a vehicle 7 and set the load capacity for said vehicle, 8 that's correct. 9 Q. And you have not been involved in 10 setting the load capacity for a vehicle, 11 correct? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. Similarly, you have never set a 14 front axle weight rating or a gross vehicle 15 weight rating on any vehicle, correct? 16 A. That's correct. 17 Q. You did examine the right front 18 tire of this coach that was involved in the 19 accident. Is that correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. Did you find any evidence of 22 overloading on that tire? 23 A. No. Wouldn't expect to see 24 anything different than what was on the left 25 front tire, and the only reason you could</p>	423 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 it. 3 Q. How much overload is required to 4 produce the fatigue cracking and polishing 5 that you found on the piece of the tire in 6 question? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 8 answer. 9 A. Probably something on the order of 10 10 percent. Again the question is what 11 exactly is the load, you know, how much load 12 can that tire really carry. 13 We don't really know the answer to 14 that question, but, you know, if it is loaded 15 beyond 10 percent of what it can actually 16 carry, you can get that kind of damage over a 17 fair amount of time. 18 Q. Is anything less than 10 percent 19 enough overload to produce the kind of fatigue 20 cracking and polishing that you found in the 21 piece of the tire? 22 A. Obviously, if the tire -- you 23 can't overload a tire by 5 percent forever. 24 Okay. So -- and obviously on this bus the 25 tire's not overloaded every time the bus runs.</p>
422 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 determine overloading was the fact that the 3 tire was torn apart. 4 Q. Did you ever disassemble or cut 5 into the right front tire to examine if it 6 showed any overload? 7 A. Absolutely not. 8 Q. Did you request to do that? 9 A. No, sir. 10 Q. Why not? 11 A. It's just not done in forensic 12 circles. You do a visual and tactical 13 inspection, that was the forensic examination. 14 Q. What was the disposition of the 15 right front tire in this coach? 16 MR. POLLAK: I'm sorry? 17 Q. What was the disposition of that 18 tire? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 20 form. 21 A. I'm not sure what you mean by 22 disposition. 23 Q. Was it put back on another coach, 24 was it -- 25 A. Last time I saw it, Goodyear had</p>	424 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 So, you know, that doesn't mean that if you 3 overload the tire by 5 percent, it will never 4 fail. You know, nobody really knows what the 5 safety margin is on tires. 6 Q. There is a safety margin, though, 7 is there not? 8 A. Not necessarily. Safety margin 9 may very well be negative on this tire. 10 Q. Did you assume that there was a 11 safety margin or no safety margin on this 12 tire? 13 A. I'm sorry, why -- I wouldn't make 14 any sort of assumption like that. 15 Q. So the answer to my question is 16 you don't know how much overload it would take 17 to produce the kind of fatigue cracking and 18 polishing that you observed on the piece of 19 the tire in question? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 21 answer. 22 Q. Is that correct? 23 A. If the load was running, let's say 24 10 percent over what the tire could really 25 carry, then you would get this kind of</p>

<p>425</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 situation.</p> <p>3 Now, what that tire really is</p> <p>4 capable of carrying, you know, didn't really</p> <p>5 know.</p> <p>6 Q. Can you give me a load in pounds</p> <p>7 or kilograms or however you want to do it,</p> <p>8 that will tell me what the load is required to</p> <p>9 be in order to produce the kind of fatigue</p> <p>10 cracking and polishing that you observed on</p> <p>11 the piece of the tire in this case?</p> <p>12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>13 answer.</p> <p>14 A. I would say anything in excess of,</p> <p>15 I would think you run into trouble above 7610</p> <p>16 pounds.</p> <p>17 Q. So it is your opinion that at any</p> <p>18 load above 7610 pounds, this tire was</p> <p>19 overloaded sufficient to produce the kind of</p> <p>20 fatigue cracking and polishing that you</p> <p>21 observed?</p> <p>22 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>23 answer.</p> <p>24 A. Over its entire life, yeah.</p> <p>25 Q. So at 7650 pounds, this tire was</p>	<p>427</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 miles --</p> <p>3 MR. POLLAK: Objection.</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. -- that it would take to produce</p> <p>6 the fatigue cracking and polishing that you</p> <p>7 observed here?</p> <p>8 MR. POLLAK: Objection.</p> <p>9 A. No, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Now, is it fair to say that there</p> <p>11 was no direct evidence of any overloading of</p> <p>12 the front axle of this coach --</p> <p>13 MR. POLLAK: Objection.</p> <p>14 Q. -- although there may have been</p> <p>15 some conclusions you drew about overload?</p> <p>16 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>17 answer.</p> <p>18 A. You mean were metal parts broken</p> <p>19 or something like that?</p> <p>20 Q. Direct evidence, weights,</p> <p>21 measures, axle weights, studies by you of the</p> <p>22 effect of bus loading on axle weights, wheel</p> <p>23 weights, wheel loads?</p> <p>24 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>25 answer.</p>
<p>426</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 overloaded?</p> <p>3 A. Again, we don't know the answer to</p> <p>4 that question.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. For how long would it be</p> <p>6 required to have an overload just above 7610</p> <p>7 pounds to produce the kind of fatigue cracking</p> <p>8 and polishing that you observed?</p> <p>9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>10 answer.</p> <p>11 A. For its entire lifetime.</p> <p>12 Q. 91,000 miles?</p> <p>13 A. 91,000 miles.</p> <p>14 Q. Will any duration of overloading,</p> <p>15 less than its entire lifetime at just above</p> <p>16 7610 pounds, cause the kind of fatigue</p> <p>17 cracking and polishing that you say you</p> <p>18 observed?</p> <p>19 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>20 answer.</p> <p>21 A. The higher -- the greater the</p> <p>22 load, the shorter duration it takes.</p> <p>23 Q. That tells me you know the</p> <p>24 direction that it moves in, but do you know</p> <p>25 the duration in months, years, trips or</p>	<p>428</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS</p> <p>2 A. The only number that would be</p> <p>3 equivalent to a weight would be the ballasted</p> <p>4 NTSB bus.</p> <p>5 Q. And we have already established,</p> <p>6 you didn't participate in that ballasting and</p> <p>7 do not know how representative it was of the</p> <p>8 loading, the actual loading of this coach?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. So, with the possible exception of</p> <p>11 the ballasting by the NTSB in their tests that</p> <p>12 you validate in Texas, you have no direct</p> <p>13 evidence of any overloading having occurred on</p> <p>14 this coach?</p> <p>15 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p> <p>16 answer.</p> <p>17 A. There were certainly no coach</p> <p>18 weights for this coach, that's correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you ask Greyhound for any</p> <p>20 information about the loading of this coach?</p> <p>21 A. No, I did not.</p> <p>22 Q. And I take it no information about</p> <p>23 the loading of this coach on the various trips</p> <p>24 it took was provided to you?</p> <p>25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p>

429 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answer. 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Have you ever made a study of the 5 deflection produced in a Goodyear G-409 tire 6 produced by overloading of any level? 7 A. No, sir. 8 Q. Have you ever made any study of 9 the deflection produced in a Goodyear G-409 10 tire by underinflation at any level? 11 A. Have I personally made such a 12 study? 13 Q. Yes, sir. 14 A. No, sir. 15 Q. Have you performed any tests about 16 the amount of deflection produced by 17 overloading or by underinflation on a Goodyear 18 G-409 tire on this type of coach? 19 A. No, sir. 20 Q. During your examinations of tires, 21 did you have access to any 102DL3 coaches with 22 Goodyear G-409 tires on them to inspect? 23 A. Only the Opelika coach, the 24 Opelika case coach, and this coach. You know, 25 wrecked vehicles.</p>	431 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 question means. 3 You can't reproduce this puncture, 4 so I don't know how you could do testing to 5 determine that -- whether the calculation was 6 correct or not. 7 Q. Did you attempt to perform any 8 testing -- 9 A. No, sir. 10 Q. -- to confirm the bubble rate 11 measurement and the leak rate analysis? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 13 answer. 14 A. No. No, sir, I did not. 15 Q. Other than your analysis of the 16 NTSB delamination and blowout testing covered 17 in the report of operational testing, did you 18 analyze any other testing to confirm any of 19 your opinions or conclusions in this case? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 21 answer. 22 A. I don't believe so, no. 23 Q. And with regard to the NTSB 24 testing of delamination and blowouts, you did 25 not get to see all of the information related</p>
430 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Were you ever at a Goodyear -- I 3 mean, not a Goodyear, but a Greyhound garage 4 where there were 102DL3 coaches with G-409 5 tires mounted on the coach? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did you ever request an 8 opportunity to perform any inspections or 9 tests with such coaches and such tires when 10 you were at Greyhound facilities where they 11 were located? 12 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 13 answer. 14 A. No, sir. 15 Q. With regard to your bubble 16 analysis -- is that the right word to -- 17 A. It's a leak rate analysis. 18 Q. Okay. With regard to assessing 19 the bubbles in the videotape that you saw and 20 performing the leak rate analysis, did you 21 ever do any testing to confirm that you had 22 indeed calculated the leak rate appropriately? 23 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 24 answer. 25 A. I don't even know what that</p>	432 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 that testing, correct? 3 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 4 form. You can answer. 5 A. There certainly was other data 6 that I wasn't privy to. 7 Q. Nor did you get to inspect the 8 tires involved in that delamination testing 9 after the delaminations? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. Are you saying that MCI should not 12 have relied on Goodyear regarding the load 13 rating of the G-409 tires? 14 A. I'm saying that the load capacity 15 of the tire was compromised by the 8-1/4 inch 16 rim, and the bus should have been fit with 17 9-inch wide rims and then the load capacity 18 would have been something that would have been 19 standard. That is, that, you know, that the 20 letter dialogue that covers that approval of 21 8,000 pounds, is -- I mean, within a couple of 22 days, Goodyear goes from 7,600 pounds to 8,000 23 pounds, and, you know, when you are building a 24 brand new bus, I guess I don't understand why 25 you don't put the proper wheel on it. If the</p>

433 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 wheel calls for either a 9 or a 9.75, why 3 would you go out on a limb and put an 8-1/4? 4 Q. But answer my question, if you 5 will, and that question was, are you saying 6 that MCI did something wrong in relying on 7 Goodyear regarding the load rating of the 8 tire? 9 A. Well, MCI had no choice but to 10 rely on Goodyear for the rating of the tire. 11 Q. Is it true that vehicle 12 manufacturers rely on tire manufacturers all 13 the time to specify load ratings of tires? 14 A. That is correct. 15 Q. Are there any other areas of the 16 investigation of the cause of this accident 17 that you were told to stay away from other 18 than the areas involving Greyhound's conduct? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 20 question. You can answer. 21 A. I wasn't asked to stay away from 22 the issue of conduct. I simply wasn't 23 retained to study it. And it certainly 24 wouldn't be commensurate with my background to 25 deal with that issue.</p>	435 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I didn't do it. There is no 3 possible way to do it. 4 Q. Did you read any depositions of 5 Goodyear personnel -- not Goodyear, I'm sorry; 6 Greyhound personnel who were or may have been 7 involved in inspecting the tire in the last 8 ten days of its life? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 10 answer. 11 A. I did not. 12 Q. Did you examine any deposition 13 testimony or deposition exhibits from the 14 Greyhound garage manager, Mr. Richard James? 15 A. No, sir. 16 Q. Did you look into whether 17 Greyhound required that its mechanics take 18 tire pressure readings on every occasion that 19 the bus came in for a service lane service? 20 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 21 answer. 22 A. I did not. 23 Q. If you had been thoroughly 24 investigating the inflation history of this 25 coach and had been asked to look into it by</p>
434 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. Your background would certainly 3 include analysis of whether a tire had been 4 kept properly inflated. Would it not? 5 A. That is part of my analysis, and I 6 did part of this analysis on this tire. 7 Q. But you didn't do that analysis 8 with regard to the last ten days of this 9 tire's life before the accident, correct? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 11 answer. 12 A. I have given you my opinion on the 13 fact that I don't believe the tire was 14 underinflated. 15 Q. I understand you have given us the 16 opinion, but you didn't conduct an 17 investigation to determine whether the tire 18 was inflated -- underinflated during the last 19 ten days, or any time during the last ten days 20 of its life just before this accident, 21 correct? 22 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 23 A. There is no possible way of doing 24 that. 25 Q. You didn't read --</p>	436 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Greyhound, would you agree that finding out 3 what Greyhound required of its mechanics and 4 what its mechanics were actually doing in the 5 last ten days of this tire's life, would have 6 been something that you would want to look at? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 8 answer. 9 MS. BOYLE: Note my objection. 10 A. Not unless they are recording tire 11 pressures. Again, if there is no recording of 12 tire pressures, it doesn't do you any good. 13 You can try to recreate history, but it is 14 just not going to be there. 15 Q. Were there any other areas of the 16 accident investigation where you were not 17 retained to develop opinions, other than the 18 area of Greyhound's conduct? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 A. Certainly. 22 Q. And what areas were those? 23 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 24 objection to this question. 25 A. I wasn't asked to look at accident</p>

437 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 reconstruction. I wasn't asked to look at 3 handleability of the bus. I wasn't asked to 4 look at, you know, mechanical components of 5 the bus. I wasn't asked to look at human 6 factors, injury causation. The list is long. 7 Q. And in each one of those areas, 8 those areas would not be something that you 9 have any background or experience in, is that 10 correct? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection -- 12 A. No, sir, that's not correct. It's 13 just that I'm a tire expert. I make my living 14 as a tire expert and nobody is going to retain 15 me to do accident reconstruction because that 16 costs too much. 17 You know, they are not going to 18 retain me -- well, accident reconstruction in 19 particular, I have quite a bit of experience 20 working in accident reconstruction when I was 21 with Exponent, but it is not something that I 22 do as a matter of course. 23 Q. Do you actively do accident 24 reconstruction in connection with your work 25 for Daws Engineering?</p>	439 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 MR. POLLAK: Objection. 3 Q. Am I right about that? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. It certainly didn't cause the tire 7 to -- the tire that did fail to fail. 8 On the other hand it makes the bus 9 not conform to SMVS regulations. 10 Q. But it had nothing to do with this 11 accident, did it? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. And similarly, with regard to the 14 proper inflation pressure for the steer axle 15 tires, that placard did not have anything to 16 do with whether Greyhound understood that it 17 needed to keep 120 psi in these tires? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 19 answer. 20 Q. Correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Did Greyhound have a duty to your 23 knowledge to keep the bus weight within the 24 gross vehicle weight rating? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection.</p>
438 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. What I do is analysis of skid 3 marks on the road in conjunction with the 4 accident reconstructionist that happens to be 5 working on a case, but that's about it. 6 Q. But you don't do that on your own? 7 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 8 answer. 9 A. I don't routinely do accident 10 reconstruction, no. 11 Q. Now, with regard to the placard 12 that was on this coach, that placard indicated 13 that the bus had a 48,000 pound gross vehicle 14 weight rating? 15 A. I believe that's correct. 16 Q. And that placard called for tires 17 that were not actually being used by Greyhound 18 at the time of this accident, correct? 19 A. That's correct. In fact, the tire 20 that's called out for in the placard couldn't 21 possibly be correct, given the axle, front 22 axle load rate. 23 Q. And because Greyhound was not 24 using that tire, that tire specification on 25 the placard made no difference?</p>	440 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. My experience with these kinds of 3 things is, you have 52 seats, you can fill 4 them up. Or 55 seats or whatever it is, 5 however many there are, you can fill them up. 6 And since you don't weigh people, you don't 7 really know what the axle loads and all are. 8 Q. Have you looked into whether there 9 are state or federal laws relating to 10 maintaining a commercial vehicle within its 11 gross vehicle weight rating? 12 A. I have not. 13 Q. So whether Greyhound had a duty to 14 keep this bus loaded within the gross vehicle 15 weight rating, is something you really haven't 16 studied and don't have an opinion of? 17 A. I do not have an opinion on that. 18 Q. Would the same thing be true with 19 regard to the gross axle weight rating of the 20 front steer axle? 21 A. I have no opinion on that. 22 Q. Can you explain to us, if this 23 left front tire on the coach in question had 24 gone 91,000 miles as you indicate that it did, 25 why it failed at this particular time instead</p>

<p>441</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 of on some previous occasion? 3 MR. POLLAK: Note my objection. 4 You can answer. 5 A. Sure. When the, yes, I can 6 explain it. 7 Q. And is it based on the fact that 8 there was underinflation of this left front 9 tire due to the puncture? 10 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 11 answer. 12 A. It's based on the fact that there 13 was a loss of air pressure associated with 14 this puncture, and the tire had a preexisting 15 fatigue breakdown in process. 16 Q. Now, how do you determine, and I 17 would like to know here a listing of all the 18 bases for your opinion, that the tire had a 19 preexisting breakdown? 20 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 21 objection. 22 Q. And if you have previously 23 explained, I don't need, you know, a full 24 explanation again. 25 MR. POLLAK: Don't say anything.</p>	<p>443</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 many hours we have been doing this so 3 far. 4 MR. DACUS: You are welcome to 5 count, but I certainly have not been 6 questioning this witness for anything 7 like 7 hours. 8 MR. POLLAK: I didn't say you 9 did. 10 MR. DACUS: And I am proceeding as 11 rapidly as I can. 12 MR. POLLAK: I didn't say you 13 weren't. 14 My objection is to the fact that 15 you are being extremely repetitive and I 16 believe at some point that becomes 17 abusive in the legal sense, or 18 harassment in the legal sense. 19 Obviously, you are not talking 20 loud to the witness, threatening the 21 witness. It is obvious, but I believe 22 from a legal prospective that is 23 harassing and abusive. 24 So I'm going to check the time and 25 then I'll make a decision if I'm going</p>
<p>442</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. I just am looking for a listing of 3 what you base the opinion on that this tire 4 had a preexisting breakdown before the 5 puncture. 6 MR. POLLAK: Just note my 7 objection. I would just like to check 8 the time, because I think at this point, 9 Mr. Dacus, you spent your first part of 10 your questioning on this topic, and we 11 spent an entire day on that topic. And 12 I think at this point this is abusive on 13 your part to ask the same question 14 again. You self-qualified that by 15 indicating that there had been previous 16 testimony on this and you asked the 17 questions before we took a dinner break. 18 So I'm going to check on how much 19 time we have left, but I may not allow 20 him to do that. If you are going to 21 spend your time going over the entire 22 day again when we are past the seven 23 hours, I think that is abusive and I 24 will move for a protective order at this 25 point. But I want to just count how</p>	<p>444</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 to allow you to continue with this 3 questioning or move for a protective 4 order. 5 MR. DACUS: I disagree with you. 6 Obviously -- 7 MR. POLLAK: I'm sure you do. I'm 8 sure you do. 9 So why don't we just go off the 10 record at this point for a few minutes. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off 12 the record at approximately 8:10 p.m. 13 (Discussion off the record.) 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back 15 on record, approximately 8:12 p.m. 16 MR. POLLAK: Just before we answer 17 the question. The witness has been here 18 being questioned for approximately 7 19 hours and 15 minutes. Again, it is my 20 belief that we are approaching the 21 abusive stage or harassment stage, but 22 over objection, I believe this has been 23 asked and answered repeatedly during 24 today's deposition, including by Mr. 25 Dacus himself. But over objection, I</p>

<p>445</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 will allow the witness to answer. 3 A. The first indication is the 4 presence of polishing. Polishing is prima 5 facie evidence of preexisting breakdown. That 6 is, this cracking existed in the tire prior to 7 the tire failure. It can't occur at the time 8 of the tire failure. 9 Q. Let me interrupt briefly, and I 10 don't mean it be disrespectful to you. I'm 11 just -- I'm looking for evidence that it was 12 preexisting before the -- or your basis for 13 concluding it was preexisting before the tire 14 puncture. 15 MR. POLLAK: Mr. Dacus, you just 16 went out of your way to stop Dr. Daws 17 from giving his answer, and then you 18 asked the same exact question. 19 So Dr. Daws, please continue with 20 your answer before you were interrupted 21 by Mr. Dacus. Please continue with your 22 answer. 23 MR. KAPLAN: Kevin, with all due 24 respect, if you want to limit the time 25 that this witness is being questioned,</p>	<p>447</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 then I'm going to ask him the question 3 that I did ask. 4 MR. POLLAK: You can do whatever 5 you want, just please don't interrupt 6 this witness in the middle of his 7 answer. I'm sure he wouldn't do it to 8 you in the middle of your question, so 9 extend him the same courtesy. 10 MR. DACUS: I will do so. 11 MR. POLLAK: Thank you. Can you 12 please continue with your answer. 13 THE WITNESS: Can you ask me the 14 question again, please. 15 Q. Yes, I think I can. What I was 16 trying to find out is a listing of the bases 17 for your opinion that there was preexisting 18 breakdown in the left front tire of this coach 19 before the puncture occurred and the 20 underinflation began? 21 MR. POLLAK: Again, just note my 22 objection to the form, note my objection 23 for all the other reasons previously 24 stated on the record. 25 Over objection, you can answer.</p>
<p>446</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 the witness clearly was not answering 3 the question that Mr. Dacus asked him. 4 Mr. Dacus corrected him. The question 5 was, was the breakdown preexisting the 6 puncture, not preexisting -- 7 MR. DACUS: The accident. 8 MR. KAPLAN: Correct. 9 A. And -- 10 MR. POLLAK: Were you finished 11 answering the last -- I mean, again, you 12 stopped the witness dead in his tracks. 13 If you didn't feel the answer was 14 responsive, then, as you have done 15 before, you could ask the reporter to 16 ask the question again, you can say 17 whatever you want, but you have no right 18 to stop the witness in the middle of his 19 answer and cut him off. Whether it is 20 responsive or not to your question, you 21 have no right to do that. 22 MR. DACUS: Well, I admit I was 23 trying to shortcut this, but if he wants 24 to answer the question I didn't ask, 25 then I'm happy for him to do so. But</p>	<p>448</p> <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. The polishing, the fatigue 3 cracking and polishing, the rate that I 4 assessed for the leak, the leak rate itself, 5 and I think those two or those three things 6 together identify that the fatigue cracking 7 and polishing predate the puncture and predate 8 the tire failure. 9 Q. Without the leak rate, if there 10 were a mistake in your leak rate analysis or 11 if you didn't have the leak rate analysis, 12 would the fatigue cracking and polishing be 13 enough to establish that there was a 14 preexisting breakdown in the left front tire 15 of this bus before the puncture occurred and 16 the underinflation began? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 18 answer. 19 A. I would suggest to you that this 20 puncture, whatever it is, is not sufficient to 21 or could not have been in the tire long enough 22 even at a fairly low leak rate to create this 23 cracking and polishing. 24 Q. Have you ever tested any Goodyear 25 G-409 tires on 8.25-inch rims and compared</p>

449 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 them to the performance of G-409 tires on 3 9-inch rims? 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. Have you ever seen any testing 6 where that was done in any form whatsoever? 7 A. Absolutely not. 8 Q. Could you tell me all the bases 9 that you have for your opinion stated in your, 10 I believe it was your rebuttal report, that 11 the rim grooves on the subject tire were 12 linked to use on the 8.25-inch rims? 13 A. Certainly. The, my experience in 14 looking at rim grooving across a wide range of 15 wheel widths. Typically in custom tire 16 fitments on passenger and light truck 17 vehicles, if you fit the tire, a wider tire on 18 a rim that's too narrow for it, you will very 19 quickly develop bead grooving based on the 20 flexing of the bead over the rim flange. 21 Narrow rims generate bead grooves much more 22 quickly and much larger than an equivalent set 23 up on an approved width rim. And I have done 24 that testing for passenger and light truck. 25 Okay?</p>	451 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 At the time that the front tires 3 of the bus in question were applied to the 4 front steer axle, they were applied on 8,000 5 pound-rated Firestone Accuride wheels, 6 correct? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. And at that time Goodyear had 9 given a rating to those tires of 8,000 pounds 10 load capacity, correct? 11 A. That is correct. 12 Q. And at that time the Tire and Rim 13 Association manual would support the 14 application of Goodyear G-409 tires in the 15 315/80R 22.5-inch size to that wheel on that 16 axle. Correct? 17 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 18 answer. 19 A. Can you be more specific about 20 what you mean by manual? 21 Q. The Tire and Rim Association 22 manual? 23 A. The yearbook or the engineering 24 design information? 25 Q. The yearbook.</p>
450 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. But you have not done that testing 3 for commercial tires? 4 A. No, I have not. 5 MR. POLLAK: Did you finish your 6 answer before Mr. Dacus asked another 7 question? 8 THE WITNESS: It's fine. 9 MR. POLLAK: Mr. Dacus, you 10 interrupted in the middle of the 11 witness' answer which I think is evident 12 from the videotape. Please don't do 13 that. 14 MR. DACUS: I may have interrupted 15 at the end of his answer. 16 MR. POLLAK: You certainly did. 17 MR. DACUS: But I didn't interrupt 18 in the middle. 19 MR. POLLAK: Well, the end is not 20 much better than the beginning. It is 21 still interrupting the witness, the 22 witness's answer, which is not 23 appropriate or proper. 24 Q. At the time Goodyear G-409 tires 25 were first applied to -- strike that.</p>	452 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 A. I don't believe the yearbook 3 contains that rating in 2005. I'd have to 4 check that, but I don't think it's gotten 5 there by then. But it may have. Let's see. 6 Q. Well, let's check. 7 A. Okay, I don't have the yearbook 8 pages for 2005, but the earliest this would 9 have been in a yearbook is 2006 because the 10 rev 4 of the EDI is dated April 13, 2005, and 11 the yearbooks come out in January. 12 So the earliest yearbook 13 indication that this would have been 14 acceptable would have been in the yearbook for 15 2006. 16 Q. But you don't have the yearbook 17 for 2005 there to determine if that's correct 18 or not? 19 MR. POLLAK: Objection to the 20 form. You can answer. 21 A. No, but, again, I have the date on 22 the EDI and nothing can, you know, nothing can 23 happen in the yearbook before it happens in 24 the EDI. 25 Q. And it is true that the EDI --</p>

453 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 what is that, Engineering Design Information? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. The EDI was also changed on the 5 Tire and Rim Association publications to 6 permit the application of this size tire on 7 this type of wheel for a 16,000-pound axle, 8 correct? 9 A. That is correct based on someone's 10 say-so. 11 You know, the Tire and Rim 12 Association obviously did no testing on it, so 13 they are taking the word of somebody, some 14 tire company. 15 Q. Do you know whether MCI was even 16 involved in the decision to put Goodyear G-409 17 tires in this size, the B315/80R 22.5-inch 18 tires on this coach? 19 A. No, sir, I do not. 20 Q. And certainly you would not expect 21 MCI to be involved in the testing of the 22 Goodyear tires to determine if they were 23 suitable for an 8,000-pound load rating on an 24 8.25-inch rim, correct? 25 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can</p>	455 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 this accident, correct? 3 A. That's correct, from the 4 standpoint of the tire failure itself. 5 Q. Because if that incident or this 6 accident occurred was more like delamination 7 No. 2, then it would not be large steering 8 forces involved, correct? 9 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 10 answer. 11 A. Well, 130, what was that 130-inch 12 pounds versus 300-inch pounds? It is a factor 13 of two. What do you consider large? 14 Certainly, there would be a, you 15 know, a large steer force to the left 16 associated with this. But, again, in my 17 opinion this tire failure on the accident bus 18 looks like delam 5. 19 Q. Have you ever driven a bus of this 20 type? 21 A. No, sir, I have not. 22 Q. Have you ever tested a bus of this 23 type? 24 A. No, sir, I have not. 25 Q. So you would have no knowledge of</p>
454 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 answer. 3 A. No, sir, I would not expect that. 4 Goodyear should be doing their own testing. 5 Q. Now, you told us that the failure 6 mode of the incident tire following NTSB 7 testing would result in large and 8 unanticipated steering forces, as item No. 4 9 in your initial report of your opinions. Is 10 that correct? 11 A. Yes, that's correct. 12 Q. What are all the bases for that 13 opinion? 14 A. Well, again, we've covered them ad 15 nauseam, but the tire failure is most similar 16 to delam No. 5. It's identical to delam No. 17 5, in that we have a tread separation and a 18 blowout. And the steer force variations in 19 delam No. 5 on the NTSB's testing, are very 20 large. And so those are the two facts, there 21 are two bases that I would use for that 22 opinion. 23 Q. And all of that is based upon your 24 opinion that NTSB testing delam No. 5 was 25 essentially equivalent to what occurred in</p>	456 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 what steering forces are properly handled by 3 an alert driver? 4 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 5 answer. 6 A. I have no opinion on that. 7 Q. And, I take it, you would have no 8 opinion on whether an alert driver could have 9 managed the steering forces that were 10 experienced in this accident -- 11 A. I don't. 12 Q. -- right? 13 A. That's correct, I don't have any 14 opinion. 15 Q. You do not have any opinion that 16 MCI was involved in any way in the design of 17 the tread package for the Goodyear G-409 tire, 18 correct? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. You gave an opinion in your report 21 dated September 10th that the shoulder wear 22 and chamfer wear found on the front steer 23 tires of the bus in question, did not 24 contribute to the failure of the tire, and 25 that your opinion was supported by industry</p>

457 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 recommendations promulgated by the Maintenance 3 Council. Do you recall that? 4 A. I do. 5 Q. Did you in fact do any 6 investigation to determine whether Greyhound's 7 own rules for the handling of tires on steer 8 axles would have required those tires to be 9 removed from the steer axle and placed in a 10 drive axle or tag axle position? 11 A. I did not. It's my opinion that 12 those tires would -- my understanding is when 13 a steer tire gets down to 6/32 of an inch, 14 Greyhound wanted it to be moved to a driver or 15 a tag I guess, and there were at least 16,000 16 miles traveled between the time that it was 17 first, that that wear was noted, to the time 18 of the accident. So these tires would not 19 have looked at the time of the inspection, the 20 way they looked at the time of the accident. 21 Q. But you did not investigate 22 Greyhound's own rules for its mechanics, tire 23 mechanics, tire personnel, to indicate -- to 24 determine whether Greyhound's rules would have 25 required those tires with the evidence</p>	459 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 looking down -- is looking from a video camera 3 looking down onto a tire that's smashed under 4 a wheel. So even in the best of situations, 5 there is no real way to tell that that tire 6 is, you know, alike or different than the tire 7 you have to look at in a laboratory 8 inspection. 9 Second -- 10 Q. I'm sorry. 11 A. The second thing is that this 12 tire, the delam 5 tire had a tread separation, 13 a blowout and then the bus went on for some 14 distance before it finally came to a stop on 15 the pavement. So the tire has the opportunity 16 to break down a lot more than the tire at 17 this -- you know, after the delam and blowout, 18 than the tire on the incident bus did. 19 Because basically a very short time after, a 20 couple of seconds after this blowout and tread 21 separation occurs, the front of the bus is off 22 the road on the accident scenario, which means 23 that the tire doesn't get a chance to be 24 abused for nearly as long. So there is no 25 real reason to expect them to be the same.</p>
458 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 observed by Mr. Jeffries in July 2006, would 3 require those tires to be moved off the steer 4 axle into another wheel position on the bus? 5 A. I did not. You know, the extent 6 of my opinion there is that they, you know, 7 those wear conditions I would consider normal. 8 The Tire Maintenance Council considers them 9 normal. They don't consider them a safety 10 issue whatsoever. 11 Q. And you did not consider what 12 Greyhound considered about it? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. Do you disagree with Mr. Granite's 15 opinion that the NTSB delamination No. 5 tire 16 is significantly different than the appearance 17 of the tire in question in this accident? 18 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 19 answer. 20 A. I'm not exactly sure what that 21 opinion of his really means. He says they 22 look different at some end point. 23 Remember that the video, which is 24 the only thing that I'm aware of that anybody 25 has to look at from the delam 5 testing, is</p>	460 <p>1 J.W. DAWS 2 Q. I'm just, really, I'm trying to 3 focus down. I understand that you think you 4 have reasons why they would look different. 5 What I'm trying to get at is do 6 you disagree with Mr. Granite's opinions that 7 the tires look significantly different, as 8 compared between the left front of the 9 accident bus and the left front of the tire 10 involved in the NTSB test delamination No. 5? 11 MR. POLLAK: Objection. You can 12 answer. 13 A. Again, it is my opinion you can't 14 tell. You can't tell whether they are alike 15 or whether they are different with any degree 16 of reliability. 17 Q. But you wouldn't -- 18 A. I wouldn't be expected, as I said 19 before, I would not be expected to see that 20 they are different. 21 MR. DACUS: I pass the witness. 22 MR. POLLAK: Anybody else? 23 MS. BOYLE: I have no questions. 24 MR. KAPLAN: I'm done. 25 MR. DACUS: Okay.</p>

465 <p>1 DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET 2 Page No. ____ Line No. ____ Change to: _____ 3 4 Reason for change: _____ 5 Page No. ____ Line No. ____ Change to: _____ 6 7 Reason for change: _____ 8 Page No. ____ Line No. ____ Change to: _____ 9 10 Reason for change: _____ 11 Page No. ____ Line No. ____ Change to: _____ 12 13 Reason for change: _____ 14 Page No. ____ Line No. ____ Change to: _____ 15 16 Reason for change: _____ 17 Page No. ____ Line No. ____ Change to: _____ 18 19 Reason for change: _____ 20 Page No. ____ Line No. ____ Change to: _____ 21 22 Reason for change: _____ 23 SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____ JOHN WILLIAM DAWS 24 25</p>	467 <p>1 2 3 4 5 6 EXHIBITS 7 8 FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 9 10 Daws Exhibit 6, maintenance 359 11 response desk page Nos. 51, 54, 93, 12 106, 221, 250, 348, 378, 386, 450, 13 471, 506, 555, 578, 590, 597, 668, 14 672, 713, 749, 810, 899, 936, 977, 15 1026, 1034, 1066, 1206, 1214, 1343, 16 1355, 1393, 1519, 1528, 1564, 1579, 17 1615, 1678, 1685, 1694, 1736, 1745, 18 1746, 1755, 1767 and 1803 19 20</p>
466 <p>1 September 14, 2010 2 I N D E X 3 EXAM BY PAGE 4 Mr. Kaplan 5 5 Mr. Dacus 6 7 EXHIBITS 8 FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 9 Daws Exhibit 1A, black binder, 144 Volume I Daws Engineering 10 deposition binder 11 Daws Exhibit 1B, black binder 144 Volume II Daws Engineering 12 deposition binder 13 Daws Exhibit 2, document produced 207 by MCI bearing No. 001057 entitled 14 Table 4, Bus Passenger Profile-Summary Observations 15 16 Daws Exhibit 3, plot of tire 297 pressure measurements of subject tire taken from tab 8, Volume II 17 Daws Engineering deposition binder 18 Daws Exhibit 4, document entitled 321 315/80R22.5 G-409 MBA Tire 19 Performance bearing No. JD 0012965 20 21 Daws Exhibit 5, document entitled 321 315/80R22.5 G-409 MBA Tire 22 Performance bearing No. JD 0012949 23 24 25</p>	